Page 1

SCHOOL BOARD OF BROWARD COUNTY

AUDIT COMMITTEE MEETING

KC WRIGHT ADMINISTRATION CENTER
BOARD ROOM
600 SE 3RD AVENUE
FORT LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 16, 2023 9:33 A.M. - 12:29 P.M.

Court Reporter:
Timothy R. Bass, Stenographic Reporter
Bass Reporting Service, Inc.
633 South Andrews Avenue, 5th Floor
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

Page 2 1 COMMITTEE MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE: 2 MR. ANDREW MEDVIN, CHAIR MS. MARY FERTIG, VICE CHAIR 3 MS. RUTH CARTER-LYNCH (Telephonic) MS. REBECCA DAHL 4 MR. ANTHONY DE MEO DR. NATHALIE LYNCH-WALSH 5 MR. ROBERT MAYERSOHN MS. PHYLLIS SHAW (Telephonic) 6 MS. JACLYN STRAUSS 7 OFFICE OF THE CHIEF AUDITOR STAFF: 8 MR. JORIS JABOUIN, Chief Auditor MS. MEREDITH ARLOTTA, Manager, Operations MS. JENNIFER HARPALANI, Information Technology Audits 10 MS. HERMINE JAMES, Manager, Property & Inventory Audits MS. MICHELE MARQUARDT, Executive Secretary 11 MS. JENNIFER DAILEY, Clerk Spec C MS. ASHLEY ACEVDEO, Inventory Audit Specialist 12 13 DISTRICT STAFF: 14 MRS. JUDITH MARTE, Deputy Superintendent, Operations, Office of the Deputy Superintendent, Operations 15 DR. NICOLE MANCINI, Chief Academic Officer, Office of the Chief Academic Officer 16 MR. ERNIE LOZANO, Task Assigned Chief of Staff, Office of the Chief of Staff 17 MS. KIM PUNZI-ELABIARY, Task Assigned Manager Behavioral Threat Assessment 18 MS. MARY COKER, Director, Procurement & Warehousing Services 19 20 INVITED GUESTS: 21 MR. MATTHEW BLONDELL, Business Risk Consulting, RSM 22 (Telephonic) MR. CHRIS GUMS, Risk Advisory Services, RSM 23 (Telephonic) MS. NATALEE WALLACE, Risk Consulting Director, Process 24 Risk and Controls, RSM (Telephonic)

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

MS. JENNIFER MURTHA, RSM

25 MS. JAMIE BARDEE, RSM

```
Page 3
  MR. TIM BASS, Court Reporter, United Reporting, Inc.
 1
 2
   GUESTS:
 3
   LARICA THOMPSON, Trimerge Consulting Group
  CHERI SANDERS, Community Member / PCG
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

1 MR. MEDVIN: Here.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Jaclyn Strauss?

MS. STRAUSS: Present.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Next item is approval of the agenda.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Motion to approve the agenda.

MR. MEDVIN: Second? Is there a second?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Second.

MR. MEDVIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh, second.

So all in favor?

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?

(No response.)

MR. MEDVIN: The agenda is approved.

Mr. Jabouin?

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. To all Audit
Committee members, school board employees and
guests, please ensure, for the accuracy of the
minutes, that you please speak loudly and into
the microphone. This also assists the members
that are on the telephone to allow them to hear
better.

And, also, to recognize Vice Chair Fertig has entered the room. So, good morning, Ms. Fertig.

MS. FERTIG: Good morning.

MR. JABOUIN: With respect to the district's annual training program for school board based established advisory committee members, we have received all of them but two. One of them, there's a technology issue that we will work with that member to get, and the other one just happens to be a new member who just found out about the form this morning, as far as the training, so we will obviously get that done as well. Same situation with the acknowledgment form as well. We have the voting conflict forms if Audit Committee members need to use them.

Also there are the timeframes for the agenda. They are not mandatory. They are guides. They are provided to outsiders that will be attending the meeting. Sometimes it's district staff members.

The school board met yesterday. With respect to the education management software agreement forensic report that was presented at the January 26th Audit Committee meeting, the Audit Committee voted to transmit that report as documented in the attached minutes that are in your package. At the school board meeting yesterday, school board member -- Audit Committee member, Dr.

Nathalie Lynch-Walsh, did request that the board send it back to the committee. There was board discussion on it. I recommended that they proceed with it and we would follow up on the findings during the follow-up process. Also look at the new contract with PCG that the school board signed in January '23. And then I did remind the board that the excerpt to the minutes were included in their package that showed the Audit Committee discussion, but, ultimately, the discussion was whether or not to postpone it, and then I recommended in order to provide flexibility that they vote it down, which they did. So that report will be on the committee's March 2nd Audit Committee meeting.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The board did approve the Independent
Auditor's Report Over Internal Controls Over
Financial Reporting and Compliance as well as the
Internal -- the Management Letter and the
Internal Independent Accountants' Report. So, as
a priority, since they approved it, these have to
be uploaded in the various sites of the Auditor
General, the Florida Department of Education, the
U.S. Department of Education, the Federal Audit
Clearinghouse. This will be a high-priority item

for us for next week to get those uploaded and verified and certified into those reports because those are significant to the district.

This concludes the Chief Auditor's Administrative Matters, Chair.

MR. MEDVIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I guess during our comments at the end, I'll clarify why I requested it come back to the Audit Committee because there will be additional documents that need to be provided to everybody for the March meeting. The board voted unanimously to reject accepting that report and sent it back to us so we can have further discussion.

MS. FERTIG: Can you -- can we just do that now; is that okay? Because I had a thought, I had one thought, too, so I -- since we transmitted, so --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, it had to do with the fact, and I apologize for not having really read word for word, as I usually do, that day.

The summer tutoring, which is what the invoices were for, was in the contract with May 1 dates, which the auditors noted but did not note as a concern or something significant. So, of

course, as I told the board yesterday, there should have been no surprise to subsequently receive invoices that included summer tutoring. And, if you're going to have summer tutoring in the summer of 2021 and you are approving a contract for a July 1, 2021 -- approving a contract that starts July 1 but includes summer tutoring, which I believe we all know that summer begins in June, which would be in the prior fiscal year, that, obviously, some work, lead work would have to be done. Even though the contract is for July, there are going to be services that start in May, like setting up the summer tutoring, coordinating. And those were -that was all done in Sunshine at board workshops at board meetings.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FERTIG: So let me just cut through it here. So do you think there are other cases in there that are the same and can we just get it all at one time so that we --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well -- what do you mean "all at one time"?

MS. FERTIG: Well, there were multiple invoices so I'm just wondering if others are similar circumstances.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, so I spent the better part of five hours -- the better part of five hours on Tuesday making sure that we got -- or I got -- because I had to do a public records request because it's too hard to request it this way, getting all the invoices, not that those were particularly helpful, I also requested emails and I've gone back and identified the meetings. So the other invoices -- so there were two sets of invoices in that report.

MS. FERTIG: Right.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The first table is the one
-- are the ones with the May 1 beginning service
date. PCG does not do the most detailed
invoices, but the summer tutoring, which is -it's everywhere that they were doing summer
tutoring --

MS. FERTIG: Uh-huh.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- so it should have been explained that there was carryover. So we have a budgeting and funding issue whereby was there money left -- so the one for summer tutoring was brand new and that was being discussed in March, April, May, and all of that, of 2021. So I'm not sure -- so how we got into the former chief

academic officer was signing invoices that were improperly backdated when the auditors -- CRI does mention in their initial and subsequent report that the contract had the May 1 date in it, but that never got discussed by us because we were all diverted to the invoices.

Then the second table had invoices that were older, which then creates a problem -- gets into accounts payable and the budgeting and funding guidelines which will be something that needs to be provided, because, if you have open -- if you have invoices that are unpaid, and if everybody has done what's in these guidelines, then there should have been POs with funds left over and those should have been carried forward to the next year so that you could then either -- whatever they need to do.

MS. FERTIG: So all of this is coming -- so you're not just asking for the one, you're going to go back through each of them and reevaluate each one?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Each one what? It was never about the invoices. It was never about the invoices.

MS. FERTIG: Well, actually, the whole audit

1 started over something else, so --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Right. Which was never DR. LYNCH-WALSH: answered. But it was never about the invoices. That was a distraction because it was really -the two problems you had, the first one, I'm not even sure why that became a problem because everybody knew. This was approved by the board. The funding was approved. There are emails discussing what these line items are. It was about summer school, the 4. -- under 4.8 it's clear it's about tutoring. And I don't know how you can fire up a tutoring program in summer, which starts early to mid-June and not have to do legwork prior to that. And if there wasn't funding in the current year, because this was primarily for the subsequent fiscal year, why did it turn into a big brouhaha unless everybody -and, remember, there's been a shuffling and a changing of the deck chairs on the Titanic, so a lot of the people -- and the person that could have explained it was never requested by CRI, and all of these people were new, you threw in a new chief academic officer, we reshuffled, got rid of the ESE executive director, so there's all these people that don't actually know what was going on 1 that were questioned, and then --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FERTIG: Okay. I'm getting all of this, but I just want to make sure that when it comes back we have a document for each thing that can be questioned so we don't have to --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, it would be, so things you need are the budget guidelines that were in place, which I have, so I will happily --

MS. FERTIG: Hopefully, he can -- hopefully, he would --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. As part of the public records request and the reason it took so long is we uncovered another problem. Nobody that has access apparently to SAP that was questioned could provide me with the invoices that matched up to POs and identify the funding Because there's also an issue of whether source. this was general funds or ESSER, because tutoring would have been ESSER. And so you have all these invoices that you have to identify the funding source. But it took like 12 people and then I was manually matching -- I was manually matching those invoice numbers that we saw in the report which are the vendor invoice numbers to match them back to the SAP assigned invoice numbers and the purchase order in order to know which things went to which and then they could identify the funding source. It was a labor of love, but, yes, he can certainly obtain all of that.

But the -- and we also need CRI back because they do mention in -- that the contract mentions summer tutoring and that the dates are in the contract. So then the question becomes, this went through legal?

If legal didn't have a problem with it and nobody had a problem with it, then why was it suddenly the former chief academic officer's sole problem? The cover up around here is always worse than the crime. Was there actually a crime or was this about covering up what?

MS. FERTIG: I didn't take that audit to be about any one person. I took it to be about some sloppy procedures that need to be strengthened by better policies.

MS. STRAUSS: Internal controls.

MS. FERTIG: Yeah.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, but we literally, on the earlier invoices it was in the report that he was saying that we need to pay these. So invoices go missing. It could happen. PCG ended

up getting audited at one point and then we got audited and now we have these really old invoices. So anyone can miss a few invoices, especially given how they match or don't match things. But, admit, okay, it's not a perfect system, we missed some invoices, which then necessitated when people discovered it payment. Of course they needed to be paid. question is, where did the funding for those old invoices 90? Because I was told that the funds wouldn't have been spent because there's only so many places for funds to go because they weren't available, presumably, and so those older invoices were being paid out of that July 1, 2021 funding, because, presumably, there was no other funding source. So that's something else that needs to be addressed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. STRAUSS: Nathalie, I'm sorry. With all due respect, can we please get to the topic on hand because that's why we're here?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Which is why I said after.

MS. STRAUSS: I can't do this.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because, yes, it's involved. It's involved.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Mr. Jabouin, did you want

1 to finish?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JABOUIN: Yes. Thank you very much, Chair.

This item will be on the March 2nd Audit Committee meeting.

I also would like to recognize the other persons that are in the room that I did not do during the roll call. Mrs. Marte?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Is there some reason -- you guys are from RSM? Why do I have to break my back to turn around to see them when we've got seats right here? Or there?

MR. JABOUIN: We will bring them up when the agenda item comes up.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But they're -- yeah, but they're --

MR. JABOUIN: Sure. We will bring them up.

Ms. Marte?

MRS. MARTE: Good morning. Judith Marte,
Deputy Superintendent Operations.

MR. LOZANO: Good morning everyone. Ernie Lozano, Task Assigned Chief of Staff.

MS. PUNZI-ELABIARY: Good morning. Kim Punzi-Elabiary, Task Assign Behavioral Threat Assessment Manager.

1 MS. STRAUSS: Where is Dr. Wanza?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

25

MS. FERTIG: I ran into her in the hall and she's coming in a minute.

MS. HARPALANI: Jennifer Harpalani, Office of the Chief Auditor.

MS. ACEVEDO: Ashley Acevedo, Office of the Chief Auditor.

MS. DAILEY: Jennifer Dailey, Office of the Chief Auditor.

MS. JAMES: Hermine James, Office of the Chief Auditor.

MS. ARLOTTA: Meredith Arlotta, Office of the Chief Auditor.

MR. JABOUIN: In the back, first?

MS. LAZARRE: Gerri Lazarre, Trimerge

Consulting Group. We're in the audit pool for

the IT construction and audit.

MS. THOMPSON: Larica Thompson, with Trimerge.

20 MR. MEDVIN: I'm sorry. Can you repeat that?

I couldn't hear you.

MS. THOMPSON: Larica Thompson.

MS. DAHL: Are you using the mikes?

MS. LAZARRE: Good morning everyone. I hope

you can hear me. Gerri Lazarre with Trimerge

Consulting Group. We're a CPA firm. We're part of the auditor pool of vendors that you have for your IT construction and internal controls contract.

MS. THOMPSON: Hi. I am Larica Thompson, also with Trimerge Consulting Group.

MR. JABOUIN: And on the phone do we have Natalee Wallace?

MS. WALLACE: Yes, Natalee Wallace with RSM.

MS. BARDEE: Jamie Bardee, with RSM.

MS. MURTHA: Jen Murtha, with RSM.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MEDVIN: Do we have any public speakers?

MS. MARQUARDT: No, we don't.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. The next item on the agenda is approval of the minutes.

Are there any comments?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Motion to approve.

MR. MEDVIN: I have one. On the title page it has guests, the group includes Ms.

Carter-Lynch. She, in fact, is a member of the committee as of the last meeting. So if we can change the cover page to indicate that she is a committee member in attendance as opposed to a guest.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Motion to approve as amended.

MR. MEDVIN: Any seconds?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Second.

MR. MEDVIN: All in favor?

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?

(No response.)

MR. MEDVIN: Motion carries.

MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry. A quick point, Mr. Medvin, that you may not have been aware of. We received the documentation appointing Ms. Carter-Lynch after the meeting. So during the meeting she didn't count for quorum and voting as

MR. MEDVIN: I have no additional comments.

Item Number 8, RSM - Behavioral Threat Assessment
Policy and Procedures.

well. So just something to keep that in mind.

MR. JABOUIN: Yes, a quick introduction. So this is the audit of the Behavioral Threat

Assessment Policies and Procedures. This audit is a responsible -- is a responsibility of the chief auditor and we engaged RSM to perform this. We will be, and as you are seeing, more frequent audits in this area, so the RSM team who has performed all these for us is here, as well as

I'm here with Jamie

1

Mr. Lozano and his team as well.

MS. MURTHA: Thank you.

2

And so Ms. Murtha will start the discussion.

3

4

5

_

6

7

_

8

9

10

11

12

members.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and we are going to remind the committee on page 3 that this is a compliance audit focused on the required documentation as per the Behavioral Threat Assessment Procedures manual and policy. So the objective of this testing is to determine if the required forms were, one, entered, updated

RSM did not test the appropriateness of the contents of the files or the District's threat assessment process.

complete and completed/signed by the appropriate

into the software, submitted, substantially

We've included a table on page three based on the conversation from the last Audit Committee meeting where we were trying to all recall the dates, the times of when, the scope period and coverage, so we hope that that's beneficial.

We did kick off this in October of 2022. The report is dated January 18th 2023.

If you move to page 14 there are -- there is a matrix which this committee is familiar with where we have the results of our testing from

1 school year '21, '22 and now '23.

I know that the committee has had this report for a couple of weeks now, so we'd like to move right into entertaining any questions, comments, or concerns that the committee has for us as well as for staff at the district.

MR. MEDVIN: All right. It looks like your sample size for the last quarter was 35. I think the quarter before that was also 35.

MS. MURTHA: Correct.

MR. MEDVIN: Now, that wasn't just a pure statistical sample. Please tell me how you came up with that number and the members of the sample.

MS. BARDEE: So the sampling size we worked with internal audit to determine that number.

And the objective was that we're going to be doing these more frequently so we do not need as large of a sample size.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. But on 9/30/22 the number of threats reported it lists as 885, then they're broken down, most of which are entitled transient. And I'm not quite sure what that means, and that will be one of my questions later. So this 35, did you purposely go to the

very serious, and serious, and basically ignore the other ones for this purpose or --

MS. BARDEE: We feel that that's where the highest risk is.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Is 35 enough? I mean --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No.

MR. MEDVIN: I'm a little concerned about that.

Ms. Strauss?

MS. STRAUSS: Yeah. Thank you. So in my -you know, first of all, I appreciate everything
that you do. I know that you all are very
capable. However, even though it is being done
more frequently, I do not think that it's
appropriate to have just a sample of 35 students.
At the end of the day, how many students do we
serve in this district? Does somebody want to
answer that question? How many students do we
serve in this district?

MRS. MARTE: Through the Chair, approximately 200,000.

MS. STRAUSS: 200,000. So 35 out of 200,000. That's very immaterial.

MS. MURTHA: So I can address that. So the sample size is really at the discretion of the

user, which is you all, the Audit Committee. So, with that being said, if the sample needs to be increased, we are happy to increase the sample to satisfy the committee.

However, if I may, in auditing a statistically valid sample is no more than 60. So when you add the two pieces together we will be at 70. Again, it's at the discretion of the committee. However, using a sample, you are typically going to identify the trends and the errors and maybe not all of the irregularities, but you should be able to identify the trends. And that's through GAP and GASB and AICPA that is accepted. However, at the discretion of the committee we can audit as many as you desire.

MS. STRAUSS: Thank you. I appreciate that. And I believe, if we want to pull the record, the last time we looked at this we did express concerns with the 35. I don't know about my colleagues here, but I was not consulted from the chief auditor on what we believed was an appropriate sample size. So we have not made that recommendation as an Audit Committee. Somebody seemed to take that upon themselves to do. And that is not -- that is not, in my

opinion, the purpose of all of us sitting here.

Okay? I don't volunteer my time to not be

consulted. And I understand according to GAP,

you know, and all the different governing bodies

that 70 is the number that they say. But when it

comes to Behavioral Threat Assessment and

children, which I believe is the most important

thing, and I think everyone around this table

probably prioritizes that, 70 is not enough. So

I don't care what the standards say.

MS. MURTHA: Understood.

MR. MEDVIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I concur with everything that Ms. Strauss just said and I'm going to add a couple of questions to that.

On the same page 4 it says, per Internal Audit's direction we judgmentally selected a sample of 35 threat assessments, thus our sample and related testing results were not designed to be representative of the population.

Okay. So in addition, and I believe Ms.

Strauss is a CPA, but in addition to me, myself,
having a background in accounting, I'm a degreed
accountant, I also have a Ph.D., which means I
understand methodology, so this doesn't sound

like random sampling.

MS. MURTHA: It is not random sampling.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So what does judgmentally mean?

MS. BARDEE: When we judgmentally select samples we want to have a good mix of high schools, centers, elementary schools, middle schools as well as timing. So we want to see at the beginning of the scope period, middle and end to see if there's anything that changes in there.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So --

MR. JABOUIN: And to add to that, Dr.

Lynch-Walsh, to answer your question, the

judgmentally part is actually gearing it towards

the higher risk items because the higher risk

occurrences have more steps that need to be

followed and tested.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm following how they got to 119, but my -- so we have -- so far -- so we have the new policy, the new law and the new behavioral threat assessment system. Step 1 was just trying to get people to put stuff in there.

What I came back to and why I'm going to suggestion that we recommended that all 119 should have been included, forget sample, just do

the whole population. It's not 119,000, it's 119 threat assessments.

And the reason -- and the thing is, what you're not auditing, which is not on you guys and I know that you are perfectly capable of doing this, but understand that this Audit Committee is not consulted on sample size, we did not recommend not going with the full population, and I think it's important to understand on page 3, your disclaimer that RSM did not test for the appropriateness of the content of files or the district's threat assessment process.

So we don't know whether the information -now, that we've got people uploading and putting
stuff in and uploading those precious
certificates and everything else, now that
they're doing all of the minutia, we don't know
if the minutia is any good. Because that's not
what you're testing for, which I think we're at a
point where that needs to get added into what
you're doing so that you can speak to the
appropriateness of the content of the file and to
the threat assessment process, itself. Or else
what we're doing could be totally -- and what
you're doing could be totally meaningless and we

could have another tragedy, because we don't actually know if good information, useful information, is being provided, much less acted upon, because that's not part of your scope.

Which, I guess the reason you have an Audit Committee is to point these things out when they're not proactively done.

So I completely concur with Jaclyn, but I think, given the small number of the population that you wound up with, and, again, this isn't on you, one -- all of them should have been included. Because then you would have -- you would actually know what's going on. At first we were trying to get the compliance and then to performance in terms of what's actually happening.

And, yes, 70 isn't enough. I would say do -the whole 119 should have been done. And that's
just on those two pages.

MS. MURTHA: May I? May I comment? And we can absolutely do that. There is -- you know, we can audit the phone book if we wanted to.

Anything, you know, can be audited. It obviously will -- could impact timing, could impact the fee.

MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, so to mention, as far as sampling, that is a fundamental part of audits.

So all the auditors that do work here, they do it on a sampling basis.

During that particular period there was 885 threats that were tested.

MS. STRAUSS: In three months?

MR. JABOUIN: So there was a desire -- I'm sorry, that had built up during that particular timeframe.

The reality of it is, we want to be able to provide information timely to the Audit Committee and the board. The more lengthier -- to be able to do all of them is going to require much more time. So this report would have been prepared much later.

So at some point the audits were occurring and they were a little bit too slow and we wanted to go ahead and speed them up. I think it's better to provide a good point of view and then go on and do the next work on that end, rather than wait and go through all the processes. So there is a timeliness factor that can be provided.

MS. MURTHA: Joris, can I add one thing?

1 MR. JABOUIN: Yes.

MS. MURTHA: We have the staff to do the work from our side, at RSM. However, we do burden the system. We are at the schools, we are talking to the principals. It's not just on us, the time. So just to point that out, we do have active conferences with the principals, with Ernie's team. So the larger the sample the more the burden on the district.

MR. MEDVIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I think Jaclyn put her hand up and Mary, they had their hands up first.

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I just -- I just want to start overall.

MS. DAHL: Mary, put the mike --

MS. FERTIG: Oh, sorry, I moved it away. Thank you.

Yeah, I have a lot of concerns just about the numbers on these first few pages before we get in the back.

So I guess what I want to see that what we're doing is having some sort of impact on the threats and so forth in schools. I don't really kind of see that. I -- as I'm going through

your, on page 4 where you have serious substantive exceptions noted for 12 or 80 percent of the 15 threats tested, that just kind of makes me wonder, if we were to follow up on the threats that we saw in the previous reports, if they will have corrected their problems or not. Are these problems being corrected or because we're doing a different sample size, are we not really catching the fact that the mistakes that you've noted in the past have not really been fixed?

And I don't know what the answer to that is, but I kind of -- this may be a burden on schools, but I actually think you're helping them when you go in and you can point out to them something that they need to be doing better. So I -- yeah, I think if you have the staff and we have the resources this should be prioritized as something that we are doing the max on.

So I -- I have other comments, but I know Mr. De Meo was there right simultaneous.

MR. DE MEO: Just a couple of comments.

First -- and a question. First, impacting the staff in performing your work in this matter, I believe -- I don't think anyone would disagree, this is probably one of the highest priority

1 items we deal with. It's a very --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MURTHA: Understood. I just wanted to point out that we have the resources, but it's kind of a two-way street.

MR. DE MEO: You're a very large firm, we know that, and very capable. But I think whatever imposition occurs will just have to occur.

Second, Section 5D of the policies, we -- your last report we discussed --

MS. SHAW: I can't hear the speaker. I'm sorry.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Is your microphone on?

MR. DE MEO: You can't hear me?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Now we can.

MR. DE MEO: It's on. We discussed the referrals to the authorities, to the police, the sheriff. I don't see any attribute that addresses that specifically. Am I missing that? Is that 5D?

MS. BARDEE: So the student risk intake form does address if it was an immediate threat was police notified.

MR. DE MEO: And you tested for that?

MS. BARDEE: Yeah.

MR. DE MEO: And are your findings reported on that?

MR. LOZANO: Good morning, sir.

So if you look at attributes 3 and 4 law enforcement has to participate in every single threat assessment meeting we have to determine the level of threat. So that is looked at in this audit, that law enforcement was one of the required members on the threat assessment team.

MR. DE MEO: Which one -- which attribute addresses that in this report?

Because I'd like to know if each one of these serious threats, if just one wasn't reported, I consider that a serious failure.

MS. BARDEE: So I think the best one would be 28. Was the BTA signed by all team members as required? And that's where we do look for each required one.

MR. LOZANO: Correct.

MR. DE MEO: I'm going to suggest, again, that a separate line item attribute be tested for the referral to the appropriate authorities.

That, to me, six years ago was one of the serious contributing factors to a tragedy and we don't need to have that again. I mean, this is

1 something within our control.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Then, last comment, the judgmental sampling as you've described makes a lot of sense, but the two speakers before me or the three speakers before me pointed out what a serious and important matter this is. And I do think the judgment in this case in determining the sample should be all 119, or all the serious threats, or all threats over a certain level, whatever the consensus here is. And I think perhaps Mr. Chief Auditor will at least in this case bring this to our attention so that we could contribute to how the audit is going to be performed.

That's all I got.

MR. MEDVIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Do you want to go?

MS. STRAUSS: Yes. Do you have something to say before I make my comment?

MR. LOZANO: No, I said it.

MS. STRAUSS: You said it? You're good?

MR. LOZANO: Thank you, I'm good right now.

MS. STRAUSS: Okay. So a few things here.

Now, number one, I do agree with my colleagues here that bothering or interrupting the day of

25 school staff, I really don't care; okay? This is

their job.

Now, Mrs. Fertig said, you know, helping them, you know, it will help them get better. That's not your job either; right? But simply asking the questions, right, so they know that they're being held accountable, may have some action oriented results. That's number one.

Number two, the point around the failures from the last quarter's audit report, how do we know -- I want a report to know, from your office, Mr. Lozano, that those have been addressed. I want to know what happened. I don't want them falling through the cracks.

We're not doing this just to like report and tell us and then do nothing. So I want to know what was done to rectify those situations and what happens in those situations that were serious; okay? Because at the end of the day what are we accomplishing? We're paying for an audit and then we're not doing anything in response to that audit.

MR. LOZANO: So the chief auditor had us address those issues even during the audit and made sure they were all addressed. Prior to us coming to the last audit meeting we had addressed

each of those threat assessments that had a fail in the attribute before we even came to the Audit Committee meeting.

MS. STRAUSS: Okay. So I'd like for the chief auditor to perhaps be more transparent and communicate that information to all of us.

Because I didn't know that. I mean, I don't know, I don't know, maybe my colleagues got the memo and I missed it, but I didn't see it.

The other thing in regards to Mr. Chief Auditor's comment on timing and timing and a delay and a delay, I think we just heard from RSM they are fully capable, fully, where there should not be a delay. And I believe that if we asked for additional investment in audit funds that may be necessary to increase the scope of your audit sample size, nobody would disagree with that.

Nobody.

So I don't want to hear about timing and extra time and blah-blah-blah. Because at the end of the day, as a mother, I do not want a child sitting next to my son or daughter that is going to be taking some sort of not desirable action, period, end of story. There is no exceptions here.

So, like, I'm looking -- I'm looking at percent failed. I mean, out of 35 sample size, the fact that those percentages that there's failures in such a small sample, I mean, then what will those percentages be if the sample size is larger? That's scary. And, again, I think as Mr. De Meo pointed out, like, we don't want one. We had one and then we just celebrated -- or, I'm sorry, not celebrated, we just memorialized five years of an awful massacre. And it's not stopping. So what are we doing?

MR. MEDVIN: Are you currently working on the next quarter's report?

MS. BARDEE: Not yet. We were waiting for direction.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. So at this point your scope hasn't been finalized?

MS. STRAUSS: Okay. So can we do that, Mr. Medvin?

MR. MEDVIN: We can certainly talk about it.

Again, I don't know what our parameters are as

far as total cases and the breakdown that they

had to work with.

Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I want to double back to

the point Mr. De Meo brought up, which was

Section 5 -- he said 5D, but since he brought it

up I've been reading a little bit here. So

Section 5, in the policy, at least the one that's

in the packet from last month, says Follow-Up and

Intervention and it has A, B, C, D and E.

MS. FERTIG: Do you have a page number?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: In the packet it says on the bottom, page 35, and then I'm going to double

back to page 13.

MS. DAHL: I was going to say I was looking at 13.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, 13 is whether it's auditable or not. If you go back to the policy section, the actual policy, it -- well, it says page 35 at the bottom, so I think it's page 35 of the packet. It also says page 6 of 8.

MR. JABOUIN: There is the numbering of the document, itself, and then some documents have their own internal numbering between that. Can you read the last number way at the bottom, Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: On mine it's 35. So at the top it says Section V, Follow-Up and Intervention.

MS. DAHL: Is it 73, also?

MS. STRAUSS: No, no, no, you're on page 72.

MS. DAHL: Okay. Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And then while hanging on to that double back to page 13 which has the policy references and then -- so 5A seems to match, BTA teams shall follow established procedures for school-based community, and/or health care providers for mental health services. B, the school principal will review the documentation for all threat assessments to ensure completeness and fidelity. C, if an immediate mental health or substance abuse crisis is suspected, school personnel must follow policies to engage behavioral health crisis resource officers who have been trained in crisis intervention.

So next to all three of those it says, yes, yes, yes, yes. I have an issue with the ones that say, no, next to them, but that's not actually my concern right this second.

So then when you go back to the audit itself, which is on page 10, that has the attributes, and let me just make sure I'm understanding this. So under attributes on page 10 where it says 5a --

under BTA Training and it has 5a, b and c, is that supposed to be how you're auditing the policy references 5a, b and c or am I misunderstanding?

So on page 10 there's a section called BTA Training and there are numbers in the second column.

MS. BARDEE: I understand what you're saying.

And, no, it's not tied to that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

MS. BARDEE: We don't break out 5 as one attribute, but we test it individually, so, students, staff, and then the other -- the last training there, whether they're putting out in the school community, you know, information.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So let me phrase this differently.

What attributes are testing on page 13, 5A, 5B, 5C? What attributes are associated with those?

MS. BARDEE: When we look at the monitoring plans they are given, whether they need to go to the different -- they need mental health services; they need counseling; those types of things.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So where --

MS. WALLACE: If I may, I can address that for you. If you look at that same chart you're looking at, there's a column that says Policy 4380 next to the Attributes column, those are policy reference numbers. So if you look at Attribute 9, for example, you will see that it addresses Policy IV(D)(c) as well as V(A)(C)(G) and (H). And if you proceed to the next page there's a few others that also address Section V.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So specifically 5A

COURT REPORTER: Who is that?

MR. MEDVIN: That's the lady from RSM.

MR. JABOUIN: Natalee Wallace.

MS. WALLACE: Yes, this is Natalee Wallace on the phone. Sorry about that. It's hard to hear you guys. I'm trying to chime in where I can provide assistance.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So if I'm looking for 5A, B and C, yeah, I think I knew this the first time we did this, and I'm looking for the reference, so -- and I'm scrolling, I'm scanning, I'm looking for Roman numeral 5 --

MS. WALLACE: Yeah, so Attribute Number 9,

under Student Intake Form Number 9, were the mandatory immediate actions taken and documented if an imminent threat has been identified? It says V(A)(C)(G) and (H) are covered underneath that attribute.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. A and C, but not B; okay.

MS. WALLACE: And then Attribute 5C would be covered underneath attributes 29 and 30 on the following page.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: 29 and 30. Okay. So were the mandatory immediate actions taken and documented if an imminent threat had been identified? So there's one attribute doing A and C and two doing B. And Mr. De Meo's essentially asked about A, I think.

MR. DE MEO: No, it's actually D.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: D. Sorry, D. That's the other agency. We haven't even gotten to that.

MR. DE MEO: It says it's not auditable and we discussed this last time. It is auditable.

Tell me -- first of all, I want to know who determined it's not auditable and then I'd like to know why.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And E is the same issue. E

is the same issue where it says it's not auditable.

MR. DE MEO: But I'd like to know who determined it is not auditable and I'd like to know the reason why it's not auditable. Can someone answer that one? It is auditable. Tell me why it's not auditable.

MS. MURTHA: Joris, we're going to defer to you.

MR. JABOUIN: I was going to defer to you on that one there.

What page are you on.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: 13. 13 has the chart that shows whether things are -- 12 and 13 speak to whether something is auditable or not. Which I take issue with 3A, there's a no there; 3F, there's a no there; and 5D and E both have nos, and I know I've brought this up before.

MS. STRAUSS: Yeah. Uh-huh. We all did.

MR. JABOUIN: I believe RSM, during the discussions that we had with you, there's a certain level of documentation that you are looking for in order to be able to opine on those particular areas to make them such that you would put yes. That's what I recall from our

1 conversations.

So, for example, on 5E it says, the BTA team shall identify additional members of the school community to whom behavior is reported to and provide guidance, and those are the things where the district may not have the documentation to be able to pass the test. That was some of our discussions.

So if it is something that during the future reports that we think that steps can be done for that, then we should indeed do so is my thinking.

MR. DE MEO: BTA shall contact other agencies involved with the student and any known service providers to share information and coordinate necessarily follow up. That's just grammatical. That is not auditable? We don't have a record of who we called, the phone number and who we talked to, the date and time and the summary?

MS. SHAW: Speak in the mike.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The mike. Phyllis can't hear you.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Is your mike on?

MR. DE MEO: It is on and --

MS. STRAUSS: It's not working though.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It's not the best. Here

1 they come.

MR. DE MEO: You're going to replace it again?

BECON: We're trying to narrow down the issue.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: You've got to use your Mom voice. I'm just saying.

MR. DE MEO: Do you want me to repeat what I said?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Please, yes.

MS. SHAW: Yes.

MR. DE MEO: Okay. In the last meeting regarding this topic, I asked if this was auditable and why it wasn't and I asked that it be a specific attribute. So I just read 5D out of the policy. BTA team shall contact other agencies involved with the student and any known service providers to share information and coordinate necessary follow up.

This is critical to the policy. It's the serious threats that are dangerous, imminently dangerous.

If we don't have a record of who we followed up with, what is the point of this whole policy?

And if we don't have the means to capture that

information, it should be immediately -immediately prepared and have the chief auditor
and everybody else involved look at it and then
we should audit it.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Ms. Dahl?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. DAHL: And I want to go back to page 3 for a minute because we've been asking about the sample size of 35 and if you look at the school year 2021 there was 414 and the sample size was 75 and if you look at the school year 2020 the sample size was 200. So I don't understand with those two sample sizes how we got down to 35 for '22 and '23, where the direction -- I'm on page 3 on the chart. And it says sample size for 2020, 200; 2021, 75; and then 2022 and 2023, 35. the one in 2022 there were 1,670 number of threats reported, and, again, we only sampled 35. So I don't understand how that number of 35 came about when you have such large samples and before you were doing larger samples.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you, Ms. Dahl. I think in response particularly with Mr. De Meo's comment, I think as we go into the next report looking at all the very serious and serious threats, which in this case you see 34 and 85 for

a total of 119, that is the approach that we should take into the next report.

MS. FERTIG: Yes. Yes. And just can I comment on one other thing that you said or one other -- can we just take one topic and go into it? Because I wanted to go back to what Mr. De Meo said.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I have something on that too.

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I just -- we just seem to be jumping all over.

MS. MURTHA: Full circle on the sample size, if we do these reports quarterly, and we can absolutely do 100 percent, we can do 100 percent, but if you take the 35 and you multiply it by four, if we were to present it quarterly it would be at 140.

MS. DAHL: Yeah, but I don't see that that's the case here because -- I'm sorry, I'm --

MS. MURTHA: The period was from July 1 through September 30, so if we picked up from October, November, December, and then it would be January, February, March.

MS. DAHL: I don't read it that way. I'm looking at the sample was from July 1st, 2022 to

9/30/2022. And, quite frankly, that's only about a month and a half, maybe two months of sample, because that's the length of time that the children were in school, and then if you look at 2 -- 2022, the sample, I'm going to be honest with you, is probably the worst time of the year for students in schools because it's coming to the end of the school year, and that's when a lot of kids have gotten really tired of being there, they've, you know, are frustrated, things have not been taken care of, and in that particular quarter there were 1,670 incidents. Now, yeah, 71 and 161 is higher than the one 119, but, again, the sample was 35. And, having been a middle school principal for many years, I can tell you that the end of the year is where we get the most, at least when I was working, that is when we would get the most threats coming in because the kids, as I said, a lot of times they didn't feel like they had any incentive to continue to be acting correctly. They may have already known that they're failing. You know, all those things go into their ability to continue to behave. And that's the reason I'm concerned that, you know, we're looking at such a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

small sample when you have such a large -- and I understand we're not going to do transient and unfounded, but --

MS. FERTIG: But can we just agree on one thing, and that's that we're going to do -- when you do it you're going to do all the serious and very serious in that period?

MR. JABOUIN: Yes.

MS. STRAUSS: Perhaps not in the summer.

Maybe that would be a waste, in the summer when
the kids aren't in school.

MS. FERTIG: Well, I would say that there are programs through the summer --

MR. JABOUIN: Yes, there are threats then.

MS. FERTIG: -- and the population I wouldn't say you would do that.

MR. MEDVIN: Mr. Lozano?

MR. LOZANO: So the past three years with the ESSER and ARP funds that were given to schools we did have a lot of students in summer program, so we were running threat assessments throughout the summer. Again, we didn't have very many, but we did -- to your point, one is too many, and we need to get them right. So I do think it is appropriate to make sure we're following

protocols in the summer and we're not, you know, relaxing on anything.

So my suggestion would be to include the summer.

MR. MEDVIN: At this point, like I say, you haven't started with this current quarter, do you have an idea of the number of threats reported for this fourth quarter? You haven't gotten that far yet?

MS. BARDEE: We have not received those numbers.

MS. STRAUSS: What are those, Mr. Lozano?

MR. LOZANO: So for, if we do October,
November, December, in October we had a total of
584 threats. Let me do some quick math. 70 at
the substantive level. In November we had 356
threats, 43 at the substantive level. And then
we had for December 537, 73 at the substantive
level.

MS. STRAUSS: Wow.

MR. LOZANO: So that's the numbers. So I'll give you, while we're on numbers, just a total for this year as of February 14th, we've done 3,174 threat assessments, 413 of those at the substantive level. And even though we didn't

audit it, I'll give you the SRA data, the Suicide Risk Assessment data, we've done a total this year of 1,358, 194 at the high level. We, currently, as a district have 397 active monitoring plans being serviced right now. And safety plans we currently have 537.

MR. MEDVIN: So the numbers are a lot higher?
MR. LOZANO: Correct.

MS. STRAUSS: Okay. I'm sorry. So I really do want to go back to Mr. De Meo's point on documentation and law enforcement involvement.

Okay. So two points here. Number one, I believe that if a police report is filed -- filed, it's like public record, right, somebody can go and they can request a copy of the report and they can get that report if there's an actual police report filed for anything. So that's public records.

Now, why anybody would have to go to that extreme level of doing that, because that's a lot of time, doesn't seem necessary as it should be in the report included already with a copy, law enforcement should be signing off. Because it's my understanding that they are involved in these serious threat assessment conversations; correct?

They're called in; okay?

MR. LOZANO: Correct.

MS. STRAUSS: So I don't understand why that is not auditable.

MR. LOZANO: It's very easily auditable.

It's on the risk intake form that all schools complete, was law enforcement notified, and schools input the date and time. So we can absolutely look at the risk intake form and make that an attribute.

MS. STRAUSS: Thank you very much.

Now, the second thing --

MS. BARDEE: Can we -- can we clarify before we move on from that?

MS. STRAUSS: Yes.

MS. MURTHA: Yes, we do look at that student risk intake form and we are looking to see that there is a police officer identified and a date and time.

MS. STRAUSS: Okay. But, great. Do we know what action was taken? Do we have a report? Do we know of their involvement? I mean, is that being looked at?

MR. DE MEO: Where is that in your report?

MS. STRAUSS: I know. I mean, I don't

1 understand.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LOZANO: So, again, a police officer is not going to necessarily do a report unless a crime took place.

MR. DE MEO: If you're contacting a police officer, jot it down.

MS. STRAUSS: They have to document it.

MR. MAYERSOHN: No, no, they're part of the behavioral threat assessment team.

MR. LOZANO: Correct.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So they don't have to write a report. They're part of the team.

MR. DE MEO: Forget the report. Who did you contact? When did you contact them?

MR. LOZANO: That's in the risk intake form?

MR. DE MEO: What was the nature of the call?

MR. LOZANO: That's in the intake form.

MS. STRAUSS: He's saying they have that.

MR. DE MEO: Where was that -- was an audit procedure performed on that and where is that in the report?

MS. BARDEE: There is not a specific attribute that identifies it --

MR. DE MEO: Right.

MS. BARDEE: -- was the police box checked?

But it is something we're looking for when we look at, is that form substantially complete?

MS. STRAUSS: Okay.

MS. MURTHA: So there would be a signature and a date stamp that we would look at, just like we would look for that for the principal, for the mental health professional in that form; is that signed off?

MR. DE MEO: Okay. To be clear, we're asking you to separate, make a separate line item attribute that is tested --

MS. MURTHA: Understood. I wrote that down.

MR. DE MEO: -- for each and every serious and very serious threat to make sure that it's properly referred and handled, just like the plan, that their plan exists, and then we need the follow-up. As Ms. Fertig said, we need to know as part of your audit what have the -- and I do see letters here from, addressing a lot of these comments, but I think it would be important for you to follow up to see, just like you would in any internal control deficiency, to follow up and see if these have been remediated. That's what we're talking about here, I think.

MS. STRAUSS: Okay. I'm sorry, I didn't

finish my second comment that I want to make. So

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I'm here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. So I, actually, MS. STRAUSS: experienced firsthand my children's school having a code with police showing up recently. Okay? There was a code yellow called, which, apparently, is a hold. It most likely should have been a code red. However, when the principal asked law enforcement, what code do you recommend that I call, the police said that is up to you. So -- and I understand it's not the police's job, now, because I looked into that, you know, they can't make that call, they're not on campus yet, okay, in those seconds or whatever it is. So I believe, okay, because I believe the wrong code was called, and there could have been an active shooter, and my kid was walked from outside back into the building. Why would that -- why would my kid be walked back into the building if they were already out of the building and there was potentially an active shooter inside; okay? Because the wrong code was called.

So I believe that better training and support and more guidance, black and white, on what code

needs to be called under what circumstances, there needs to be a matrix. Because that is a huge burden for the vice principal that is responsible for making the call on a code. it's all on them. I mean, the wrong color code can result in a horrible failure. empathized with that staff member because the police wouldn't guide them. Fine, it's not their job I found out after the fact. But why should our -- why should that burden be on our school staff to like really not know? I mean, that's not fair. We ask a lot of them. So just give them the proper training; okay? I mean, it was really, I've got to say, quite eye opening and upsetting and this was at an A-rated school in the district. I can't imagine what's going on in D and F-rated schools in the district.

MS. DAHL: Well, Marjory Stoneman Douglas was an A-rated school.

MS. STRAUSS: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FERTIG: I think that the letter grade probably doesn't equate to some things.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Marjory Stoneman Douglas had a threat assessment also.

MS. STRAUSS: Okay. But, regardless, that's

just -- that was a personal experience that I wanted to share, and I don't think it's fair to our staff to not know because they don't have clear guidance.

MR. LOZANO: We hear you and I'm going to take that back to Chief Alberti. We did switch as a district this year from the colors to plain language, so it needs to be continuous ongoing training. So point taken and I will definitely take that back to Chief Alberti.

MS. STRAUSS: Great. And then one final thing here is, I know that we talked about and I saw it here on the report, I know that we talked about the transfer students, so item 40 on page -- or 39 -- 39, I'm sorry, on page 16, okay, 33 percent failed, failed, on those transfer students. What's going on?

MS. FERTIG: I have that same thing noted and I wanted to -- I had a lot of questions in this area, so could we just take this as an area and go through it or --

MS. STRAUSS: Well, wait. Hold on. I'm sorry. I just -- I'm sorry. One more thing.

You just read out huge numbers of threats. Huge.

Like very upsetting and concerning.

Now, I understand and I know that we have a lot of resources, mental health, whatever they all are, but I believe that something is being missed. I think that we can do better. So what is out there? What tools? How can we resonate with these children?

Now, some of them, you know, it starts at home and it flows through to the schools, and I see here that the alternative schools, there's a lot going on there. But this is our chance.

This is our chance to intervene and equip them with tools. And I know that we have a toolbox that we currently throw at them. But something isn't working.

So can we investigate -- you know, there's innovation every single day in every sector, including education. Perhaps it's values.

Perhaps it's something out of the box. Can we do different? Can we do better and approach these students in a different way to try and have an impact so we don't sit here and hear these numbers? Because they are alarming.

MR. LOZANO: Absolutely. And I'll quote Rita Pierson, every kid needs a champion. So what you're saying is relationships, relationships,

relationships. And as adults and staff members and getting the whole community involved to support our children, you know, in the school and at home. I think it takes all of us working together so students feel they have a safe place and they don't feel isolated and at the point where they don't have a purpose and they feel like I have nothing to lose. Because when students have nothing to lose that's when you start to see these situations occur. So, again, I think as a community and a district we do need to look at every resource available to ensure our students don't feel that this is what they have to resort to.

MS. STRAUSS: And look, Big Brothers, Big Sisters, all these places, there's only so much manpower, so there has to be some other way that we can scale and reach these kids in the masses, because these are massive numbers, to simply try something different. That's all I'm asking for. Because this is not working.

MS. FERTIG: Well, can we go back? I would

MS. SHAW: Mr. Chair, can someone else speak besides the two people?

MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Shaw can you repeat your point?

MS. SHAW: Could someone else speak besides the two people? I would like to make comments, but there only seems to be two people speaking.

MS. FERTIG: And also could we just kind of take one area and stick to it? Because I have questions on both the 16 and also on the 5D, which I think go to the whole, so --

MS. SHAW: Absolutely. I agree with that, because we're all over the place.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, we need to go back to the beginning, which is why I've had my hand up for, I don't know, until it wore off.

MS. FERTIG: Oh, I just thought you were just trying to lift weights with your hand.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no.

MR. MEDVIN: Let me get to Mr. Mayersohn. He has been very patient.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Yeah, just, and I don't want to necessarily go into everything, but just a couple of comments.

Obviously, the unfounded and transient behavior threats have not been tested. I'd like to see at least some of them tested. Because,

again, there are situations where a threat may be unfounded today, but that student may have some challenges moving on and then we find out that there's next week a transient threat and next week a serious threat and we've missed the opportunity to do any Intervention. So I'd like to see some of those tested to see -- and, again, I'll use the words that Dr. Smiley kind of said yesterday which resonated with me, implementation with fidelity. So that's number one.

The other thing is, as far as the analysis that you have by risk level and obviously by type of school, do you do it geographically as well?

MS. BARDEE: No.

MS. MURTHA: Yes.

MR. MAYERSOHN: I got a yes and a no.

MS. MURTHA: We look at high school, middle, elementary.

MR. MAYERSOHN: But you don't do geographically? So you don't have, out of the 35 that there were six in the northwest, six in the southeast; nothing like that? I'd like to see some sort of geographical testing as well?

MS. MURTHA: So I think that's an excellent point. And one thing that I know we talked about

what's in the system. So from a completeness standpoint, which I know is an audit word, but it's a concept, right, we test the population in the system. We don't do any sort of analytics. And I'm going off your point of should we be including those in the population at least on a sample basis. We don't do, and I know -- I know the district does, any analysis on why are there no threat assessments at this school but there are several here and there are times two here?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right. Well, again, from an audit standpoint, even if the numbers are broken down and you said, hey, we've got 7,000-whatever threat assessments, here's the breakdown in quadrants, at least to look and identify, and this may be more for the district's analysis, but from -- my perspective is I want to make sure that there's at least some testing where -- I mean, obviously, I'd like to test every school, but not every school may have a threat assessment, but at least testing to know that the person responsible for that, you know, the north area superintendent is being tested to ensure that there's training and things going on as well

as the central area, south area or wherever the new quadrants will be broken up in the future going on so at least to know that that training process is taking place, which would identify to say, you know, we would go back to the, what is it here 39, that, you know, 33 percent failure, and granted it's only three cases, but are all three in the northeast or are all three in the southwest? You know, where are they? So maybe there's some training component there that something's missing. So that's where -- that's where, you know, I look at it.

I mean, I agree, obviously, with, you know, the issues, and I guess Mr. Lozano, through the Chair, if you can just -- because I know there have been some issues concerning about law enforcement's involvement, can you just explain to us is that -- and, again, there's a behavioral threat assessment team, which law enforcement is included in, law enforcement has to sign off on those documents. At what point does law enforcement get involved with a report or is that at law enforcement's discretion? So if there is a high risk threat, does law enforcement always -- is there always a referral to law

10101100 00 0110111 010

enforcement or depending on what the threat is?

MR. LOZANO: So when there is an imminent threat it's immediate. So sometimes you have two parallel processes going on at the same time. When it's to the level of an imminent threat law enforcement usually leads because they have to address the imminent threat, especially if it's criminal, as well. So they're involved immediately. They do their separate reports. They do all of theirs simultaneously while the school is conducting the documents that are required through the threat assessment process.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right. But let's say there's a serious, substantive threat that takes place, it's not imminent, but it's serious, potentially serious, does law enforcement -- then is it referred to law enforcement as a criminal activity? Or depending upon what the activity is?

I guess what I'm trying to do is address Mr.

De Meo's point where he said, you know, the serious threats should be referred to law enforcement and it may not be -- they may be at the table, but it may not be something that's referred to them depending upon what the activity

is.

MR. LOZANO: So all substantive threats are referred to them. Because, remember, if it's -- if it's documented as a substantive threat, it's not resolved. So that means law enforcement, we usually call, they go do a home check, they go do -- do things to ensure things outside of the school are happening. Are they following the checklist? Does this student have access to weapons? Is this student unsupervised outside of school time? So law enforcement immediately starts to begin all those processes as well.

Where I'd say where we've gotten -- where we've really improved as a district is our 93 charter schools this year. Because we have a significant amount of charter schools and my team has ensured through SIU and law enforcement that we have law enforcement being just as proactive with charter schools as our traditional schools.

So, and, again, this is the group really. I enjoy these days in these meetings because you help us get better and you help us, like even adding a document to document when we would call mental health and other community resources, that's going to be a value add to what we do as a

team, so I appreciate that. And, again, so, yes, to your question.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. And just one other thing and then we'll go back to where we were, but just to Ms. Strauss's point is that the district does have family counseling services. They have it through the -- through Broward County they've got a, I want to say a grant of 1.6 million as well as through BBHC. So there are those things placed. The real challenge is whether there's wraparound services. that's really where you go into the family strengthening and find out, you know, what actually the issues are. Because it may be the student, himself, an isolated situation, but when they go back home there may be a multitude of reasons why they are acting out or providing you know -- call it, I'll call it nontypical behavior or reaching out, challenging behavior. we've had suicides, you know, in our city where parents have said, I didn't realize that my child was that affected.

And, to your point, yesterday there was a threat made at Stoneman Douglas High School, it was a call made directly into BSO, they're still

investigating it, but it was a very traumatic issue for a lot of parents in the community, especially. We had a SAC meeting and I was driving down Holmberg Road and saw police cars lined up and fire engines. It was a little bit challenging, so -- but the district did --

MS. FERTIG: But going back to those questions, Bob, that goes back to 5D and my question I wanted to ask the whole time is, is someone -- are we making sure that someone's documenting the services the child's receiving and communicating with those services?

MR. LOZANO: So they're documented in a different system. Those types of services are in BASIS. Those types of services are in BASIS. We don't necessarily document those in our EdPlan threat assessment database, but schools document the services provided to students in BASIS.

MS. FERTIG: And is there communication between the two? Yes? Okay.

MR. MAYERSOHN: And Mary, and I don't mean to interrupt anybody, but that goes back to, I know CSC and some other agencies were all trying to get involved in this integrated database where things like BASIS could communicate to a mental

health counselor. So there's been issues with legalese on it, and, you know, sharing information, FERPA information, but the reality is until you're able to share that information with agencies, getting back to 39 about, you know, even internally, but even externally, I mean, if there is a network that would allow, you know, if somebody comes from Tampa, you know, coming to Broward County, we don't have any idea about that student, it's only what's provided.

MS. STRAUSS: I do believe that the Department of Education is working on that and there is going to be a state something.

MR. LOZANO: Yeah, so --

MS. STRAUSS: He can comment. I don't know.

MR. LOZANO: So I'll miss my friends on
Tuesday at the board workshop because I'll be up
in Orlando with Sheriff Gualtieri and the MSD
Commission as part of the legislation that's in
the state right now to implement a statewide
threat assessment system across the State of
Florida and then build an interface overlay. So
just to what you said, a student moves from Tampa
to Broward, we're able to immediately access and
know that information. So all that work has

started and I'll be up there on Tuesday and Wednesday working on that work.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right. But that's within the Department of Education not necessarily in other agencies.

MS. STRAUSS: Well, that is for, I believe the legislation proposes within K-12 schools.

MR. MAYERSOHN: In the school; right. But if you have a child that let's say is having counseling at Henderson or some other entity or in the legal -- you know, in the legal system, juvenile justice system, that information has to be shared, and currently it's not. And currently there's some legal challenges where, again, what do you share, what can you share and connecting all -- breaking down the silos is basically what the intent is.

MS. STRAUSS: Right.

MR. LOZANO: So there is a Florida Safety
School portal, and now all schools have the
access when they do a threat assessment. Mental
health access and law enforcement and school
administrators have access. So that is an
improvement and upgrade to. Because now when a
threat assessment is done, especially at the

substantive level, schools and required personnel need to go into the Florida School Safety portal to see what prior information exists.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Can we use that as an attribute and make sure it's tested?

MS. FERTIG: But that's what I thought we were talking around all this time. I don't have the -- it could be in this program, it could be in this program, but when you're in crisis is the time to, again, check and see what services they're getting and immediately be communicating with them. And I just want to make sure we're checking and making sure that's happening. I'm sure it is probably, but maybe not, and --

MR. DE MEO: It doesn't look like it.

Mr. Chair, I just have a few questions to clarify Mr. Lozano.

First of all, your title Chief of Staff Task
Assigned. Who's assigned to the threat
assessment? Who's in charge of the threat
assessment?

MR. LOZANO: So, technically, I still oversee, but right now my main day-to-day person who handles it on a day-to-day basis is Kim Punzi-Elabiary, right here. I will tell you, I

start every morning at 6:45 meeting with her, it's Kim and coffee every day to make sure -- you know, that's how I start my morning to make sure she's ready to go, all things are in place.

MS. STRAUSS: How long are you task assigned for?

MR. LOZANO: So it's a six-month assignment and I started on September 12th.

MR. DE MEO: We're fortunate to have someone like you two addressing this, but, honestly, the optics of that are horrible. There isn't a threat assessment person in charge?

Ms. Marte, what is going on? Where is the superintendent? I'd like to ask the board. Why don't we have a threat assessment chief? Call it what the hell you like. Unbelievable. Unbelievable, number one.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Can I --

MR. MEDVIN: Wait a minute. I've got two other questions.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I've been waiting more than patiently, which is not my strongest attribute, and I've had my hand up for like an hour.

MR. DE MEO: All right. Let me finish and then --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, because I can shed light on -- I can answer that question, actually.

MR. DE MEO: No, I know the answer to the question. It's obvious in the title of Mr. Lozano. It's embarrassing. A school district 245,000 kids, are you kidding?

Number two, 5D, does anyone think the law cited at the end of 5D prohibits them from communicating the necessary information with regard to these threat assessments? Is there a perception of that in your group or anybody --

MR. LOZANO: No.

MR. DE MEO: -- principals? Okay.

And then, lastly, how do you know -- this was mentioned by the auditors, this is a very important thing. Some things are not auditable, but let's not get into that. How do you know -- how does the district know that every reportable threat was reported?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: They don't.

MR. LOZANO: So, again, we're only as good as the system, to your point. But I will tell you my team, once it is reported, they scrub every threat assessment.

MR. DE MEO: Oh, I know that. But I'm trying

to, are there -- like Mr. Mayersohn mentioned, are their principals that might view this as less important than another principal and therefore the threat is a process that involves their judgment as to whether or not it should be reported, or is there some clear standard and some means of reinforcing this, I know there's education and so on, to make sure that every threat contemplated by the policy, and common sense to be honest with you, is addressed, documented and properly referred?

MR. LOZANO: So we have 10 discipline codes in this district that require a threat assessment, and, again, we can ensure when those 10 discipline incidents are entered that threat assessment is completed and done. It goes back to ongoing training with school leaders and staff, even community reporting threats.

We do have a system where schools report critical incidents. My team is on that channel and they track them. So if we see an incident immediately that would require a threat we reach out to the school to ensure they immediately start the threat assessment process. So we try to have as many checks and balances in place as

we can, you know. But, again, we're not at every school site when those situations happen.

MR. DE MEO: Thank you, Dr. Lynch-Walsh, for letting me go ahead. Appreciate it.

MS. FERTIG: And you wanted to ask if they'd give us a list of those 10; right?

MR. DE MEO: That's a good idea.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So just to -- well, I'm going to work backwards. So I think everyone in here is aware that we just got a new superintendent yesterday.

MS. FERTIG: Can I just say that when I walked in Dr. Wanza was walking her upstairs. I know several people have said, where is she? She said she'd be down when they finish something.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The one that just got mutually separated wasn't at these meetings either and I would imagine if you just became superintendent, and interim is not recognized by the state, it's mid-February, interim is going to be a while.

So, point being, we just got a new one yesterday, and, yes, the board did approve making the Executive Director of Behavioral Threat

Assessments also task assigned as Chief of Staff when they got rid of the Chief of Staff a few months ago. It didn't seem like the best idea to me, but I'm not on the board, so I don't know that that could have gotten corrected yesterday, but I would expect that if it isn't corrected by our next meeting then that would be problematic. I suspect it will be. So I'll just work backwards from that.

This is a report from RSM on the compliance -- it's a compliance audit. So we started at the beginning with concerns about sample size and with scope and then we got into referrals.

So we don't -- we do not know about the effectiveness, which is what everyone's been talking about, and I agree with everyone's concerns, but we do not know, as they have mentioned, that they are not speaking to -- where is it? Is it on page 3? Or the first page?

RSM did not test for the appropriateness of the content of the files or the district's threat assessment process.

So in terms of sample size, I would say until they are testing for that, then -- then you could

let go on sample size. Because right now we don't know how good what's going in is.

They also shed light on, you know, we only know what's in the system, because I wrote this down, the schools that aren't reporting any threat assessments, that's how you would know, potentially, which, either the school has no threats or someone's not taking it seriously, which brings me to those quarterly reports that we once passed a motion about, which all the numbers that just got rattled off, that's the information I think we passed a motion about one or two meetings ago.

So it seems like we're going to need motions on sample size, the scope, and the scope being that we do want them to test for the appropriateness of the content of the files and the district's threat assessment process, itself, which is what everyone's been talking about for the past half hour. And then getting back to being to 5D, because people are held accountable for adherence to policy, not to practice. So the policy in here, what keeps nagging at me is in section 5 or where is notifying law enforcement?

Because all of 5 speaks to school-based community

and/or health care providers for mental health services evaluation or treatment, and maybe I'm missing the words law enforcement in here. Then when we get to D we get to other agencies. Is that to imply law enforcement or is there somewhere else where it explicitly states law enforcement referrals?

Because if it's stated somewhere else in policy then it's covered and then we can backtrack into which attribute is testing for those referrals. It doesn't sound like --

MR. DE MEO: My computer died. I couldn't find it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I couldn't find it either.

MR. DE MEO: And I think that is the place. Because the law that is appended to that, is cited, refers to whom the district can send information and what kind of information.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

MR. DE MEO: And after reading it a few times it's not real clear, but I'm pretty sure it includes law enforcement.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So then, to your point, if this is the only place -- is that the only play where law enforcement is implied?

Beyond when law enforcement is already there, is 5D, the thing that isn't auditable, supposedly, and I just want it -- actually, that's another issue. Back to page -- the top of page 12, because when it says it's not auditable, we had a whole discussion about everything being auditable, but it literally says here, and I thought it was just that they didn't have records, but this says, were not auditable because those sections where goals, statements and objectives that are general in nature and are not subject to audit procedures. Huh?

MS. FERTIG: Okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No. Wrong answer.

Because, as we talked about earlier, any of this is auditable. Did you, in fact -- is there a signature? Is there proof that they referred it?

MR. DE MEO: I don't think appropriateness can be audited by RSM unless they demonstrate some human resource or some attribute, something expertise within their group that speaks to, you know, behavioral activities. But I think there are others.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, no, this is -- this is did they refer it? But they're saying that 5D

1 | -

MR. DE MEO: But I don't think they -- we can task them to test the audit, the appropriateness.

I think we could ask someone else maybe that knows these things.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, I'm talking about 5D and E and also -- we're not talking about appropriateness. This -- that's not a true statement. Because 5D, that they shall contact other agencies involved with a student and any known service providers to share information and coordinate necessary follow up is absolutely auditable if you're keeping records.

MR. DE MEO: Oh, yeah. Yeah, yeah, yeah.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, but that's a blanket statement at the top as to why 3A, 3F, 5D and E are not auditable because those sections were goals statements and objectives. I don't know who wrote that, but that's not -- that's not an accurate statement.

MR. DE MEO: I agree with you. I agree with you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. And E, of course --

MR. DE MEO: Well, I asked the question before, but nobody offered to answer.

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, you did say who. So I guess it's time to ask that question again.

Who decided, because it kind of sounded like you guys had a discussion but it wasn't clear who actually decided, I have my own theory about it, who decided that these things were not auditable?

MS. FERTIG: Well, let's just move forward and add it to the next -- to the next -- I mean, is that your motion? We've been talking around it. Let's just add it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, we need --

MR. JABOUIN: Can I summarize --

MR. MEDVIN: Mr. Jabouin, please.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So we need at least three motions just to keep them simple. The first is -- has to do with sample size, which, basically, it sounds like we want 100 percent of the serious and very serious threats to be tested.

MS. FERTIG: Can we just move on that so we don't have to keep discussing it?

MR. MEDVIN: Let Mr. Jabouin speak, please.

MR. JABOUIN: If I can please capture, because I'm taking notes on some of the discussion?

Several points mentioned by the committee, on

the sample size I have, all serious and very serious threats, and then we have an add-on from Mr. Mayersohn to have some transient as well. So that's what I have in my notes for the sample size.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And to consider geographic location.

MR. JABOUIN: So with respect to the geographic location I think Ms. Murtha mentioned some analysis. I think that that's where that would blend in as well. Because looking at the data and trying to identify anomalies, maybe some schools didn't have any threats reported versus the data --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's a separate issue.

MR. JABOUIN: -- so I'm capturing that a little -- okay. It is a little -- I just want to make sure that that analysis, I have that on my list.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because we asked for quarterly reports which would have those numbers. Like schools that have had no behavioral threat assessment reports would have big fat zeros in their column.

MS. DAHL: No behavioral problems, period.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. I've never heard of such a place, but --

MR. JABOUIN: So I have that. In addition to that the testing on page 13, 5D and E, so I'll have a discussion with RSM on that to see what it would take to put a yes in those particular columns.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Also 3A and 3F, as in Frank. So 3A is, the District School Safety Specialist shall ensure compliance with the policy.

MR. JABOUIN: 3A and 3F, as well. Thank you.

Also, either we get a new attribute or we expand on some of the attributes to talk about whether or not the police box was checked, whether or not some other law enforcement organizations are referenced, and then -- and then I guess with respect to some of the follow-up that's done. Mr. Lozano mentioned this had, though, when the data is identified in this project as well as others as well, if there is something that's urgent, like, for example, if a behavioral plan was needed, those things are acted on immediately. So we constantly work live with Mr. Lozano and the rest of the district. So

when something is identified we don't wait until the process of going through the reporting and the response, it needs to be cured immediately and that's part of it. So I just wanted to mention that to the committee as well.

One of the other things, too, is that we want to make sure that we absorb board member comments when it goes to that level because they, even though I've put it into my protocol to speak to them as I do walk-arounds on them since I report to them, I want to make sure that we've got all of the comments so we can go ahead and put it into the next project. So I just wanted to summarize that for the committee.

Also, with respect to some of the comments, can I have RSM or maybe Ernie Lozano talk about attribute number 37? Because I think we are talking about documenting services that the students in the behavioral plan are getting. And I think that's what that attribute was about.

Can we describe to the committee what is done with that?

MS. PUNZI-ELABIARY: Okay. So attribute 37 about documentation for monitoring plans was, the data needed to be collected from BASIS emergency

management system from the school, the logs of their daily persons possessions check and all of this data that was selected on the monitoring plan to be reported out was shared with RSM through OneDrive -- a OneDrive folder. So the schools had to gather all their information referred to CPS for child studies, collect the data showing that they did do the daily persons possessions check, collect the data with a log that shows that they checked in with the student daily. So all of that information was shared with RSM.

MR. JABOUIN: And one quick point of clarification to Mr. Lozano and Ms. Punzi, if the student was required to get like mental health services, is that where that would be tested, as well, in attribute number 37?

MR. LOZANO: So it would be for -- it would be for if we provided it at the district, ourselves, with our mental health staff, with our guidance counselors and et cetera, we have all that documentation. But, you know, the attributes talks about when the student is receiving other community services, so that's an area that we can look at. Because at this time

we don't kind of capture that information.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you.

MS. FERTIG: Maybe you could just break that out into a separate attribute. I think there's been so much discussion on it. It's just a way to make sure that the communication is -- communication is so critical throughout this whole thing, that the communication is occurring between the school and the agencies that are providing assistance for the student, whatever those may be.

MR. LOZANO: We'll be working on that documentation.

MS. MURTHA: If I may interject for just one second, through the Chair, about the way the wording is of 5D and 5E. Now, remember, we're auditors; right? So when we have terms like other agencies, which is kind of vague and would require somebody like a professional in that arena to identify all other agencies or as it says in 5E, identify additional members, the --you can see that we are looking at several different systems, pulling together, looking to see completeness of a form that was completed by a professional to see if the rest of the

documentation is there. What we don't know, which we would welcome a teaming partner that we could bring in to produce what you all are talking about, is appropriateness, additional agencies and the like.

So we can audit what's there and we can tell you if it's not there.

What those kind of allude to, those particular sections, is a little bit more subjective, which is our hesitancy. But we can do that if it's the desire of the -- as well as we can follow up and put a statement in the report that items that were noted were closed. We currently don't do that now, but we could.

MR. MEDVIN: Ms. Dahl?

MS. DAHL: I want to ask this question of Mr. Lozano. Aren't schools -- aren't all schools required to do a child study team every month?

MR. LOZANO: I'm going to defer to Dr. Mancini.

DR. MANCINI: Yes, all schools should have a child study team, but they -- their meeting is potentially more frequent than once a month.

MS. DAHL: Okay. And that's what I thought. Because I'm the chair of the On-Site Diversity

Committee where we go out and visit schools, and we have noted already, and I'm not going to tell you the schools, we have noted already two schools that do not have child study teams every month. And these are large schools. And so that alarmed us that that was not happening.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the other thing is, there was a comment about a school not having any referrals of any There's a lot of schools out there that kind. don't report any kind of discipline referrals, that their children are so perfect that nobody is ever in trouble. Being what they do is they sit them in a guidance office without writing a referral, they sit them in and AP's office for timeout and not write a referral. And so that is also data that is not captured. And it is still going on. I don't care what Dr. -- what Veda Hudge says and what Dr. Cartwright said when they came to Diversity. It is still happening. have, you know, people I talk to. So that is also alarming, because no school, in my humble opinion, is perfect.

MS. FERTIG: Can we -- is this a good time to go back to page 16, number 39?

Transfer students. So I don't understand --

1 MR. JABOUIN: Your microphone.

MS. FERTIG: Oh, thank you. Page 16, number 39.

Okay. So my question on this -- because you have such a small sample size, how many students were transferred during this quarter because of a serious or very serious threat?

MR. LOZANO: So that data I don't have readily available.

MS. FERTIG: Can you find that out for us?

MR. LOZANO: We sure can.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. Now --

MR. LOZANO: And when you say that, Mrs. Fertig, you're talking about to one of our alternative sites?

MS. FERTIG: Well, that's my next question. My next question is, when you transfer a student out because of one of these threats, where are you transferring them to?

MR. LOZANO: So it could be different locations based on the, again, IEP of the student, a manifestation determination. It could be -- you know, we have different discipline sites to accommodate children. So we look at which site meets the educational and safety needs

of that student.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. Can you give me some examples?

MR. LOZANO: So why don't we just dive in to attribute 39? So attribute 39, the three were only two schools. So two that failed were from Lanier-James and one that failed was Cypress Run. So for auditing purposes, no documentation means it didn't happen; right? So what happens when students transfer from a traditional site to one of our alternative sites, there is a big meeting that happens where the two schools meet prior to the student enrolling in the nontraditional school where they go over all the information. In those meetings we -- it wasn't past practice to specifically spell out behavior threat assessment, monitoring plan, safety plan.

So Mrs. Strauss brought this up and spoke on the transfer in the 72 hours in our previous audit. Remember, this audit took place July, August, September. So we were still staffing this team, I was still learning processes for how we move students from, you know, one location to another. So we did add those specific bullets to those big meetings. However, this attribute

assessed whether -- so the schools would talk about it, but this attribute specifically looked in the system if an administrator at one of those nontraditional sites accessed the BTA or the active monitoring plan.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. But my question, just to get back, just to start, where are they being transferred to? How many and where are they being transferred to? Are they all going to alternative sites? Is there ever a situation where they go to another traditional high school?

MR. LOZANO: It could be, depending on the situation.

MS. FERTIG: And if that is the situation how do you select that school?

MR. LOZANO: Yeah, that would be an administrative placement. So that's done, again, through a committee. Sometimes through discipline students are on a reassignment, they may be placed back at their home school. So there's multiple factors that go into that decision. It's not just an arbitrary decision where they pick a school.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. So --

MS. SHAW: And, Mary, what kind of follow-up

is done when they're transferred to that other school? I'm sorry, I can't get a chance to speak so --

MS. FERTIG: Phyllis, I couldn't quite -- I couldn't quite hear that.

MS. SHAW: What kind of follow-up is done when they're transferred? Let's say they're to their home school, what kind of follow-up is done? Because it's one thing to just move them out of where the threat occurred, but it's another thing now that you've moved them outside of an alternative school into another school. What is being done? What kind of follow-up is being done? How often is that follow-up being done?

MR. LOZANO: So, historically, we don't move students from traditional to traditional. A lot of times a parent will withdraw and look for another school after that situation occurs.

Where we place mostly is traditional to nontraditional because of the severity of the -- and a lot of times it's not even the severity of the threat assessment, it's the severity of the discipline as well, because they're two different things.

MS. FERTIG: So, primarily, they're going to nontraditional?

MR. LOZANO: Correct.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. But there are cases where they're going to traditional -- even if a parent withdrew a student and enrolled them somewhere else, you would pick up that it was going to a traditional school. So I guess -- I guess what I would want to know if you were doing that, how you select the school? I understand the reassignment, is that the only case that you can think of or if they're in a magnet school they would go back potentially to the home school, is that the only cases? And can we get a --

MR. LOZANO: I don't think they're then -- I can't even think of an incident where we moved traditional to traditional. If a parent does it or it happens outside of us, then, again, the system notifies when the school -- when that parent shows up to register, the threat assessment automatically shows up, the active monitoring shows, the administrators get an email that that student just enrolled. It's in the TERMS panel for the registrar to see. And that was the work of this committee that had us start

putting those things in place. So all of those things happen now so the school knows immediately when a student shows up with a threat assessment active monitoring plan or an active safety plan.

MS. FERTIG: And so what action do you take?

MR. LOZANO: So then the school reviews the active monitoring plan and ensures they're ready to implement that on the first day the student

enrolls in that school.

MS. FERTIG: So I guess my concern would be then, this is -- I have a lot of questions on this section.

MR. LOZANO: That's okay.

MS. FERTIG: One concern would be, if you had intended for them to go to a nontraditional setting and someone circumvented that by withdrawing their student and taking them to another school, how would you -- would you accept that or would you say, I'm sorry, they have to go?

MR. LOZANO: No, if they got placed through the committee at an alternative site they have to enroll in the alternative site.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. Okay. And the alternative site they're going to is selected

geographically; programatically; how is it
selected?

MR. LOZANO: Both. Because we have -- you know, Lanier-James, we have Cypress Run are our two primarily discipline sites. We also have other sites for our ESE children, but they're not general education sites. So, again, there's multiple factors that go into, but primarily -- and Pine Ridge. So those are our primary locations where students are placed at an alternative site.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. And so -- I'm sorry, Rebecca, go ahead.

MS. DAHL: I just have one other question. I have a question with that. When you have a child going from an alternative school and they've completed whatever length of time they're supposed to be there and they go back to a traditional school because they're, quote-unquote, fixed, sorry, is there a meeting that's supposed to happen when that child goes back to that traditional school before they show up.

MR. LOZANO: Yes, that same -- correct.

MS. DAHL: Okay.

1 MS. STRAUSS: And is that happening?

MS. DAHL: Yeah, I was just going to -- and that was my next question.

MR. LOZANO: There's an exit meeting that takes place.

MS. STRAUSS: Well, it should be an entrance meeting if they're going back into main --

MR. LOZANO: Yeah, but they're exiting -when a student exits the nontraditional they
schedule the meeting with the school that the
student is going to return to.

MS. FERTIG: And we're monitoring that?
That's one of the things we're monitoring or
we're not monitoring it?

MR. LOZANO: Yeah, that's part of the --

MS. FERTIG: I mean, we're not auditing it here.

MR. LOZANO: No.

MS. FERTIG: No. Okay. That would just be a concern that, you know, particularly how this all unfolds right now.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Mary, I would add it also the other way, going down to whatever it is 39 or -- is that we have, let's say students coming in, so you have a student in Palm Beach that has a

1 threat assessment --

2

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FERTIG: Yeah.

3 MR. MAYERSOHN: How do we know -- we don't -your system doesn't communicate with Palm Beach, 4 5 so how do you know what information except 6 calling up and asking Palm Beach for the 7 information? That's not within the 72 hours that 8 they may provide you with. Maybe that takes 9 them, you know, a week. So within that timeframe 10 that's a concern about how we then handle 11 students coming in without knowing any detail. 12 mean, I know, you know IEPs go back and forth the 13 same way, there's not one system that generates

Right.

MR. MEDVIN: So, fortunately, Palm Beach and Broward use the same system, so -- but across the 67 districts, to your point, that doesn't happen, which is part of the conversation next Tuesday and Wednesday.

that and nobody's, you know, speaking the same or

technology is not speaking the same language.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Up in Orlando.

MR. LOZANO: Yep.

MR. MAYERSOHN: That you're having.

MR. LOZANO: Yep.

MS. STRAUSS: And, Bob, if you remember, when

we started this conversation we even talked about Broward County being the example, if we can get it right in this state, we could be the example — what if a Broward County student goes to Atlanta, Georgia, right, and then does something not good there, well, we don't want to be in the news because Broward didn't inform that Atlanta school and then something horrible happened there; right?

So I think it's like a much larger problem to tackle but I think we need to get it right, here, first.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right.

MS. STRAUSS: But as we're on the topic, just sorry, really quickly, of these alternative schools and talking about, what is the capture point; what is the trigger point on where we can do better? Right?

With all the tools that Mr. Mayersohn spoke about, wouldn't it make most sense to add more resources, different types of resources, at those locations before they go back to mainstream schools?

MR. LOZANO: So we did. To your point, I know Dr. Wanza worked with budget and Veda Hudge

to add more resources to that school this year to support, because that concern that you just said was brought up, can we add more resources to support those schools? Because, again, Pine Ridge, Lanier-James, Cypress Run, have the majority of our students with our very serious substantive threats. So how do we provide more support to those school administrators and mental health staff to support the needs of those students?

MS. STRAUSS: But perhaps it's not just mental health. Perhaps it's deeper rooted than that in addition to mental health. You don't know. Because whatever is happening isn't working. Let's face it.

How many of the students that are in those three schools that you mentioned returned to a mainstream school and have significant growth in the positive -- in a positive direction? What is our success rate with those children with the resources we're providing them? If we did ask for extra money, what's the ROI; right? And what's the impact on the child?

MS. DAHL: And the school.

MS. STRAUSS: And the school. Exactly.

MS. FERTIG: And since you've added this, since you've begun this in the last couple of years you've sent a new -- you sent a new challenge to these nontraditional schools that they might not have handled in the past because now they are getting all of these serious and very serious threats that you were not tracking before and you're putting them all in one place. And I just kind of wonder what tools, what you're saying, what tools are they giving them to assist with this extra -- this -- I don't know what you want to call it, but it's definitely a new and much more intense challenge than what they've faced in the past.

So I would say that -- I think this is an area to do some more work on. I, myself, would like to know, and I don't know if the rest of you feel the same way, and we'll add it to our motion if you do, that we get the information on the total number of transfers in every quarterly report and some indication of where they went traditional; nontraditional; out of system.

MS. STRAUSS: And back. Back and forth.

MS. DAHL: Back and forth.

MS. FERTIG: Yeah.

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

MS. DAHL: Because that really needs to be captured, too, because -- of course, I haven't been in the system for a while, but even children that have been expelled and are not really attending school, they come back, too.

MS. STRAUSS: Yes, they do.

MS. DAHL: And I had a very serious incident with one of those. One of my APs got totally beaten up by the kid. Guess what? He got expelled again. So what. I mean, first time didn't help him, I know the second time didn't help him.

So I'd like to see some indication on, that too, because most of the time when those kids come back in, I don't know if you all are capturing them and whether or not you're doing a meeting with that school to tell them this is why the child was expelled, that child has been expelled for beating up a school employee, and the child did it again.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. We're on a time constraint. I'd like to wrap this up by 20 to 12. So if we could start --

MS. FERTIG: A motion?

MR. DE MEO: Mr. Chair, I'll make a motion,

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

because I have to leave.

MR. MEDVIN: If we could start making our motions.

MR. DE MEO: I'll make the first motion.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Can I just, before you do that, because page 5 we didn't talk about and this is actually a recommendation from the auditors. And I'm kind of curious as to why. It says, the district implemented Navigate360 in the school year 21-22 for each school to document the monthly BTA team meetings. We recommend schools enter more specific details concerning the cases discussed and action items taken each month and also include the date that each student's monitoring plan is closed and the rationale behind the closure, when applicable.

Additionally, we recommend that formal school policies are adopted at the Centers, where physical checks and other daily safety measures occur for all attending students. Adopting formal polices would alleviate the maintenance of possession check logs, for example, for those students with monitoring plans requiring such searches.

Isn't this addressed in the behavioral threat assessment policy, itself? I mean, doesn't that apply to all schools?

I guess, through the Chair, it's a Mr. Lozano question.

MR. LOZANO: So I'll take the first paragraph. So we did implement Navigate360 for all schools to log and monitor their BTA monthly meetings that were mandatory. What we did was we have one box where we ask schools to list student discussed and actions taken. Through this audit process we saw some schools didn't provide the details specifically, so we adjusted the template. So schools now have to list specific students and the specific actions taken.

So I think that goes back to what Mr. Jabouin said, where we start process improvement immediately as we start seeing things come up. So we've already addressed the first piece of that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And who -- where does Navigate360 come from? Because the behavioral threat assessment is a PCG product; right?

MR. LOZANO: Correct.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Is Navigate360 as well?

MR. LOZANO: So Navigate360 is its own company and Navigate360 is where schools log their fire drills and their active assailant drills. So we use -- we use --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Do they talk to each other?

Is this the thing that --

MR. LOZANO: No, those two don't.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, those are the two that don't talk to each other. Okay.

And then the second part where they're recommending formal school policies, we have a policy and there is a manual which suggests there is a procedure, which I think we would assume applies to all schools regardless of type. No?

MR. LOZANO: So -- so I think where RSM went with this one is, when you go to one of these sites, for the most part when you walk through the front door you're automatically checked, you're automatically searched, but the schools don't have a signed form where parents and students acknowledge that takes place. So --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So it's not in the manual then?

MR. LOZANO: So that's -- that's specific to each school and they were referencing in the

school's policy and procedures for when students attend that school site it should specifically say, you know, as a requirement of coming to this school, you know, you -- you know, you're wanded, you're -- all the requirements of there's no backpacks, whatever the requirements are at that school for all students, and that wasn't documented to the level of RSM's satisfaction. So that's why they flagged that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And where it says, alleviate the maintenance of possession check logs, for example, for those students with monitoring plans, are these the BTA monitoring plans?

MR. LOZANO: So the students who have a daily personal possession check, the schools have to keep a daily log of that. So what they are saying, since that's a part of attending that school, the school wouldn't have to have the log if they had it in clear policy and procedure of attending that school.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So where -- since they're checked, where is that information kept? Would that be shared as part of the monitoring or you're saying -- how would you know that they're

doing what's in the monitoring plan if it's part of going to the school and they're going to keep that instead?

MS. BARDEE: When we talked to the centers every student entering that center gets backpack checked, gets a personal possession check.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, I get that, but how do you -- where would that be documented so that if you were checking off that they're doing the monitoring plan you know that that's been done as part of them attending the school?

MS. BARDEE: What we're saying here is, if they had a formal policy stating that from the school perspective that that's occurring, I would be all right with not seeing a log of that every day because it's policy to even enter the school.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But then you'd have to test their policy to make sure they're actually doing it. So I don't know that that would alleviate, because, yeah, sure, they could adopt a policy saying that they do it, but then you'd have to test to make sure it's happening in order to check off that the monitoring plan that says they need to be checked is happening.

So I -- I hear you, but, unless you're going

to test that school's daily searching, we're right back to where we started, I think.

But, thank you, that clarifies it and I'm good.

MR. DE MEO: I'm going to make a motion.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I've got like four motions.

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I know you've got a list there of motions.

Just as we're concluding, just one thought for us as we move forward, you know, we see that more -- there's more compliance with a lot of these issues, so when you do all the schools we don't know, but we don't really see any kind of impact on the trend of threats that we're having. And I just hope some day we have that conversation to see if there's things that we can do to strengthen it. And maybe not. Maybe this is a societal issue and not something we can impact, but it doesn't look to me like we're seeing any kind of a downward trend in the number of threats that you're getting, serious and very serious.

MR. LOZANO: So I will say --

MS. FERTIG: In spite of all of these, we might be identifying things, but we're not really

1 mitigating things.

MR. DE MEO: So, Mr. Chair, I'll make a motion. The Audit Committee recommends the board of director hire or appoint a senior management level appropriately qualified individual whose sole responsibility shall be the threat assessment program.

MS. SHAW: Amendment. I'll second it and amend it. Instead of board of directors, the board.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The school board.

MR. MEDVIN: The school board.

MR. DE MEO: The School Board of Broward County.

MS. SHAW: There you go.

MR. JABOUIN: Can you repeat the words after responsibility, sir?

MR. DE MEO: The Audit Committee recommends that the School Board of Broward County hire or appoint a senior management level appropriately qualified individual whose sole responsibility shall be the threat assessment program.

MR. LOZANO: So we have that position, so I don't think we need to add it. You know, I was the executive director of threat assessment and

1 then I was tasked --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: You still are. You actually still are.

MR. LOZANO: Correct. Correct.

MS. FERTIG: I think the point of the motion was to have a --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: To never task assign that person to anything else is the point of the motion.

MR. LOZANO: There you go.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That person should be solely responsible and ineligible for any other task assignments.

MR. DE MEO: That's what I said. That's what I said.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, you said hire or appoint and they're gonna be like, we already have one. But just to drive the point home, don't ever task assign them.

MS. STRAUSS: Can we amend that then to just say not --

MS. SHAW: I accept the friendly amendment.

MS. STRAUSS: So to not be task assigned to any other position.

MS. FERTIG: It sounds like Phyllis has an

1 amendment.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SHAW: No, I was making what Nathalie's saying into friendly amendment.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you.

MR. DE MEO: I think it's clear. I think a senior management level appropriately qualified individual whose sole responsibility shall be the threat assessment program. I don't think I could be any clearer.

MS. FERTIG: No, I think you did fine.

MS. STRAUSS: Who is not eligible to be task assigned.

MS. FERTIG: Well, he's saying, sole responsibility.

MR. DE MEO: What the hell does that mean, that task assigned?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: He has two jobs.

MR. DE MEO: No, he's a good guy. He should be it.

MS. STRAUSS: I know, but they took him out.

MR. DE MEO: I'm reading these signs. You know, thank God I'm not involved with the school board. Task assigned, what the hell is that?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It means different things for different people. Sometimes --

MS. DAHL: Well, let's finish that one and let's go on.

MS. STRAUSS: So can you read that back?

MR. JABOUIN: School Board of Broward County shall hire or appoint a senior management level appropriately qualified individual whose sole responsibility shall be the threat assessment program. The person shall not be task assigned to any other position. That's the wording for the motion. I missed who -- Mr. De Meo, you're the one that -- who seconded Mr. De Meo?

MS. SHAW: Phyllis.

MR. DE MEO: Ms. Shaw.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Phyllis.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you.

MR. MEDVIN: Any further discussion?

(No response.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MEDVIN: All in favor?

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?

(No response.)

MR. MEDVIN: Motion carries.

MS. STRAUSS: Okay. Progress.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I've got -- okay. So none of these are actually that, so we're going good.

So I'm going to do them individually, because they get too clunky otherwise.

We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious behavioral threat assessments and include a geographically --

MR. JABOUIN: Slower please. Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I did type it. I can send it to you. And I think you have like three secretaries, but, anyway.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious behavioral threat assessments and include a geographically-based sample of transient threats. I didn't know if you wanted unfounded as well, Robert?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Yes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes? Okay. Transient and unfounded threats.

MR. MEDVIN: Do I have a second?

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I'll second that.

MS. STRAUSS: Second.

MR. MEDVIN: Second by Ms. Fertig.

Comments?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FERTIG: Can you read that first part

- again? I thought of something as you were reading it.
 - DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We move RSM audit the entire population of serious and very serious behavioral threat assessments --
 - MS. FERTIG: On a quarterly basis.
 - DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. On a quarterly basis and include a geographically-based assessment of transient and unfounded threats.
- 10 MR. JABOUIN: I have that exact wording.
- MS. STRAUSS: I will second that. Or first it or second it or whatever.
- MR. MEDVIN: Any further comments?
- 14 (No response.)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- MR. MEDVIN: RSM, is this feasible for you?
- MS. MURTHA: Yes, sir.
- 17 MR. MEDVIN: Okay.
- MR. MAYERSOHN: They love the work. Come on.
- 19 MR. MEDVIN: All in favor?
- 20 COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.
- MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?
- 22 (No response.)
- MR. MEDVIN: Motion carries.
- DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Next one speaks to
- 25 the process itself.

We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district threat assessment process on a quarterly --

MR. JABOUIN: Slower, please.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hold on. On a quarterly basis?

MS. STRAUSS: Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. We move RSM begin testing the effectiveness of the district's threat assessment process on a quarterly basis.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you.

MS. FERTIG: Second.

MR. MEDVIN: Second by Ms. Fertig.

MR. DE MEO: Discussion?

MR. MEDVIN: Discussion.

MR. DE MEO: I love the idea and a like the thought, but I'm not sure that RSM can test effectiveness. Are you qualified, RSM, to test the effectiveness?

MS. MURTHA: We would have to partner with a qualified group.

MR. DE MEO: Right. So -- and I think, if that's the case, that we would want to be, certainly, I would want our chief auditor involved in selecting and determining the

1 qualifications of such person.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I would want us involved.
Us involved.

MR. DE MEO: Yes. Because I'm not sure who is qualified to do that. I'm sure collectively we'll figure that out.

MS. FERTIG: So could we just add one word to get us to the next meeting with this and just say investigate this and then they can come back with how they would do it?

MR. DE MEO: Okay. I'm okay with that.

MS. FERTIG: Are you okay with that?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: RSM investigate?

MR. DE MEO: Or make a proposal about.

MS. FERTIG: Yeah.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Propose testing. I'll change begin to propose?

We move RSM propose or develop a proposal to test the effectiveness of the district's threat assessment process on a quarterly basis?

MS. FERTIG: Okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We move RSM develop --

MR. JABOUIN: Could you please repeat that,

Dr. Lynch-Walsh?

MS. FERTIG: Well, I second it. I'm fine.

1 We're good with that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, hold on. Let me just make sure I just type it first.

We move RSM develop a proposal to test the effectiveness of the district's threat assessment process on a quarterly basis.

MR. MEDVIN: I think Ms. Fertig had seconded that.

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I seconded it.

MR. MEDVIN: Any further discussion?

(No response.)

MR. MEDVIN: Call the question. All in

13 favor?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

14 COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?

(No response.)

MR. MEDVIN: Motion carries.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Next? This one has to do with attributes, the ones that weren't auditable.

We move RSM include attributes that test Policy 4380, Section 3A, 3F, 5D and 5E.

MS. FERTIG: Second.

MR. MEDVIN: Second by Mary.

Any comments?

MR. JABOUIN: One more time, Dr. Lynch-Walsh,

1 please.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We move RSM include attributes that test Policy 4380 Section 3A, 3F, 5D and 5E.

MR. MEDVIN: RSM, is this something, again, that you feel you can comply with?

MS. MURTHA: If I may? 3A, the district's school safety specialist shall ensure compliance with the policy?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So you're already testing compliance. What we need to establish is a threshold level of compliance and see if they hit that. This could be actually like the easiest one ever.

MS. MURTHA: But it would include collaboration with this committee to understand.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: To quantify what do we consider compliance.

MS. MURTHA: Exactly. Yes, ma'am. Yes, ma'am.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But it is something you can measure. And if you can measure it, you can audit compliance with it.

MS. MURTHA: And the answer could be the report, itself.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. What level have you hit of compliance in areas that we're particularly concerned about, too.

MR. DE MEO: But -- I'm sorry, I forget your name, but --

MS. MURTHA: Jennifer.

MR. DE MEO: Jennifer. To ensure, how can they determine, how do they determine that someone ensured the policy was followed?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because they're responsible for the compliance. So if they're doing their job, then there would be compliance.

MR. DE MEO: I don't think we want to put them in a position where they have to draw a conclusion subjectively or judgmentally.

So I think we need to refine that. And I think that's why you mentioned that, Jennifer.

MS. MURTHA: Yes, sir.

MR. DE MEO: It wouldn't be fair to you. And it wouldn't be -- the results would be at best murky, I think.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, we can determine -but it's pulling from the policy. So the policy
was written that they will ensure compliance.
That's in the policy. It could be the policy

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

1 language is bad --

MR. DE MEO: Yeah, yeah.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- but we can at least sort of quantify some objectives to make them measurable in terms of ensuring. Ensuring may not be the best word, but that is what's in policy.

MS. FERTIG: Can't they have a checklist and go down it and check, called law enforcement; called this; filed that?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. Like monitoring needs to get down -- well, monitoring. Right now we're seeing 33 percent fail on monitoring and 34 on transfers. Ensuring compliance we could say in these particular areas, like this quarter we're concerned about this, and see if it can be reduced by X percent, something that's feasible, that's attainable, and they should be able to knock it down. I mean, the monitoring is kind of key.

MR. DE MEO: Yeah, I think we need some agreed-upon language.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. We can agree later, but I'm just trying to kick the can.

MS. MURTHA: Perhaps a proposal in this

attribute as well. What would that look like?
What could that look like?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Propose -- We move RSM propose attributes that test -- I'll just, instead of "include", "propose".

We move RSM propose attributes that test Policy 4380 Sections 3A, 3F, 5D and 5E.

MS. FERTIG: All right. Second.

MR. MEDVIN: Any comments?

(No response.)

MR. MEDVIN: All in favor?

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. MEDVIN: Opposed.

(No response.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MEDVIN: Motion carries.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you. The next one had to do with the student intake form. It sounded like people wanted that broken down within the attribute, like the different components of the student intake form. Because there's the signature for law enforcement, there's a lot in the student intake form and it's just one attribute right now.

So I was just going to say, we move RSM break down compliance attributes associated with the

1 student intake form.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FERTIG: Okay. Second.

MR. JABOUIN: One more time, please?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We move RSM break down compliance attributes associated with the student intake form.

MR. JABOUIN: Okay.

MR. MEDVIN: Do we have a second?

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I seconded it.

MR. MEDVIN: Second by Mary Fertig.

Any comments?

(No response.)

MR. MEDVIN: All in favor?

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?

(No response.)

MR. MEDVIN: Motion carries.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. I'm going to skip the one on monitoring because I was writing these while you guys were talking, so if someone had something on monitoring, I didn't get any further than follow up on monitoring.

The other one I had, and I don't know if this is a motion, but it has to do with the prior motion about quarterly reports. So I was going

to recommend we move the chief auditor retransmit
the Audit Committee motion to the board related
to quarterly reports. Because we did pass a
motion and nothing's --

MS. FERTIG: Aren't we doing quarterly reports?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, no, staff.

District-based. Like we just got the stats from Lozano, but we should have had a report.

MS. FERTIG: Oh, right, right, right, right.

I see. Okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So, but to clarify, related to district quarterly reports.

MR. LOZANO: So the school board gets those reports. I don't know if Audit Committee gets those reports. So we do --

MS. DAHL: We asked for them.

MR. LOZANO: -- the data I just shared, we do report that quarterly to the school board.

MS. FERTIG: Which makes it public record, so it's easy to send us a copy. I mean --

MS. DAHL: Yeah, we asked for it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, I don't think we would have made that motion. I think we had this exact discussion and we asked for the reports.

1 MS. STRAUSS: Months ago.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DE MEO: Yeah.

So we don't need a motion, just give us the report.

MS. STRAUSS: Per our request previously that was documented.

MS. FERTIG: I know we're going to run out of time, so just in the interest of time, did you put down my request or do I need to put in a motion that we get quarterly the number of students who transferred out of -- who are transferred as a result of serious or very serious threats.

MR. LOZANO: Mr. Jabouin do you get them, that report?

MR. JABOUIN: I will look for them. So if I'm on the distribution list for that --

MR. LOZANO: Kim can send you them today.

MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, if she can resend them to me that will make it easier to look at them.

MS. FERTIG: And not by name of school but by type of -- where they go.

MR. LOZANO: And we have elementary, middle --

MS. FERTIG: Traditional, alternative

1 transfers.

MR. JABOUIN: So I'll get the reports from Kim.

MR. LOZANO: So you're going to have to talk about what you want in the report, because right now, and maybe that's -- we bring what we have to the next meeting and look at it and define the parameters.

MS. FERTIG: Okay. Yeah. I'm just interested in that total number.

MR. LOZANO: We'll get you the reports we're producing now so you have them.

MS. FERTIG: Like in this month's report there were -- I mean in this quarter's report there were nine transfers that they followed, but I'm just -- out of your 20 or whatever sample.

I'm just interested in how many total there are.

MR. LOZANO: Yeah.

MR. JABOUIN: I just wanted to double-check.

Obviously, you'll get me, Kim, the reports that
went out to the board, but as far as Mrs.

Fertig's specific request, do the reports address
that?

MR. LOZANO: At this time it doesn't report transfers, but, again --

MS. FERTIG: I think -- I think that's a pretty important topic to include in our overall report. It may not -- I mean, it's just a line on the report, maybe three lines, but I think it should be included there.

MR. DE MEO: I agree.

MR. JABOUIN: We'll immediately send what went out and then we'll have to work with them on getting the specific line, Ms. Fertig.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. My quick comment is, I want to thank RSM for their work.

MS. DAHL: Yes, thank you.

MR. MEDVIN: And I want to thank the committee for their diligence in getting into this and their passion, our passion, about a very, very important issue. And it seems that we will be working together with RSM, Mr. Lozano and his team to make sure this procedure continues to improve. So I thank everybody for their efforts in that area.

MS. STRAUSS: And I want to say thank you, Mr. Lozano and your team, and I hope that your task assignment ends.

MS. DAHL: Or that he gets --

MR. DE MEO: Or begins: Or begins; right?

Yeah, thank you, Mr. Lozano and the lady next to you. Sorry, I don't know your name. You seem to be on top of these things. And thank you, RSM. You did a nice job on the report.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Because we have such time constraints we have to go on.

Mr. Jabouin?

MR. JABOUIN: Yes, thank you. We have the Big 3 discussion.

MS. DAHL: No, it wasn't supposed to be done today.

MR. MEDVIN: It's on the agenda.

MR. MAYERSOHN: It's on the agenda.

MR. MEDVIN: It's on the agenda. Don't waste more time.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. So I just wanted to mention to the committee that Ms. -- Mrs. Marte needs to leave at 12:15, she's already cancelled two meetings already.

MS. DAHL: We agreed to one thing.

MS. FERTIG: No, but we're only talking -he's only mentioning something. We're not
getting into the audit.

MR. JABOUIN: Oh, I'm sorry. I actually need a motion to transmit the report.

1 Thank you, Ms. Marquardt.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FERTIG: Move to transmit with all the motions.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Second.

MR. MEDVIN: Second. All in favor?

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. MEDVIN: Opposed?

(No response.)

MR. MEDVIN: Motion carries.

MS. FERTIG: Are you just making -- you're just making a brief comment on the Big 3 Audit, you're not getting into it?

MR. JABOUIN: There is no motion for the Big

3. It is to -- as RSM who's on the phone will -they've taken advice already from the Facilities

Task Force, from the Diversity Committee, from
the Bond Oversight Committee, and so they're
going to go over the key points of those
discussions.

So there is no motion, no need to approve.

So if we lose quorum on that one, there's nothing for the committee to do.

MS. STRAUSS: Well, I'm leaving.

MS. FERTIG: Can we just -- can we just get one thing on here? One thing I'd like them to

1 look at --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

MR. JABOUIN: The Big 3? The Big 3?

MS. FERTIG: Yeah.

MR. JABOUIN: Okay. Sure. So we do have on the phone, Ms. Fertig and the rest of the committee Matthew Blondell from RSM. We also have Chris Gums from RSM, as well.

Mr. Gums and Mr. Blondell?

MS. FERTIG: I would like them to track the amount of time that it takes -- that it took to get these projects done.

Do we have quorum or not?

MR. JABOUIN: We do not. We need six physical for quorum. I believe we have five.

MS. FERTIG: All right. We're meeting March 2nd. Are we holding them up then?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: They already started.

MS. FERTIG: Oh, they did?

MR. JABOUIN: No, they do need to move forward with --

MR. MEDVIN: We're not doing any voting.

This is --

MR. MAYERSOHN: This is informational.

MR. JABOUIN: -- information. There's

nothing to vote on, because, ultimately --

1 MS. FERTIG: Okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I know, but, respectfully, I know -- I know a painful amount about this and we just lost --

MS. FERTIG: We lost -- I understand. So can we.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So do we have anything to gain from hearing this today?

MS. FERTIG: So can we write or our -- I'm just trying to cut through this. Can we just write our things, our points in to you and then --

MR. JABOUIN: You could, but I do think that I'd like to give the members of the committee that are here an understanding as to the scope of the work, because I --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Is it a performance audit?

MR. JABOUIN: Yes, it is a performance audit

and I would like to have that communication

because I want to make sure -- too many people

are waiting for the results of this work that I

want to make sure that there's at least a public

discussion of it as has been done with the

different committees.

So if I could just ask Mr. Blondell to just

1 briefly describe --

MS. FERTIG: But if we don't have quorum --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We don't have enough people to have --

MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything.

MR. JABOUIN: There's nothing to vote on.

MR. MEDVIN: We're not voting on anything.

MR. DE MEO: I'd like to hear it either today or some other time.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I'd like to hear it in March when we have everybody, because they've already started and then we have two related issues. Remember that motion that we did pass about referring the Big 3 and the SMART Program as a whole?

MR. DE MEO: They said they looked at it already.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's not true. That was not the focus of the grand jury investigation at all. It was something they backed into because of safety, but they absolutely -- that was not a grand jury convened to look at the Big 3 and the SMART Program and it would not be looking at what we were concerned about.

MS. FERTIG: So, can I just ask Mr. Blondell,

is this -- is your -- if we wait two weeks until -- when are we meeting, March 2nd?

MR. JABOUIN: March 2nd.

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, so that's -- isn't it like two weeks away?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Mr. Chair, we approved the agenda as is. This is on the agenda.

MR. MEDVIN: Absolutely. We have to go through with it.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Let him -- let him say what he has to say. For those that can stay, stay; for those that can't, can't.

MS. FERTIG: Okay.

MR. MAYERSOHN: I mean, we can't make a motion to defer it; dispense with it; to do whatever it is.

MS. FERTIG: The thing is, we can't vote on anything, so I was just going to say it's two weeks away.

MR. MAYERSOHN: It's on the agenda. Let him say what he has to say. It shouldn't take more than, I don't know, five ten minutes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: This would be the time to take -- yeah, the less time.

MR. DE MEO: Before he does that will you

give us a little background about what they're doing?

MR. JABOUIN: Yes. So, absent of any commentary, a few months ago I sat with RSM and we were looking at the testing program and there were too many questions that were being asked regarding the Big 3. So, independently, we -- we put it on the radar of an area to look at.

Subsequent to that, the board, Ms. Alhadeff, Chair Alhadeff, requested a specific audit on it and it was a good coincidence, but as part of that they asked us to go to the Audit Committee to weigh in, which we did at the November meeting and the Audit Committee asked us to go to the Diversity Committee and Facilities Task Force and the Bond Oversight Committee, and we have done that.

And so Mr. Blondell has sort of captured the essence of that to share with the committee. And if we can please have him speak to that?

MR. DE MEO: And one other question related to that. That memo that says the --

MR. BLONDELL: Good morning. This is Matt Blondell. Would you like me to go ahead and walk through what we've laid out so far?

MR. JABOUIN: One quick moment, Mr. Blondell.

Just one moment.

MR. DE MEO: Let me just get this first one out. So that memo, I think this is related, that says the grand jury and the state already looked into this matter, and, therefore, it's not going to go any further, was that someone, staff's conclusion, or was that something they wrote us?

MR. JABOUIN: So that is -- I wrote that. So we don't have any -- a report to send them. We could, potentially, do that after this work is done. But, in the references that I have made recently, for example, the caps and gowns, we had a report that we gave them, we explained it and so forth.

So we don't have anything to send either to the Broward State Attorney, the statewide grand jury.

MR. DE MEO: What if I just contacted one of DeSantis' chiefs of staff and said, hey, you know, this stinks, did you guys look at it?

MR. JABOUIN: That could be done. Yes.

MS. FERTIG: You could. You could. But if you have the data from this, if we give the data points to collect, then you're going to have

something to hand over as opposed to asking them to do the investigation and hoping they have enough local knowledge to do it. So it's just a question of what comes first.

MR. JABOUIN: It's best to wait for this work to happen and then there would be something to have, a discussion and so forth.

MR. DE MEO: Yeah, I'm having a hard time with the whole concept of auditing something, peoples' intentions who weren't here nine years ago.

MS. FERTIG: This isn't -- this isn't necessarily peoples' intentions.

Like, for example, one thing I have on here that I would really like you to audit and I don't know if you can, I'm just jumping in, okay, would be comparing the bathroom remodeling projects of the Big 3 and other schools, I would like to -- having seen some of those, some of the finished products, I think it would be worthwhile to audit what was spent and what was done at different schools. That's an example.

Another one would be the time for completing major projects. We have seen some projects that were completed in a very short time and others

that have taken years and are still ongoing.

I'll give you another example of something
I'd like to know. I'd like to know if when they
finish the project at schools other than the Big
3 they painted their classroom doors when they
walked out. I have pictures I can show you. Or
was that only not done in certain schools?

I know Nathalie probably has a whole host of these because she's walked -- we've probably walked different schools, but things we've seen, and I want to know, these don't seem important, but they're very important to when it goes to a child walking in school and what they see every day.

And if they weren't done the same way, if they weren't finished the same way, I kind of would like to know why. And that goes to your question.

MR. JABOUIN: And we do have Dr.

Lynch-Walsh's comments from the Facilities Task

Force and they are very good worthy comments on
there and we have some other comments as well.

And then I'm going to ask Mr. Blondell, did he
pick up what Ms. Fertig said, and if we could
please ask him to speak?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So, when you say "my comments", because I'm going to send what we codified at the Facilities Task Force, which are basically everything we said -- I said here, which had to do with the impact of a lack of long-term planning, which they've already opined on when it came to roofing. So they were able to opine on roofing. Whether they followed best practices, destructive testing, Castaldi, not a word that was said out loud when it should have been in 2014, lifecycle analysis.

MS. FERTIG: Well, I object to that because the tapes are going to reveal that we said it out loud.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. But, I mean, none of staff was allowed to say that word out loud.

MR. MEDVIN: All right. Let's -- can we -- can we --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Compliance with SREF, EdSpec, district standards, CPT's --

MR. JABOUIN: Dr. Lynch-Walsh, if those were the items on your agenda, RSM has those.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Just making sure.

MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, we both attended, we picked up your agenda. If we could allow Mr.

Blondell to speak?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Do they have something in writing, though, that we -- then that would have been a lot easier than verbal. I'm not a very auditory person. I like to read it.

MR. JABOUIN: Mr. Blondell, if you can start?

Yes, so, as Mr. Jabouin MR. BLONDELL: mentioned, we have, you know, had the previous communications with at Audit Committee, the Facilities Task Force, the Diversity Committee and the Bond Oversight Committee and have used those discussions to help guide the scope elements that we are intending to include. And so, essentially, what our plan to do is, currently, is to, again, talk with those committees and school administrators, the program manager, the Office of Capital Programs and then any design and construction professionals for these Big 3 projects, including GOB renovation components as well as the cafeteria or new additions and renovations. I know for Stranahan and Northeast there are multiple projects that kind of fall within those. And what we intend to do is start as far back as we can from the beginning to look at the school deficiency

listing and to, essentially, map where -- where scope from that was modified throughout the timeline of the projects. So starting with the deficiency listings from 2014, again, understanding what other analyses were -- was also performed by the district to guide the scope of what those projects, right, which we're going to look, which would have included the Castaldi analysis, destructive testing, whether or not those were performed or how those were incorporated into the scope development, how it went from the deficiency listing to, you know, a charter, how it went from a charter to a design scope, how it went from a design scope to a construction scope and then what's actually been, you know, completed as far as construction. intent is to kind of map that entire process out, if there was anything that dropped off of either the scope in any of those, you know, kind of touch points, we're going to be looking at all of the board's minutes and meetings to see anything from 2014 to now, if these schools or the scopes of these projects were ever discussed by the board and if there was ever any action taken by the board so that we can document either what was

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

discussed and changed or if it wasn't discussed or if it was changed or anything, again, that showed how the scope was modified between that initial deficiency listing to all the different control points to where we are currently in construction.

That, essentially, sums up what our plan is. I did hear Ms. Fertig's comments about looking at timing. We can certainly incorporate some of that as well in the analysis and try to quantify if there were differences in how these schools were, you know, finished. I understand, like you said, with the painting of the doors and other things like that, what kind of the scope might look like in comparison to some of the other larger projects in the district.

MS. FERTIG: And I think it would be -- I don't know how you do this, but it would be good to get some community perspective on this. I mean, I just know because I've photographed the Big 3 extensively over the years and then when I walk in other schools and see some of the finishes you note the difference. I don't know how you pick that up, though. I'm happy to share pictures. I'm sure Dr. Lynch-Walsh is happy to

share pictures.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, Dr. Lynch-Walsh is happy to ask about whether you're going to be testing to make sure that where they did life safety and electrical work, because one of the persistent rumors I heard, because everything was about adhering to budget, so some crazy things were going on to force things into a budget.

I have heard that if you had an electrical panel upgrade needed and you were a school that was in a lower socioeconomic area, versus being out west, guess which one got the electrical panel replaced and which one got it recycled. I have heard of ceiling tiles being reused and recycled, lighting being reused and recycled.

So that's the type of thing that needs to come out. And also from a big picture standpoint, RSM's roofing review made mention, their second observation, about the lack of a long-term plan, as did the Council of Great City Schools scathing PPO review. Because in every other district, especially ones that might be going out for a bond, you do not do a facility condition assessment, decide what you're going to ask the voters for, i.e., 800 million, and then

massage all of those deficiency line items into each school and call it a project. You would do long-term planning, which is you may have noticed what they're starting to do now except the steering committee has never met.

So that needs to be addressed. And that's why we asked about best practices being adhered to, because no teacher goes into a classroom that I'm aware of without a lesson plan. Because you could have chaos without a lesson plan. You have to know what you're teaching on any given day and you have to follow the standards.

The standards in this case are the district's design standards, EdSpec and SREF, and not to mention, Florida Building Code. So these are all components that they need to be looking at with these projects. But life safety and electrical, because there's switchgear that's now being replaced, Blanche Ely, for example, which was not part of the scope. So when we're looking at scope changes, are there scope changes?

Absolutely, because in some cases -- there was one school where I think they were redoing the HVAC and found that they needed to replace electrical associated with the HVAC but that

wasn't in the scope. So there needs to be a distinction between, you know, adding gold plated fixtures somewhere and realizing that the scope, because the scopes are not well-defined, that they then had to add necessary components to the scope in order to do that basic thing, like replace the roof or do the HVAC.

MS. FERTIG: No, and, you know, another thing listening to you speak is, when they amended the scope, how was that done? Was that done the way we got a new building at Northeast, with us coming and advocating specifically for something that we had previously -- or was it just --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Some of it was the work just couldn't get done.

So at Northeast, remember, they needed a new roof, but they were getting told at one point they would get roof repairs.

So, yes, some television did involve practically setting KCW on fire to get the right thing done. I will say that --

MS. FERTIG: I'm just going to say, I guess if I were to narrow it down, the process for getting the scope changed.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, it depends on what it

is. Some things were never going to pass building code. Because they're changing out HVAC and you need certain components in order to do that. Because you have to bring it up to code when you hit a certain point in terms of renovations. So it might not have been in the scope, because that was all just line items, they didn't contemplate in the building condition assessment, because they only did step 1, which was the building condition assessment with the list of deficiencies, but they didn't sit there and go, okay, do we -- do we have Castaldis on these? Is it cheaper to renovate or replace?

That analysis that should have taken place over the next year never happened. So a lot of the cock-ups over the years are the result of people realizing, oops, we're putting in a new HVAC and we need these additional pieces. They discover mold, that was not part of it. I have people on tape when we've asked whether mold remediation was part of the SMART Program and they said, no.

MR. DE MEO: So, you know, I can't help but -- I feel compelled to make these comments. First, the gentleman from RSM?

1 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

MR. DE MEO: Any procedures they intend to perform I would like to have in advance. Before they begin their audit, I'd like to see those procedures.

MS. FERTIG: In writing.

MR. DE MEO: In writing. And I'd like to know the purpose. And these two members are so knowledgeable about the district and I have the utmost respect. And so I would like this to be a meaningful endeavor.

However, I think I could predict that there were gross violations of everything Dr.

Lynch-Walsh said. I know for a fact if Ms.

Fertig said doors were painted in one place and they weren't painted in another, there's no doubt in my mind we're going to find that.

Okay. Now what?

What is the purpose of that? Is there someone here that is responsible that we can fire?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, they're all gone.

MR. DE MEO: They're all gone.

So I'm not clear on why we would spend resources on something that's so obvious, except

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

that maybe it might be used as a tool to go forward. To that extent, I think that makes a lot of sense.

But I've got to tell you, I'm going to defer to you guys. My sentiment is, this is a waste of time. There's a better way to go about it. And we need people like you to keep the school board straight. And, you know, I'm not a --

MS. FERTIG: Well, just on a couple of these things that we've talked about, for example, if in the bathrooms, if they were finished one way in school A and they were done another way in school B, that's something that can be addressed.

MR. DE MEO: There's no doubt in my mind that that occurred. Now what?

MS. FERTIG: Well, it needs to be -- you know, in my mind they need to rectify it.

MR. DE MEO: Okay. So you want it improved? Or do you want to excoriate somebody that left nine years ago?

MS. FERTIG: At some point -- at some point -- we used these schools to get this money and at some point there has to be a recognition in this that it wasn't handled the way it should have been.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I think we're getting to that. So I actually kind of agree with you, Mr. De Meo, because at this point, the last person -- well, we're almost there, but the person -- there was a move -- so I spent many years getting beat up by two superintendents because I kept pointing out that we didn't do long-term planning, we didn't follow EdSpec, we didn't follow SREF, you know all of these violations.

MR. DE MEO: How dare you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: How dare I point these things out.

But we're getting past that, and so, yeah, there will be no surprises in here, and I think there is a willingness on the board's part, and I think that's why Lori Alhadeff made that motion to settle, because at the time she made it it was still -- we were still -- there were people still pretending that there was no problem with the SMART Program, it was just a little bit -- you know, moving a little slowly when everything about it was wrong and AECOM is really just trying to snatch some victories from the jaws of defeat. We got funding for Markham. We got

funding now for Parkway. Bethune is next.

necessarily bankrupt the district, but to

So we are rectifying while trying not to

prioritize the things that went horribly wrong.

We can't fix all of them. Plantation High and

going so haphazardly.

McArthur just got the CMAR contracts cancelled yesterday. And my concern with those is to make sure that the scopes make sense so that you're not just putting, you know, some -- like carpeting in the media center of Plantation High is not all that's needed there. But that's what staff -- and the whole thing with school administrators, there have been school administrators that have messed up scopes of work because they don't know SREF, EdSpecs or design standards. And because there was like so many things going on at once, they were like, oh, what do you need? I have heard of principals being thrown the culinary arts catalog to say what do you want from here? Because things have been

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

come to the board now that the scope makes sense

so that you're doing as much as possible with

what we have instead of piecemealing it.

But we're trying to make sure that as things

that -- that's what would happen if nobody's watching.

But to your point, I kind of agree that --

MS. FERTIG: Well, I think we all agree we can't go back nine years, but --

MR. DE MEO: I mean, there may be some value in codifying our past mistakes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So we don't repeat them.

MR. DE MEO: But I'm more of a let's move on here. I mean --

MS. FERTIG: And I think we all are, too, but I would just like to say that when I -- I would like to take you to some of these. It's not an audit thing.

MR. DE MEO: I'm appalled. I told you, you saw the emotion I had. If I were around -- I was around. I was gone for a couple years and I came back. You know, I don't speak unless it's --

MS. FERTIG: I know and that's just -- are we okay talking about this? We made a recommendation to the board against those CMAR contracts. It went nowhere.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And then they came back in 2016, but that's not the problem with -- that CMAR contract was done in 2017. It's just that

they got everything through procurement to get everybody under contract and then nothing was moving.

MR. DE MEO: So let's just not waste a lot of money and a lot of -- waste our time and RSM's times doing something that seems kind of, you know, just -- I don't know.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And keep in mind, the grand jury report, actually, at the Task Force we started going through their observations because the first time that the district went through them they weren't even properly interpreting the observations because none of the people assigned to it were familiar with what any of those things actually meant. Because the bulk of it has to do with facilities, even though that's not where they started. There's a lot that has to do with ESE and all that, but the facilities section, it was four themes, which was failure to plan; failure to lead; failure to inform; and failure to account.

MR. DE MEO: Do you think it was the board's failing or do you think it was internally --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It was the board. The board -- the superintendent cannot go down the

wrong road without the board's approval. And it was -- and staff had been -- there have been people scared to admit to things. It made staff, the staff that was here, look less capable because they were forced to go along with these things. I have been in a room full of architects who spoke candidly, but I'm afraid, even now to some extent, to ever mention what day, date and meeting it was, because they were talking about the violations, some of the violations that I mentioned and having been forced to do them.

MS. FERTIG: I'm getting worried that we're getting into stuff that could come before us in this audit, so I'm wondering --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It's informational.

MR. DE MEO: No, no, no, we're just -- we're not -- do you think it's the board's failing?

MS. FERTIG: I think many times the board was not given the information they needed to make the decision they needed to make.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But they were given the information from the Task Force and ignored.

MS. FERTIG: I think if you were to look at some major decisions that happened in this district, they were not given the proper

information. But as -- I think there also has been --

MR. MAYERSOHN: So, Mr. -- through the Chair, Mr. Jabouin, what is it costing the district for this audit?

MR. JABOUIN: So, obviously, just like any other project, after RSM absorbs it they've got to look into the amount of time that they anticipate for the work and what the billing rate is.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Ballpark.

MR. JABOUIN: I don't know if Mr. Blondell has had a chance to put that together, because this meeting was part of it, if he wants to chime in on the range. Do you feel comfortable on that, Mr. Blondell?

MR. BLONDELL: I don't think at this time I'm comfortable saying that, but it's something that we could pull together fairly quickly.

MR. JABOUIN: Okay. I want to mention, though, from an audit standpoint, it is a worthy project that RSM and I talked about before some of the public discussion on it, because these three projects are not complete. I think -- I don't recall the exact percentages. One of them

is further along and another one is another percentage. So either way this is going to be a two-part project. One is, where are we now? And, two, when we're done, I don't know if this is a follow-up or a second audit, but it wasn't -- you know, we have to -- in a lot of cases you do these audits, the project has already been done and so forth.

Now, I do think, Ms. Fertig, there are some expectations from constituents and the community and ultimately the board approved something and maybe the communication back to the constituents didn't go or maybe there was a reliance of information that was flawed and so some of that --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm sorry, what? What does that mean?

MR. JABOUIN: I'm sorry. So I believe that -- and I wasn't here at the time, that there may have been expectations from different constituents on what was to be done.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, that was not the problem.

MR. JABOUIN: Well, I think when you look at the project charter meeting for Stranahan, for

example, you'll find they won't put a name next to but that the cafeteria, the need to rebuild, was definitely discussed at that meeting, which probably would have been a \$9 million cost and didn't happen for another --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Several years.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FERTIG: -- eight years and the cost, basically, more than doubled. So I don't know that you quantify stuff like that and --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, the thing is, the cafeteria in the scope validation from the original architect, they punted the cafeteria to -- out of there scope validation. You can go back and look. They -- they don't really address the cafeteria. They mention the dining portable or the tent and they say that further analysis is needed on the cafeteria. And then because we had to adjust the budget it became about, when Heery came on board, Rob Corbin is on tape, and understand that everybody was petrified that they had to stay within these ridiculous budgets, so he told the board in 2018, I think, even, that you would not replace when you can get just as good with a renovation, which, of course, turned out to not be true, because they were having

foundation issues, they couldn't make it work.

And speak foundation issues --

MR. JABOUIN: And we spoke to that at the project charter meeting in the fall of 2015, specifically, personally, myself to Rob Corbin, so I --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But he went to the board and told them otherwise and that's what they relied upon because this was the consultant they had hired. The media center floor, my understanding, they are on their second set of flooring in the media center at Stranahan and probably potentially going for a third because it's hollow. I think it's wood -- it's not solid concrete, the foundation of the media -- you know, the beautifully redone media center, it's all smoke and mirrors because the foundation is flawed.

MS. FERTIG: And not that that's not part of the bond program, but I think that was an Orange Bowl grant maybe.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The dressing up of it was, but I don't know about the structural issues.

MS. FERTIG: In any event, I wish Mr. Blondell a lot of luck.

MR. DE MEO: Let's see those procedures.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. At the next meeting?

MR. DE MEO: Yeah. And I think you were trying to tell us that there was some lack of communication, lack of understanding, lack of expectation, expectation gaps.

MR. JABOUIN: There could be.

MR. DE MEO: All of that points to what I was talking about. If there's value in going back nine years or 300 years or 400 years and writing a report and beating ourselves up, I'm all for it. I don't see the value. I don't see the value, but --

MR. JABOUIN: Ultimately, there could be another bond and so we want to make sure that some of the lessons from this bond are addressed.

MR. DE MEO: Now, you're making music; okay?
But -- so let's look at these procedures, because
I do think there is some value in going forward.
I hope there's some value. And I hope that we
can, to the extent we can correct and remediate
some of the problems, I don't know, is there
still an opportunity to do that or is it passed?

MS. FERTIG: Yeah, I think there's some

opportunity. But the other thing would be, these three schools are just, you know, symptomatic of many schools that did not have a voice. And Nathalie's mentioned some others today, there's a long list of them, but they were the ones that were highlighted to get the money. And of them, two of them had a Castaldi and were supposed to be rebuilt and they weren't. So we can't go back over any of that. The only thing that helps is to focus and make sure that everybody gets what they are supposed to get so that they can educate their children in an equitable manner.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So you can mitigate, at Stranahan, for example, the cafeteria, there's going to be a new cafeteria. Because, once again, the budget that was promised, which that's now several million over what certain staff members were running around telling board members it would be, there is -- the old walkway that will connect the new cafeteria to the existing building, not planned for replacement, and one of the board members asked if it could be included in the scope without impacting price, I'm like, yeah, I don't think so, but the bigger issue there is, none of

the walkways -- I had a report from somebody on staff --

MS. FERTIG: They were supposed to be included in the original scope, all the walkways.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Somebody took them out. I know who. And it was the person that was task assigned in charge of facilities at the point in time. And a lot of walkway main street, I have a report from somebody that's at the school, it's all leaking, and none of that was in the scope.

MS. FERTIG: It was originally in the scope.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, and --

MS. FERTIG: You don't need a school board member to tell you that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So the issue here, though, the way they got it out is there was confusion over whether some of those walkways were part of the reroofing or not. And so those are things that can be rectified. But you can't like now replace Stranahan in the short-term.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So, Mr. Chair, I've got a question for -- through the Chair to Ms. Marte. Is there strategically a plan to go out for another bond for construction?

MRS. MARTE: Not that I'm aware of.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: There isn't even a steering committee meeting that's happened yet.

MR. MAYERSOHN: No, I just go back to that, as years go on, schools will begin to age, and the lessons at least that we've discussed here, how do we get to the point where, to Mr. De Meo's point, I don't know if an audit is going to change unless there are systems in place to prevent these things from happening.

MRS. MARTE: My opinion, I think it should move forward. Because I do think it's important that we learn from whatever mistakes have happened. And to Dr. Lynch-Walsh's point, we do have a long-range plan, the steering committee will meet shortly, I have to get the letters out.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, we don't have a long-range plan.

MRS. MARTE: I misspoke.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm just clarifying. I'm just clarifying.

MRS. MARTE: We are in the process of developing that. And I think it is important to look at some of the mistakes of the past to avoid making them in the future. And I agree with Dr. Lynch-Walsh on that. I think it's vitally

important, in fact. Because there has been a lot of turn, a lot of turnover, a lot of -- even in my short time involved when I came back to the district in April and got much more intimately involved in the day-to-day work, you know, certainly than my roll as CFO where I was not involved, I've learned a lot that I did not understand with the public conversations that were happening in the past.

So I think it's important that we go through this. I do.

MR. DE MEO: With the auditors?

MRS. MARTE: With the auditors. Absolutely.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. Any other comments?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: On this matter?

MR. MEDVIN: Anything more from RSM?

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you, Mr. Blondell. Thank you, Ms. Marte.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I guess I'll send the PCG documents that are needed for our next meeting rather than verbally go through them.

MS. FERTIG: Could you just send them to him and we'll get them beforehand?

MR. MAYERSOHN: And, Mr. Chair, I know we're not -- we don't have a quorum to make any

decisions, but I do go back to Dr. Lynch-Walsh's point about requesting information. And I know that sometimes there's information that I hear Dr. Mack, you know, through the speaker or wherever he was, but, you know, one of the things he used to say was that, just don't request information just for the point of requesting information. If it's pertinent, somebody should be able to receive it. And I think that if a committee member, again, because, unfortunately, due to Sunshine, we can't have continued conversations, but if it helps, maybe there's some way where, whether information goes through the chair and there's an evaluation process, something where information can be acquired as opposed to somebody having to go through public records requests.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I just think it's -- I just think from an optics standpoint it's a bad look when -- I mean, if I were to request something that, you know, in asking Mr. Jabouin he says, no, it has to go through the committee and we're not meeting for another four weeks, but I need the information to prepare, we shouldn't have to necessarily -- there should be some procedure that allows us to

go through that. I don't know what it is, something more than having an option to create a public records request. So --

MR. MEDVIN: Good point. Thank you.

With that, anything else?

MR. JABOUIN: No. Thank you for coming in and having a special meeting.

MR. MEDVIN: Is there more?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, there is one thing, which actually related to that. Thank you for jogging my memory.

There is an RFP that was sitting out there that was done I think in 2018, '19, that selected, and none of us were on the selection committee, selected, I think, five firms for this construction, IT and all of that, and there was an attempt to give it to Carr, Riggs, Ingraham to do the grand jury review that got removed and I don't anticipate seeing that back again without that RFP going out, because, when they responded, there was no grand jury report, so I don't know how they could have ever asserted that they were qualified to do grand jury reviews.

So I -- I'm just going to say it as bluntly as -- I don't want to see that on a board agenda

that I have to kill again until there's been a discussion about putting it out with a scope of work and, frankly, some of us should be on the selection committee because it was all internal When we do facilities-related, construction-related selections the task force is part of that selection committee. Granted, it's mostly staff, but that didn't happen. happened so long ago, and the only reason I think it went to the board to try to give it to CRI is because Cartwright was trying to show in her, you know, bag of tricks that she had addressed that problem. And then it still popped up. It was going to be on yesterday's agenda. But it was coming from Cartwright's 90-day thing, not from it actually being the best court of action because it's an old RFP that predates the grand jury.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But that would have gotten approved by the board and then we would have had a firm, the same firm that did caps and gowns and that you'll see the issues with on PCG was going to be handed grand jury review to the tune of, I think, 135 grand.

I'm actually not sure the board would have

	Page 161
1	approved it, but the fact that it actually got
2	that far speaks volumes to me.
3	And that's it. I'm good. Thank you.
4	MR. MEDVIN: Thank you everybody.
5	MR. JABOUIN: Thanks for your time everybody.
6	(Meeting was adjourned at 12:29 p.m.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF FLORIDA 3 COUNTY OF BROWARD 4 I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary 5 Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did 7 stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of 8 my stenographic notes thereof. 10 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither an 11 attorney, nor counsel for the parties to this cause, 12 nor a relative or employee of any attorney or party 13 connected with this litigation, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this action. 14 Dated this 23rd day of February, 2023, Fort 15 16 Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida. 17 18 19 TIMOTHY R. BASS Court Reporter 20 21 22 23 24

25

<u>A</u>
A-rated 55:15,19
A.M 1:17
ability 47:23
able 23:12 28:11,13
42:23 43:7 67:4,24
117:18 134:7 158:9
absent 130:3
absolutely 27:21
46:14 51:9 57:23
59:10 78:12 128:21
129:8 139:22
157:13
absorb 82:7
absorbs 149:7
abuse 38:13
academic 2:15,15
11:1 12:23 14:12
accept 92:18 107:22
accepted 23:14
accepting 8:12
access 13:14 64:9
67:24 68:21,22,23
accessed 89:4
accommodate 87:24
accomplishing 34:19
account 147:21
accountable 34:6
75:21
accountant 24:24
Accountants' 7:20
accounting 24:23
accounts 11:9
accuracy 5:18
accurate 78:20
ACEVDEO 2:11
Acevedo 17:6,6
acknowledge 102:21
acknowledgment
6:10
acquired 158:15
acted 27:3 81:24
acting 47:21 65:17
action 34:7 35:24
51:21 92:5 100:14
136:24 160:16
162:14
actions 41:2,12
101:11,15
active 29:6 50:4
54:18,22 89:5 91:2

92:4,4,7 102:3
activities 77:22
activity 63:18,18,25
actual 37:15 50:16
add 23:7 24:14 25:12
28:25 64:25 79:8,10
88:24 94:22 96:20
97:1,3 98:18 106:24
113:7 140:5
add-on 80:2
added 26:20 98:1
adding 64:23 140:2
addition 24:21,22
81:3 97:13
additional 8:10 19:15
35:15 43:3 84:21
85:4 141:18
Additionally 100:18
additions 135:21
address 22:24 31:22
34:23 40:2,10 63:7
63:20 122:22
151:14
addressed 15:17
34:12,24,25 72:10
101:1,19 139:6
143:13 153:17
160:12
addresses 31:19
32:11 40:8
addressing 53:19
70:10
adhered 139:7
adherence 75:22
adhering 138:7
adjourned 161:6
adjust 151:18
adjusted 101:13
ADMINISTRATI
1:12
administrative 8:5
89:17
administrator 89:3
administrators 68:23
91:22 97:8 135:16
145:13,14
admit 15:5 148:3
adopt 104:20
adopted 100:19

Adopting 100:21

adults 58:1

advance 142:3 advice 125:15 **advisory** 2:22 6:3 advocating 140:12 **AECOM** 144:23 **afraid** 148:7 age 156:4 **agencies** 43:12 44:17 66:23 67:5 68:5 76:4 78:10 84:9,18 84:20 85:5 **agency** 41:19 **agenda** 5:5,6,14 6:13 16:14 18:16 124:12 124:13,14 129:7,7 129:20 134:22,25 159:25 160:14 **ago** 32:23 74:3 75:13 121:1 130:4 132:11 143:20 160:9 agree 33:23 48:4 59:10 62:13 74:17 78:21,21 117:23 123:6 144:2 146:3,4 156:24 **agreed** 124:20 agreed-upon 117:22 agreement 6:19 ahead 28:19 73:4 82:12 93:13 130:24 **AICPA** 23:13 alarmed 86:6 **alarming** 57:22 86:21 **Alberti** 56:6,10 **Alhadeff** 130:9,10 144:17 Allegiance 4:6 alleviate 100:22 103:11 104:19 allow 5:21 67:7 134:25 **allowed** 134:16 allows 158:25 **allude** 85:8 alternative 57:9 87:15 88:11 89:10 90:12 92:22,23,25 93:11,16 96:15 121:25 amend 106:9 107:20 amended 19:1 140:9

amendment 106:8 107:22 108:1.3 amount 64:16 126:10 127:3 149:8 analyses 136:5 **analysis** 60:11 61:9 61:17 80:10,18 134:11 136:9 137:10 141:14 151:16 analytics 61:5 and/or 38:8 76:1 **Andrew** 2:2 4:25 Andrews 1:24 annual 6:2 anomalies 80:12 answer 22:18 25:13 30:11 42:6 71:2,3 77:14 78:25 115:24 answered 12:3 **Anthony** 2:4 4:18 anticipate 149:9 159:19 anybody 50:19 66:22 71:11 **anyway** 110:9 AP's 86:14 apologize 8:20 **appalled** 146:15 apparently 13:14 54:8 appended 76:16 applicable 100:17 **applies** 102:14 apply 101:3 **appoint** 106:4,20 107:17 109:5 appointing 19:11 appreciate 22:11 23:16 65:1 73:4 approach 46:1 57:19 appropriate 20:11 22:15 23:22 32:22 48:25 appropriately 106:5 106:20 108:6 109:6 appropriateness 20:13 26:11,22 74:21 75:17 77:18 78:3,8 85:4 **approval** 5:4 18:16

148:1 **approve** 5:6 7:16 18:18 19:1 73:24 125:20 approved 5:14 7:21 12:7,8 129:6 150:11 160:19 161:1 approving 9:5,6 approximately 22:20 **April** 10:24 157:4 **APs** 99:8 arbitrary 89:22 architect 151:12 architects 148:6 area 19:24 56:20,20 59:7 61:24 62:1,1 83:25 98:16 123:20 130:8 138:11 areas 42:24 116:2 117:15 arena 84:20 **Arlotta** 2:9 17:12.12 **ARP** 48:19 arts 145:19 **Ashley** 2:11 17:6 **asked** 35:14 41:16 44:13,14 54:10 78:24 80:20 120:17 120:22,25 130:6,12 130:14 139:7 141:20 154:23 **asking** 11:19 34:5 45:7 53:9 58:20 95:6 132:1 158:21 assailant 102:3 asserted 159:22 assessed 89:1 assessment 2:17 16:25 19:16,20 20:7 20:15 24:6 25:21 26:12,23 32:6,9 50:2,25 52:9 55:24 61:22 62:19 63:12 66:17 67:21 68:21 68:25 69:20,21 70:12,15 71:24 72:14,16,24 74:23 75:18 80:23 88:17 90:23 91:21 92:3 95:1 101:2,23 106:7 106:22,25 108:8

	I		I	I
109:7 111:8 112:2	41:9 81:14 83:23	August 88:21	balances 72:25	68:6 126:14 150:18
112:10 113:20	114:19,20 115:3	authorities 31:17	Ballpark 149:11	believed 23:21
114:5 138:24 141:9	118:4,6,25 119:5	32:22	bankrupt 145:3	beneficial 20:20
141:10	audit 1:5 2:11 5:16	authorized 162:6	Bardee 2:25 18:10,10	best 32:15 43:25 74:3
assessments 24:18	6:12,21,21,25 7:10	automatically 91:21	21:15 22:3 25:5	116:20 117:6 132:5
26:2 35:1 38:11	7:15,24 8:9 11:25	102:18,19	31:21,25 32:15	134:8 139:7 160:16
48:21 49:24 61:10	14:16 16:4 17:16,17	available 15:13 58:12	36:14 39:8,11,21	Bethune 145:1
61:15 71:10 74:1	19:19,20 20:5,17	87:9	49:10 51:13 52:22	better 5:22 10:1,2
75:6 110:5,13 111:5	21:16 23:1,15,23	Avenue 1:13,24	52:25 60:14 104:4	14:19 28:20 30:15
assign 16:24 107:7,19	26:6 27:5,22 28:12	avoid 156:23	104:12	34:3 54:24 57:4,19
assigned 2:16,17	32:8 33:13 34:9,19	aware 19:10 73:10	based 6:2 20:16	64:22 96:18 143:6
13:25 16:22 69:19	34:21,23,25 35:2,15	139:9 155:25	87:21	Beyond 77:1
69:19 70:5 74:1	35:16 38:22 45:4	awful 36:10	basic 140:6	big 12:17 58:15,15
107:23 108:12,16	50:1 52:19 53:18	Aye 5:11 19:5 109:19	basically 22:1 68:16	80:23 88:11,25
108:23 109:8	61:1,3,13 74:11	111:20 114:14	79:16 134:4 151:8	124:9 125:11,13
147:13 155:7	77:12 78:3 85:6	118:12 119:14	basis 28:4 61:8 66:15	126:2,2 128:14,22
assignment 70:7	88:20,20 101:11	125:6	66:15,18,25 69:24	130:7 132:18 133:4
123:23	106:3,18 110:3,11	B	82:25 111:6,7 112:6	135:19 137:21
assignments 107:13	111:3 115:23 120:2	b 37:6 38:10 39:1,3	112:10 113:20	138:17
assist 98:10	120:15 124:23	40:21 41:6,15	114:6 Page 1, 22, 22, 2, 1	bigger 154:25
assistance 40:19	125:11 127:17,18	143:13	Bass 1:23,23 3:1	billing 149:9
84:10	130:10,12,14	back 7:2 8:9,13 10:8	162:4,19	bit 28:18 37:3 66:5
assists 5:20	132:15,20 135:9	11:20 13:4,25 14:5	bathroom 132:17	85:9 144:21
associated 39:19	142:4 146:14	16:11 17:14 25:23	bathrooms 143:11	black 54:25
118:25 119:5	148:14 149:5,21	29:21 36:25 37:10	BBHC 65:9	blah-blah-blah 35:20
139:25 assume 102:13	150:5 156:7 Audit's 24:17	37:14 38:5,22 45:6	Beach 94:25 95:4,6 95:16	Blanche 139:19 blanket 78:15
Atlanta 96:5,7	auditable 37:14	46:6 50:10 54:19,20	beat 144:6	blend 80:11
attached 6:23	41:20,21,23 42:2,4	56:6,10 58:22 59:12	beaten 99:9	Blondell 2:21 126:6,8
attainable 117:18	42:5,6,7,15 43:16	62:5 65:4,16 66:7,8	beating 99:19 153:12	127:25 128:25
attempt 159:17	44:14 51:4,5 71:16	66:22 67:5 72:16	beautifully 152:16	130:18,23,24 131:1
attempt 133.17 attend 103:2	77:2,5,7,9,16 78:13	75:20 77:4 86:24	BECON 44:4	133:23 135:1,6,7
attendance 2:1 18:24	78:17 79:6 114:19	89:7,20 91:13 93:18	beginning 10:13 25:9	149:12,16,17
attended 134:24	audited 15:1,2 27:23	93:22 94:7 95:12	59:13 74:12 135:25	152:25 157:17
attending 6:15 99:5	77:19	96:22 98:23,23,24	begins 9:9 123:25,25	bluntly 159:24
100:21 103:18,21	auditing 23:5 26:4	99:5,15 101:16	begun 98:2	board 1:3,12 5:17 6:2
104:11	39:2 88:8 94:16	105:2 109:3 113:9	behave 47:24	6:18,24,25 7:1,2,7,8
attention 33:12	132:9	135:24 146:5,18,23	behavior 43:4 59:24	7:16 8:11 9:1,15,16
attorney 131:17	auditor 2:7,8 4:9 7:22	150:12 151:14	65:18,19 88:16	12:7 28:13 67:17
162:11,12	17:5,7,9,11,13 18:2	153:10 154:8 156:3	behavioral 2:17	70:14 73:24 74:4
attribute 31:18 32:10	19:22 23:21 33:11	157:3 158:1 159:19	16:24 19:16,19 20:6	82:7 106:3,9,10,11
32:21 35:2 39:12	34:22 35:5 45:2	backdated 11:2	24:6 25:21 38:15	106:12,13,19
40:7,25 41:5,8,14	112:24 120:1	backed 128:20	52:9 62:18 73:25	108:23 109:4 120:2
44:15 51:10 52:23	Auditor's 7:17 8:4	background 24:23	77:22 80:22,25	120:14,19 122:21
53:11 69:5 70:22	35:11	130:1	81:23 82:19 101:1	130:9 136:24,25
76:10 77:20 81:13	auditors 8:24 11:2	backpack 104:5	101:22 110:4,13	143:7 145:23
82:17,20,23 83:17	28:3 71:15 84:17	backpacks 103:6	111:5	146:21 147:24,25
84:4 88:5,5,25 89:2	100:9 157:12,13	backtrack 76:10	believe 9:8 23:17 24:7	148:18 150:11
118:1,19,23	auditory 135:5	backwards 73:9 74:9	24:21 30:24 35:14	151:19,22 152:7
attributes 32:4 38:23	audits 2:9,10 19:24	bad 117:1 158:19	42:20 50:13 54:16	154:19,22 155:13
38:25 39:18,19 40:5	28:2,17 150:7	bag 160:12	54:16,24 57:3 67:11	159:25 160:10,20

160:25 Cartwright 86:18 141:2,8,10 154:21 **change** 18:23 113:17 55:1 board's 136:21 **built** 28:9 160:11 156:8 circumvented 92:16 **bulk** 147:15 144:16 147:22 Cartwright's 160:15 **changed** 137:1.2 cited 71:8 76:17 case 33:7,11 45:25 140:24 148:1,17 **bullets** 88:24 city 65:20 138:20 46:19 91:11 112:23 **Bob** 66:8 95:25 **burden** 29:3,9 30:12 **changes** 25:10 139:21 clarification 83:14 **bodies** 24:4 55:3.10 139:13 139:21 clarifies 105:3 **Business** 2:21 **changing** 12:19 141:2 **clarify** 8:8 51:13 **bond** 125:17 130:16 cases 9:18 36:22 62:7 135:11 138:23 91:4,14 100:13 **channel** 72:20 69:17 120:12 \mathbf{C} 152:20 153:16,17 139:22 150:7 **chaos** 139:10 **clarifying** 156:19,20 c 2:11 37:6 38:12 classroom 133:5 155:24 Castaldi 134:9 136:8 **charge** 69:20 70:12 39:1.3 40:21 41:6 book 27:22 154:7 155:7 139:8 41:15 bothering 33:24 Castaldis 141:12 **chart** 40:3 42:13 **clear** 12:11 53:9 56:4 cafeteria 135:20 **bottom** 37:9,16,21 catalog 145:19 45:14 72:6 76:21 79:4 151:2,11,12,15,17 Bowl 152:21 catching 30:8 **charter** 64:15,16,19 103:20 108:5 154:15,15,21 **box** 52:25 57:18 cause 162:11 136:13,13 150:25 142:24 call 4:10 16:8 52:16 **ceiling** 138:14 152:4 clearer 108:9 81:15 101:10 54:11,14 55:4 64:6 **brand** 10:23 celebrated 36:8,9 **cheaper** 141:13 Clearinghouse 7:25 64:23 65:18,18,25 **Clerk** 2:11 break 16:10 39:11 **center** 1:12 104:5 check 64:6 69:10 83:2 70:15 98:12 114:12 84:3 118:24 119:4 145:10 152:10,12 83:9 100:23 103:11 closed 85:13 100:16 139:2 breakdown 36:22 152:16 103:16 104:6.23 **closure** 100:17 called 39:5 43:17 centers 25:7 100:19 117:9 61:15 **clunky** 110:2 51:1 54:7,17,23 **checked** 52:25 81:15 **CMAR** 145:6 146:21 breaking 68:16 104:4 55:1 117:9.10 **brief** 125:11 central 62:1 83:10 102:18 146:25 **briefly** 128:1 calling 95:6 certain 33:9 42:22 103:23 104:6,24 **cock-ups** 141:16 **campus** 54:15 **bring** 16:13,17 33:11 133:7 141:3,5 **checking** 69:13 104:9 **code** 54:6,7,9,10,17 cancelled 124:18 **checklist** 64:9 117:8 85:3 122:6 141:4 154:18 54:23,25 55:4,5 **brings** 75:9 145:6 checks 72:25 100:20 139:15 141:2.4 **certainly** 14:4 36:20 candidly 148:7 112:24 137:9 157:6 **broken** 21:22 61:13 **CHERI 3:4** codes 72:12 capable 22:13 26:5 **CERTIFICATE chief** 2:7,8,15,15,16 codified 134:3 62:2 118:18 31:6 35:13 148:4 **Brothers** 58:15 162:1 2:16 4:9 8:4 10:25 codifying 146:7 **Capital** 135:17 **brought** 37:1,2 42:18 certificates 26:16 12:23 14:12 16:22 **coffee** 70:2 caps 131:13 160:21 88:18 97:3 certified 8:2 17:5,7,9,11,13 coincidence 130:11 capture 44:25 79:22 brouhaha 12:17 certify 162:6,10 19:22 23:21 33:10 **COKER** 2:18 84:1 96:16 **Broward** 1:3 65:7 cetera 83:21 34:22 35:5,10 45:2 collaboration 115:16 **captured** 86:16 99:2 67:9,24 95:17 96:2 CFO 157:6 56:6,10 69:18 70:15 colleagues 23:20 130:18 96:4,7 106:13,19 chair 2:2,2 5:23 8:5 74:1,2 112:24 120:1 33:23 35:8 capturing 80:16 **chiefs** 131:20 109:4 131:17 162:3 16:3 22:20 58:24 **collect** 83:7,9 131:25 99:16 162:16 62:15 69:16 84:15 child 35:22 65:21 collected 82:25 care 24:10 33:25 38:9 **BSO** 65:25 85:25 99:25 101:4 68:9 83:7 85:18,22 collectively 113:5 47:11 76:1 86:17 **color** 55:5 **BTA** 32:16 38:7 39:1 106:2 129:6 130:10 86:4 93:15,21 97:23 carpeting 145:10 39:5 43:2,12 44:16 149:3 155:21,22 99:18,18,20 133:13 **colors** 56:7 Carr 159:17 89:4 100:12 101:8 157:24 158:14 child's 66:11 **column** 39:7 40:4.5 carried 11:15 80:24 103:13 **chairs** 12:19 **children** 24:7 47:4 carries 19:8 109:22 **budget** 13:7 96:25 **challenge** 65:10 98:4 57:6 58:3 86:11 columns 81:7 111:23 114:17 138:7,8 151:18 98:13 87:24 93:6 97:20 come 8:8 44:1 99:5 118:15 119:17 154:16 **challenges** 60:3 68:14 99:3 154:12 99:15 101:18,22 125:9 **budgeting** 10:21 11:9 challenging 65:19 children's 54:5 111:18 113:9 carryover 10:20 **budgets** 151:21 66:6 **chime** 40:18 149:14 138:17 145:23 cars 66:4 champion 57:24 **build** 67:22 Chris 2:22 126:7 148:13 Carter-Lynch 2:3 **building** 54:19,21,21 **chance** 57:10,11 90:2 **circle** 46:12 comes 13:3 16:14 4:11,12 18:21 19:12 139:15 140:11 149:13 circumstances 9:25 24:6 67:8 132:4

comfortable 149:15 149:18 coming 11:18 17:3 34:25 47:7,18 67:9 94:24 95:11 103:3 140:12 159:6 160:15 comment 27:20 33:2 33:18 35:11 45:23 46:4 54:1 67:15 86:7 123:10 125:11 commentary 130:4 comments 8:7 18:17 19:15 21:4 30:19,21 53:20 59:4,22 82:7 82:12,15 110:24 111:13 114:24 118:9 119:11 133:20,21,22 134:2 137:8 141:24 157:14 Commission 67:19 **committee** 1:5 2:1 5:11,17 6:3,12,21 6:21,25 7:2,10,15 8:9 16:5 18:22,24 19:5 20:4,17,24 21:2,5 23:1,4,9,15 23:23 26:6 27:6 28:12 35:3 61:1 79:25 82:5,14,21 86:1 89:18 91:25 92:22 106:3.18 109:19 111:20 114:14 115:16 118:12 119:14 120:2,15 123:14 124:17 125:6,16,17 125:22 126:6 127:14 130:12,14 130:15,16,19 135:9 135:10.11 139:5 156:2,14 158:10,22 159:15 160:4,7 committee's 7:14 committees 127:24 135:16 **common** 72:9 communicate 35:6 66:25 95:4 communicating 66:12

69:11 71:9 communication 66:19 84:6,7,8 127:19 150:12 153:6 communications 135:9 **community** 3:4 38:8 39:15 43:4 58:2,11 64:24 66:2 72:18 75:25 83:24 137:19 150:10 **company** 102:2 comparing 132:17 comparison 137:15 compelled 141:24 **complete** 20:11 51:7 53:2 149:24 162:8 completed 72:16 84:24 93:17 132:25 136:16 completed/signed 20:11 completely 27:8 completeness 38:12 61:2 84:24 completing 132:23 **compliance** 7:18 20:5 27:14 74:11.11 81:10 105:11 115:8 115:11,12,18,23 116:2,11,12,24 117:14 118:25 119:5 134:19 **comply** 115:6 component 62:10 components 118:20 135:20 139:16 140:5 141:3 computer 76:12 **concept** 61:4 132:9 concern 8:25 38:21 92:10,14 94:20 95:10 97:2 145:7 **concerned** 22:7 47:25 116:3 117:16 128:24 concerning 56:25 62:16 100:13

concerns 21:5 23:19

29:19 74:12.18

concludes 8:4

concluding 105:9 conclusion 116:15 131:8 concrete 152:15 **concur** 24:13 27:8 condition 138:24 141:8,10 conducting 63:11 conferences 29:7 conflict 6:11 confusion 155:16 **connect** 154:20 connected 162:13 connecting 68:15 consensus 33:10 consider 32:14 80:6 115:18 constantly 81:24 constituents 150:10 150:12,21 constraint 99:22 constraints 124:6 construction 17:17 18:3 135:18 136:15 136:16 137:6 155:24 159:16 construction-related 160:6 consultant 152:9 **consulted** 23:20 24:3 26:7 Consulting 2:21,23 3:3 17:16 18:1,6 **contact** 43:12 44:16 52:14,14 78:9 contacted 131:19 contacting 52:5 contemplate 141:8 contemplated 72:9 content 26:11,22 74:22 75:17 contents 20:14 **continue** 47:21,24 continued 158:11 continues 123:18 continuous 56:8 contract 7:6 8:23 9:6 9:7,12 11:4 14:6,8 18:4 146:25 147:2 contracts 145:6 146:22

contributing 32:24 control 33:1 53:22 137:5 controls 2:24 7:17 14:20 18:3 convened 128:22 conversation 20:17 95:19 96:1 105:16 conversations 43:1 50:25 157:8 158:12 coordinate 43:14 44:19 78:12 coordinating 9:14 copy 50:15,22 120:21 Corbin 151:19 152:5 correct 21:10 32:19 50:8,25 51:2 52:10 91:3 93:24 101:24 107:4,4 153:22 corrected 30:6,7 74:5 74:6 correctly 47:21 cost 151:4,7 costing 149:4 **Council** 138:20 **counsel** 162:11 **counseling** 39:24 65:6 68:10 counselor 67:1 counselors 83:21 **count** 19:13 County 1:3 65:8 67:9 96:2,4 106:14,19 109:4 162:3,16 **couple** 21:3 24:15 30:21 59:22 98:2 143:9 146:17 course 9:1 15:8 78:23 99:2 151:24 court 1:22 3:1 40:13 160:16 162:4.19 cover 14:13 18:23 coverage 20:20 covered 41:4,9 76:9 covering 14:15 **CPA** 18:1 24:22 **CPS** 83:7 **CPT's** 134:20 **cracks** 34:13 crazy 138:7

contribute 33:12

create 159:2 creates 11:8 **CRI** 11:2 12:21 14:5 160:10 **crime** 14:14,14 52:4 **criminal** 63:8.17 **crisis** 38:13,15,16 69:9 critical 44:20 72:20 84:7 **CSC** 66:23 **culinary** 145:19 **cured** 82:3 curious 100:9 current 12:15 49:6 **currently** 36:12 50:4 50:6 57:13 68:13,13 85:14 135:15 137:5 cut 9:17 127:10 Cypress 88:7 93:4 97:5

D **D** 37:6 41:17,18,18 55:17 76:4 Dahl 2:3 4:16,17 17:23 29:16 37:11 38:1,3 45:5,6,21 46:18,24 55:18 80:25 85:15,16,24 93:14,25 94:2 97:24 98:24 99:1,7 109:1 120:17.22 123:12 123:24 124:10.20 **Dailey** 2:11 17:8,8 daily 83:2,8,11 100:20 103:15,17 105:1 dangerous 44:21,22 dare 144:11,12 data 50:1,2 80:12,14 81:20 82:25 83:3,8 83:9 86:16 87:8 120:18 131:24,24 **database** 66:17.24 date 10:14 11:4 43:18 51:8,18 53:5 100:15 148:8 dated 20:22 162:15 dates 8:24 14:7 20:19 daughter 35:22

dow 9.01 20.16 22.04	deficiencies 141.11	122,21 122,10	147.11 140.25	downward 105:20
day 8:21 22:16 33:24	deficiencies 141:11	132:21 133:10	147:11 148:25	downward 105:20
34:18 35:21 57:16	deficiency 53:22	137:4 150:20	149:4 157:4	Dr 2:4,15 4:10,20,22
70:2 92:8 104:16	135:25 136:4,12	differently 39:17	district's 4:9 6:1	5:8,9 6:25 8:6,7,19
105:15 133:14	137:4 139:1	diligence 123:14	20:14 26:12 61:17	9:21 10:1,12,19
139:11 148:8	define 122:7	dining 151:15	74:22 75:18 112:9	11:22 12:2 13:6,11
162:15	definitely 56:9 98:12	direction 24:17 36:15	113:19 114:5 115:7	14:22 15:21,23 16:9
day-to-day 69:23,24 157:5	151:3	45:13 97:19	139:13	16:15 17:1 19:3
	degreed 24:23	directly 65:25	District-based 120:8	22:6 24:12,13 25:3
days 64:21 De 2:4 4:18,19 30:20	delay 35:12,12,14 demonstrate 77:19	director 2:18,23 12:24 73:25 106:4	districts 95:18 dive 88:4	25:11,12,18 29:10
T	Department 7:23,24	106:25		29:11 33:15,16 36:24,25 37:8,13,21
30:21 31:5,14,16,24	67:12 68:4	directors 106:9	Diversity 85:25 86:19 125:16 130:15	37:23 38:4 39:10,16
32:1,10,20 36:7				
37:1 41:15,17,20	depending 63:1,18,25	disagree 30:24 35:17	135:10	40:1,11,20 41:6,11
42:3 43:12,23 44:2	89:12	discipline 72:12,15	diverted 11:6	41:18,25 42:13
44:8,12 45:22 46:6	depends 140:25	86:10 87:23 89:19	document 13:4 37:19	43:20,25 44:6 46:8
50:10 51:24 52:5,13	Deputy 2:14,14 16:20	90:24 93:5	52:7 64:23,23 66:16	54:3 59:12,17 60:8
52:16,19,24 53:9,13	DeSantis' 131:20	disclaimer 26:10	66:17 100:11	70:18,21 71:1,20
63:21 69:15 70:9,24	describe 82:21 128:1 described 33:3	discover 141:19	136:25	73:3,8,13,17 76:14
71:3,13,25 73:3,7		discovered 15:7	documentation 19:11	76:19,23 77:14,24
76:12,15,20 77:18	design 135:18 136:13	discretion 22:25 23:8	20:6 38:11 42:22	78:6,15,23 79:1,11
78:2,14,21,24 99:25	136:14 139:14	23:14 62:23	43:6 50:11 82:24	79:14 80:6,15,20
100:4 105:5 106:2	145:15	discussed 10:23 11:5	83:22 84:13 85:1	81:1,8 85:19,21
106:13,18 107:14	designed 24:19	31:10,16 41:21	88:8	86:17,18 96:25
108:5,15,18,21	desirable 35:23	100:14 101:11	documented 6:22	100:6 101:21,25
109:10,11,13	desire 23:15 28:8	136:23 137:1,1	41:2,13 64:4 66:13	102:5,8,22 103:10
112:14,16,22 113:4	85:11	151:3 156:5	72:11 103:8 104:8	103:22 104:7,17
113:11,14 116:4,7	destructive 134:9	discussing 12:9 79:20	121:6	105:6 106:11 107:2
116:13,19 117:2,21	136:9 detail 95:11	discussion 7:3,10,11 8:14 20:2 77:6 79:4	documenting 66:11 82:18	107:7,11,16 108:4
121:2 123:6,25	detailed 10:14	79:24 81:5 84:5	documents 8:10	108:17,24 109:14
128:8,16 129:25	details 100:13 101:13		37:19 62:21 63:11	109:24 110:7,11,18 111:3,7,24 112:5,8
130:21 131:3,19 132:8 141:23 142:2	determination 87:22	109:16 112:14,15	157:20	1 1
132:8 141:23 142:2 142:7,23 143:14,18	determine 20:8 21:16	114:10 120:25 124:9 127:23 132:7		113:2,13,16,22,24
142.7,23 143.14,18		149:23 160:2	doing 10:16 21:18 26:5,17,21,24,25	114:2,18,25 115:2 115:10,17,21 116:1
144.5,11 140.0,9,13	32:6 116:8,8,22 determined 41:23	discussions 42:21	29:23 30:7,15,18	116:10,22 117:3,11
153:1,4,9,18 156:6	42:4	43:8 125:19 135:12	34:14,20 36:11	117:23 118:3,16
157:12	determining 33:7	dispense 129:15	41:14,15 45:20	117:23 118:3,10
deal 31:1	112:25	distinction 140:2	50:20 91:9 99:16	120:23 126:17
December 46:22	develop 113:18,22	distraction 12:4	104:1,9,18 116:11	120.23 120.17 127:2,7,17 128:3,10
49:14,18	114:4	distribution 121:17	120:5 126:21 130:2	128:18 129:23
decide 138:24	developing 156:22	district 2:13 6:16 8:3	145:24 147:6	133:19 134:1,15,19
decided 79:3,5,6	developing 136:22 development 136:11	21:6 22:17,19 29:9	door 102:18	134:21,23 135:2
decision 89:22,22	died 76:12	43:6 50:4 55:16,17	doors 133:5 137:13	137:25 138:2,2
148:20	difference 137:23	56:7 58:11 61:9	142:15	140:14,25 142:1,13
decisions 148:24	differences 137:11	64:14 65:6 66:6	double 36:25 37:9	142:22 144:1,12
158:1	different 24:4 30:8	71:5,18 72:13 76:17	38:5	146:8,23 147:8,24
deck 12:19	39:23 57:19,20	81:9,25 83:19	double-check 122:19	148:15,21 149:11
deck 12.19 deeper 97:12	58:20 66:14 84:23	100:10 112:2	doubled 151:8	150:16,22 151:6,10
defeat 144:25	87:20,23 90:24	120:13 134:20	doubt 142:16 143:14	150:70,22 151:0,10
defer 42:8,10 85:19	96:21 108:24,25	136:6 137:16	Douglas 55:18,23	154:13 155:5,12,15
129:15 143:4	118:19 127:24	138:22 142:9 145:3	65:24	156:1,13,16,19,24
127.13 173.7	110.17 121.27	150.22 172.7 175.5	03.21	150.1,15,10,17,27
	l e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	l ————————————————————————————————————	I	l ————————————————————————————————————

157:15,19 158:1,4	employee 99:19	66:3 68:25 138:22	explained 10:20	fat 80:23
159:9	162:12	essence 130:19	12:21 131:14	favor 5:10 19:4
draw 116:14	employees 5:17	essentially 41:15	explicitly 76:6	109:18 111:19
dressing 152:22	endeavor 142:11	135:14 136:1 137:7	express 23:18	114:13 118:11
drills 102:3,4	ended 14:25	ESSER 13:18,19	extensively 137:21	119:13 125:5
drive 107:18	ends 123:23	48:19	extent 143:2 148:8	feasible 111:15
driving 66:4	enforcement 32:5,8	establish 115:11	153:22	117:17
dropped 136:18	50:11,23 51:7 54:10	established 6:3 38:7	externally 67:6	February 1:16 46:23
due 15:19 158:11	62:19,20,22,24 63:1	et 83:21	extra 35:20 97:22	49:23 162:15
	63:6,16,17,23 64:5	evaluation 76:2	98:11	Federal 7:24
E	64:11,17,18 68:22	158:14	extreme 50:20	fee 27:25
E 37:6 41:25,25	75:24 76:3,5,7,22	event 152:24	eye 55:14	feel 22:3 47:20 58:5,6
42:17 78:7,16,23	76:25 77:1 81:16	everybody 8:11		58:7,13 98:18 115:6
81:4	117:9 118:21	11:12 12:7,17 45:3	F	141:24 149:15
earlier 14:23 77:15	enforcement's 62:17	123:19 128:11	F-rated 55:17	FERPA 67:3
early 12:13	62:23	147:2 151:20	face 97:15	Fertig 2:2 5:23,24,25
easier 121:20 135:4	engage 38:15	154:10 161:4,5	faced 98:14	8:15 9:17,23 10:11
easiest 115:13	engaged 19:22	everyone's 74:16,17	facilities 125:15	10:18 11:18,25 13:2
easily 51:5	engines 66:5	75:19	130:15 133:20	13:9 14:16,21 17:2
easy 120:21	enjoy 64:21	exact 111:10 120:25	134:3 135:10	29:14,17 34:2 37:7
EdPlan 66:16	enroll 92:23	149:25	147:16,18 155:7	46:3,10 48:4,12,15
EdSpec 134:20	enrolled 91:6,23	Exactly 97:25 115:19	facilities-related	53:17 55:21 56:18
139:14 144:8	enrolling 88:13	example 40:7 43:2	160:5	58:22 59:6,15 66:7
EdSpecs 145:15	enrolls 92:9	81:22 96:2,3 100:23	facility 138:23	66:19 69:6 73:5,12
educate 154:11	ensure 5:18 38:12	103:12 131:13	fact 8:20 18:21 30:9	77:13 79:7,19 84:3
education 6:19 7:23	58:12 61:24 64:7	132:14,22 133:2	36:3 55:9 77:16	86:23 87:2,10,12,14
7:24 57:17 67:12	72:14,23 81:10	139:19 143:10	142:14 157:1 161:1	87:16 88:2 89:6,14
68:4 72:8 93:7	115:8 116:7,24	151:1 154:14	factor 28:23	89:24 90:4 91:1,4
educational 87:25	ensured 64:17 116:9	examples 88:3	factors 32:24 89:21	92:5,10,14,24 93:12
effectiveness 74:16	ensures 92:7	excellent 60:24	93:8	94:12,16,19 95:2
112:1,9,18,19	ensuring 117:5,5,14	exceptions 30:2 35:25	fail 35:1 117:13	98:1,25 99:24 105:7
113:19 114:5	enter 100:13 104:16	excerpt 7:8	failed 36:2 56:16,16	105:24 107:5,25
efforts 123:19	entered 5:24 20:9	excoriate 143:19	88:6,7	108:10,13 110:21
eight 151:7	72:15	executive 2:10 12:24	failing 47:22 147:23	110:23,25 111:6
either 11:16 34:4	entering 104:5	73:25 106:25	148:17	112:12,13 113:7,12
73:19 75:7 76:14	entertaining 21:4	existing 154:21	failure 32:14 55:6	113:15,21,25 114:7
81:13 128:8 131:16	entire 110:3,12 111:4	exists 53:16 69:3	62:6 147:19,20,20	114:9,22 117:8
136:18,25 150:2	136:17	exit 94:4	147:20	118:8 119:2,9,10
electrical 138:5,9,12	entitled 21:22	exiting 94:8	failures 34:8 36:4	120:5,10,20 121:7
139:17,25	entity 68:10	exits 94:9	fair 55:12 56:2	121:21,25 122:9,13
elementary 25:7	entrance 94:6	expand 81:14	116:19	123:1,9 124:21
60:18 121:23	equate 55:22	expect 74:6	fairly 149:19	125:2,10,24 126:3,5
elements 135:13	equip 57:11	expectation 153:7,7	fall 135:23 152:4	126:9,15,18 127:1,5
eligible 108:11	equitable 154:12	expectations 150:10	falling 34:13	127:9 128:2,25
Ely 139:19	Ernie 2:16 16:21	150:20	familiar 20:24 147:14	129:4,13,17 131:23
email 91:22	82:16	expelled 99:4,10,18	family 65:6,12	132:12 133:24
emails 10:8 12:8	Ernie's 29:7	99:19	far 6:8 25:19 28:1	134:12 137:17
embarrassing 71:5	errors 23:11	experience 56:1	36:22 49:9 60:11	140:8,22 142:6,15
emergency 82:25	ESE 12:24 93:6	experienced 54:5	122:21 130:25	143:9,16,21 146:4
emotion 146:16	147:18	expertise 77:21	135:24 136:16	146:11,19 148:12
empathized 55:7	especially 15:4 63:7	explain 62:17	161:2	148:18,23 150:9

		1		1
151:7 152:19,24	flagged 103:9	143:2 153:20	110:14 111:8	12:25 20:4 21:17
153:25 155:3,11,13	flawed 150:14 152:18	156:11	Georgia 96:5	23:10 24:14 25:23
157:22	flexibility 7:13	found 6:7 55:9	Gerri 17:15,25	26:8 27:13 28:14
Fertig's 122:22 137:8	floor 1:24 152:10	139:24	getting 10:6 13:2 15:1	29:25 32:20 33:13
fidelity 38:12 60:10	flooring 152:12	foundation 152:1,2	58:2 67:5 69:11	35:23 37:9,11 42:8
figure 113:6	Florida 1:13 7:23	152:15,17	75:20 82:19 98:6	42:10 44:2 47:5
file 26:22	67:22 68:19 69:2	four 46:16 105:6	105:21 123:9,14	48:2,5,6 52:3 55:16
filed 50:13,14,17	139:15 162:2,5,16	147:19 158:23	124:23 125:12	56:5,17 57:10 61:6
117:10	flows 57:8	fourth 49:8	140:17,24 144:1,6	61:25 62:3 63:4
files 20:14 26:11	focus 128:19 154:10	Frank 81:9	144:14 148:12,13	64:25 66:7 67:13
74:22 75:17	focused 20:5	frankly 47:1 160:3	give 49:22 50:1 55:12	70:13 73:9,21 75:2
final 56:11	folder 83:5	frequent 19:23 85:23	73:6 88:2 121:3	75:14 82:2 85:19
finalized 36:17	follow 7:4 30:4 38:7	frequently 21:18	127:14 130:1	86:2,17 89:9 91:1,5
Financial 7:18	38:14 43:15 44:19	22:14	131:24 133:2	91:7 92:25 93:16
financially 162:13	53:21,22 78:12	friendly 107:22 108:3	159:17 160:10	94:2,7,11,23 104:2
find 60:3 65:13 76:13	85:12 119:22	friends 67:16	given 15:4 27:9 39:22	104:2,25 105:5
76:14 87:10 142:17	139:12 144:8,9	front 102:18	48:19 139:11	109:25 110:1
151:1	follow-up 7:5 37:5,24	frustrated 47:10	148:19,21,25	118:24 119:18,25
findings 7:5 32:1	53:17 81:19 89:25	full 26:8 46:12 148:6	giving 98:10	121:7 122:4 125:18
fine 55:8 108:10	90:6,8,13,14 150:5	fully 35:13,13	go 11:20 14:25 15:10	129:18 131:6,25
113:25 finish 16:1 54:1 70:24	followed 25:17 44:23	fundamental 28:2	15:12 21:25 28:19	133:23 134:2,13
73:15 109:1 133:4	116:9 122:15 134:8	funding 10:21 11:9	28:21,22 30:14	136:7,20 138:3,8,23 138:24 140:22
finished 132:19	following 4:1 25:18 41:10 48:25 64:8	12:8,15 13:16,20	33:16 37:14 38:22	141:1 142:17 143:4
133:16 137:12	force 125:16 130:15	14:3 15:9,15,16 144:25 145:1	39:22 45:6,23 46:5 46:6 47:23 50:10,15	141.1 142.17 143.4 145:17,21 147:10
143:11	133:21 134:3	funds 11:14 13:18	50:19 56:21 58:22	150:2 152:13
finishes 137:23	135:10 138:8 147:9	15:10,12 35:15	59:9,12,21 62:5	150.2 152.15
fire 12:12 66:5 102:3	148:22 160:6	48:19	64:6,6 65:4,12,16	156:7 159:20,24
140:20 142:21	forced 148:5,11	further 8:13 109:16	69:2 70:4 73:4 75:1	160:14,22
firm 18:1 31:5 160:20	foregoing 162:7	111:13 114:10	82:12 86:1,24 88:14	gold 140:2
160:21	forensic 6:20	119:21 131:7 150:1	89:11,21 91:13	gonna 107:17
firms 159:15	forget 25:25 52:13	151:16 162:10	92:15,20 93:8,13,18	good 4:3,8,15 5:24,25
first 10:12 12:5 17:14	116:4	future 43:9 62:2	95:12 96:22 102:16	16:19,21,23 17:24
22:11 27:13 29:13	form 6:8,11 31:21	156:24	106:15 107:10	25:6 26:18 27:2
29:20 30:22,22	41:1 51:6,9,17		109:2 117:9 121:22	28:20 32:3 33:20,21
40:22 41:22 69:18	52:15,17 53:2,7	G	124:6 125:18 129:8	71:21 73:7 75:2
74:20 79:15 92:8	84:24 102:20	gain 127:8	130:12,14,24 131:7	86:23 96:6 105:4
96:12 99:10 100:4	118:17,20,22 119:1	GAP 23:13 24:3	141:12 143:1,6	108:18 109:25
101:6,19 110:25	119:6	gaps 153:7	146:5 147:25 148:5	114:1 130:11,23
111:11 114:3 131:3	formal 100:18,22	GASB 23:13	150:13 151:13	133:21 137:18
132:4 141:25	102:11 104:13	gather 83:6	154:8 155:23 156:3	151:24 159:4 161:3
147:11	former 10:25 14:12	gearing 25:14	156:4 157:10,21	gotten 41:19 47:9
firsthand 54:5	forms 6:11 20:9	general 7:23 13:18	158:1,16,21 159:1	49:8 64:13 74:5
fiscal 9:10 12:16	Fort 1:13,24 162:15	77:11 93:7	goals 77:10 78:18	160:19
five 10:2,2 36:9	forth 29:24 95:12	generates 95:13	GOB 135:19	governing 24:4
126:14 129:22	98:23,24 131:15	gentleman 141:25	God 108:22	gowns 131:13 160:21
159:15	132:7 150:8	geographic 80:6,9	goes 66:8,22 72:16	grade 55:21
fix 145:5	fortunate 70:9	geographical 60:23	82:8 93:21 96:4	grammatical 43:15
fixed 30:10 93:20	fortunately 95:16	geographically 60:13	101:16 133:12,17	grand 128:19,22
fixtures 140:3	forward 11:15 79:7	60:20 93:1 110:5	139:8 158:13	131:5,17 147:8
FL 1:24	105:10 126:20	geographically-bas	going 9:4,12 11:19	159:18,21,23

happened 34:12 96:8 hire 106:4,19 107:16 ignore 22:1 76:22 160:17,23,24 109:5 **including** 57:17 61:7 grant 65:8 152:21 141:15 148:24 **ignored** 148:22 hired 152:10 imagine 55:16 73:19 granted 62:7 160:7 156:2,13 160:9 135:19 historically 90:16 immaterial 22:23 incorporate 137:9 great 51:20 56:11 **happening** 27:16 64:8 138:20 69:13 86:6,19 94:1 hit 115:12 116:2 immediate 31:22 incorporated 136:11 gross 142:13 97:14 104:22,24 141:5 38:13 41:2,12 63:3 increase 23:3 35:16 **group** 3:3 17:16 18:1 hold 54:8 56:22 112:5 156:9 157:9 immediately 45:1,2 increased 23:3 18:6,20 64:20 71:11 happens 6:7 34:17 114:2 63:9 64:11 67:24 **Independent** 7:16,20 77:21 112:21 88:9,12 91:18 **holding** 126:16 69:11 72:22,23 independently 130:7 **hollow** 152:14 81:24 82:3 92:2 indicate 18:23 growth 97:18 happily 13:8 Gualtieri 67:18 happy 23:3 137:24,25 Holmberg 66:4 101:18 123:7 indication 98:21 guess 8:7 27:5 29:22 138:3 home 57:8 58:4 64:6 **imminent** 41:3,13 99.13 62:14 63:20 79:2 hard 10:5 40:17 65:16 89:20 90:8 63:2,5,7,15 **individual** 106:5,21 81:18 91:8,8 92:10 132:8 91:13 107:18 imminently 44:21 108:7 109:6 99:9 101:4 138:12 **Harpalani** 2:9 17:4,4 **impact** 27:24,24 individually 39:12 honest 47:5 72:10 140:22 157:19 health 38:9,9,13,15 honestly 70:10 29:23 57:21 97:23 110:1 guest 18:25 ineligible 107:12 39:23 53:7 57:2 hope 17:24 20:20 105:14,19 134:5 105:15 123:22 impacting 30:22 **inform** 96:7 147:20 guests 2:20 3:2 5:18 64:24 67:1 68:22 18:20 76:1,1 83:15,20 153:21,21 154:24 information 2:9 **guidance** 43:5 54:25 97:9.12.13 hopefully 13:9,9 **implement** 67:20 92:8 26:13 27:2,3 28:12 hear 5:21 17:21,25 **hoping** 132:2 101:7 56:4 83:21 86:13 35:6 39:15 43:14 horrible 55:6 70:11 guide 55:8 135:12 31:11.14 35:19 implementation 60:9 44:18 45:1 67:3,3,4 136:6 40:17 43:21 56:5 96.8 implemented 100:10 67:25 68:12 69:3 **guidelines** 11:10,13 57:21 90:5 104:25 horribly 145:4 **implied** 76:25 71:9 75:12 76:18,18 **imply** 76:5 128:8,10 137:8 host 133:8 78:11 83:6,11 84:1 13:7 **guides** 6:14 **important** 24:7 26:9 88:14 95:5,7 98:19 158:3 hour 70:23 75:20 **Gums** 2:22 126:7,8 heard 35:12 81:1 hours 10:2,3 88:19 33:6 53:20 71:16 103:23 126:24 guy 108:18 95:7 138:6,9,14 145:18 72:3 123:2.16 148:19,22 149:1 hearing 127:8 **Hudge** 86:18 96:25 150:14 158:2,3,7,8 guvs 16:10 26:4 133:11,12 156:11 40:18 79:4 119:20 Heery 151:18 huge 55:3 56:24,24 156:22 157:1,10 158:13,15,23 131:21 143:5 held 34:6 75:21 Huh 77:12 imposition 31:7 informational 126:23 hell 70:16 108:15,23 human 77:20 improperly 11:2 148:15 Η help 34:3 64:22,22 **humble** 86:21 **improve** 123:19 **Ingraham** 159:17 **H** 40:9 41:4 99:11,12 135:12 **HVAC** 139:24,25 **improved** 64:14 initial 11:3 137:4 half 47:2 75:20 141:23 140:7 141:2,18 143:18 innovation 57:16 hall 17:2 **helpful** 10:7 improvement 68:24 **input** 51:8 Ι hand 15:20 29:12 **helping** 30:13 34:2 **inside** 54:23 101:17 i.e 138:25 59:13,16 70:23 helps 154:9 158:12 incentive 47:20 intake 31:21 41:1 132:1 idea 49:7 67:9 73:7 Henderson 68:10 incident 72:21 91:16 51:6,9,17 52:15,17 handed 160:22 Hermine 2:10 17:10 74:3 112:16 99:7 118:17,20,22 119:1 **handle** 95:10 **identified** 10:8 41:3 hesitancy 85:10 incidents 47:12 72:15 119:6 handled 53:15 98:5 41:14 51:18 81:20 hev 61:14 131:20 integrated 66:24 72:20 143:24 82:1 Hi 18:5 **include** 49:3 100:15 **intend** 135:23 142:2 **handles** 69:24 identifies 52:23 high 25:6 50:3 60:17 110:5,13 111:8 intended 92:15 hands 29:12 **identify** 13:16.20 62:24 65:24 89:11 114:20 115:2,15 intending 135:13 hanging 38:5 14:2 23:10,12 43:3 145:5,10 118:5 123:2 135:13 **intense** 98:13 haphazardly 145:21 61:16 62:4 80:12 high-priority 7:25 **included** 7:9 9:3 intent 68:17 136:17 happen 14:25 73:2 84:20.21 higher 25:15,15 20:16 25:25 27:12 **intentions** 132:10,13 88:9 92:2 93:21 identifying 105:25 47:13 50:7 50:22 62:20 123:5 interest 121:8 95:18 132:6 146:1 highest 22:4 30:25 **IEP** 87:21 136:8 154:23 155:4 **interested** 122:10.17 151:5 160:8 **IEPs** 95:12 highlighted 154:6 includes 9:7 18:20 162:14

interface 67:22 interim 73:20,21 interiect 84:14 **internal** 7:17,19,20 14:20 18:3 21:16 24:16 37:20 53:22 160:4 internally 67:6 147:23 interpreting 147:12 interrupt 66:22 interrupting 33:24 intervene 57:11 intervention 37:6,25 38:17 60:6 intimately 157:4 introduction 19:18 **Inventory** 2:10,11 investigate 57:15 113:9.13 investigating 66:1 investigation 128:19 132:2 investment 35:15 **INVITED** 2:20 **invoice** 13:23,24,25 invoices 8:23 9:3,24 10:6,9,10,15 11:1,6 11:7,12,23,24 12:3 13:15,20 14:23,25 15:3,3,6,10,14 **involve** 140:19 **involved** 15:24.24 43:13 44:17 45:3 50:24 58:2 62:22 63:8 66:24 78:10 108:22 112:25 113:2,3 157:3,5,7 involvement 50:11 51:22 62:17 involves 72:4 irregularities 23:11 **isolated** 58:6 65:15 **issue** 6:5 10:21 13:17 38:19 41:25 42:1,16 44:5 66:2 77:4 80:15 105:18 123:16 154:25 155:15 issues 34:23 62:14,16 65:14 67:1 105:12

128:13 152:1,2,23 160:22 item 5:4 7:25 16:4.14 18:15 19:16 32:21 53:10 56:14 items 12:9 25:15 31:1 85:13 100:14 134:22 139:1 141:7 IV(D)(c) 40:8

J **Jabouin** 2:8 4:8,9,13 4:16,18,20,23,25 5:2,15,16 6:1 15:25 16:2,13,17 17:14 18:7,12 19:9,18 25:12 28:1,8 29:1 37:18 40:15 42:10 42:20 45:21 48:8,14 59:1 79:12,13,21,22 80:8,16 81:3,12 83:13 84:2 87:1 101:16 106:16 109:4,15 110:6,10 111:10 112:4,11 113:23 114:25 119:3,7 121:14,16 121:19 122:2,19 123:7 124:7,8,16,24 125:13 126:2,4,13 126:19,24 127:13 127:18 128:6 129:3 130:3 131:1,9,22 132:5 133:19 134:21,24 135:6,7 149:4,6,12,20 150:18,24 152:3 153:8,15 157:17 158:21 159:6 161:5 Jaclyn 2:6 5:2 27:8 29:11 **James** 2:10 17:10,10 **Jamie** 2:25 18:10 **January** 6:20 7:7 20:22 46:23 jaws 144:24

Jen 18:11

Jennifer 2:9,11,24

17:4,8 116:6,7,17

job 34:1,4 54:13 55:9

116:12 124:4 **jobs** 108:17 jogging 159:11 **Joris** 2:8 4:8 28:25 42:8 **jot** 52:6 **judgment** 33:7 72:5 judgmental 33:2 judgmentally 24:17 25:4,5,14 116:15 **Judith** 2:14 16:19 **July** 9:6,7,12 15:14 46:20,25 88:20 **jumping** 46:11 132:16 **June** 9:9 jury 128:19,22 131:5 131:18 147:9 159:18,21,23 160:18,23 **justice** 68:12 juvenile 68:12

K K-12 68:7 **KC** 1:12 **KCW** 140:20 keep 19:14 79:15,20 103:17 104:2 143:7 147:8 **keeping** 78:13 keeps 75:23 kept 103:23 144:7 kev 117:20 125:18 kick 20:21 117:24 kid 54:18,20 57:24 99:9 kidding 71:6 kids 47:9,19 48:11 58:18 71:6 99:14 **kill** 160:1 **Kim** 2:17 16:23 69:24 70:2 121:18 122:3 122:20 **kind** 29:25 30:3.12 31:4 59:6 60:8 76:18 79:3 84:1,18 85:8 86:9,10 89:25

137:14 144:2 146:3 147:6 knew 12:7 40:21 knock 117:19 know 9:8 12:11,25 14:1 21:2 22:11,12 23:19 24:4 26:5,13 26:17 27:2,13,21,23 30:11,19 31:6 32:12 34:2,3,5,10,10,12 34:15 35:7,8,8 36:21 39:15 41:22 41:24 42:3,5,18 47:10,22,25 49:1 51:20,22,25 53:18 54:14 55:11 56:3,12 56:13 57:1,7,12,15 58:3 59:14 60:25 61:3,8,8,22,23 62:3 62:5,6,9,12,13,15 63:21 65:13,18,20 66:22 67:2,6,8,8,15 67:25 68:11 70:3 71:3,14,17,18,25 72:7 73:1,14 74:4 74:15,18 75:2,3,4,6 77:22 78:18 83:22 85:1 86:20 87:23 88:23 91:9 93:4 94:20 95:3,5,9,12 95:12,14 96:25 97:14 98:11,17,17 99:11,15 103:3,4,4 103:25 104:10,19 105:7,10,13 106:24 108:20,22 110:15 119:23 120:15 121:7 124:2 127:2,3 127:3 129:22 131:21 132:16 133:3,3,8,11,17 135:8,21 136:12,16 136:19 137:12,18 137:20,23 139:11 140:2,8 141:23 142:8,14 143:8,17 144:9,22 145:9,15 146:18,19 147:7,7 149:12 150:4,6 151:8 152:16,23

135:23 136:17,19

153:23 154:2 155:6 156:7 157:5,24 158:2.4.5.20 159:1 159:21 160:12 47:22 78:11

knowing 95:11 knowledge 132:3 knowledgeable 142:9 known 43:13 44:17 knows 78:5 92:2 L **labor** 14:3 lack 134:5 138:19 153:5,6,6 lady 40:14 124:1 laid 130:25 language 56:8 95:15 117:1,22 Lanier-James 88:7 93:4 97:5 large 21:19 31:5 45:19 48:1 86:5 162:5 larger 29:8 36:6 45:20 96:10 137:16 **Larica** 3:3 17:18,22 18:5 **lastly** 71:14 Lauderdale 1:13,24 162:16 law 25:20 32:4,8 50:11,22 51:7 54:10 62:16.19.20.21.23 62:24,25 63:5,16,17 63:22 64:5,11,17,18 68:22 71:7 75:24 76:3,5,6,16,22,25 77:1 81:16 117:9 118:21 **Lazarre** 17:15,15,24 17:25 lead 9:10 147:20 leaders 72:17 **leads** 63:6 **leaking** 155:10 learn 156:12 learned 157:7 learning 88:22

leave 100:1 124:18

leaving 125:23

90:6,8,13 98:9

117:19 133:16

100:9 105:13,20

left 10:22 11:14	96:22	love 14:3 111:18	102:22 103:10,22	manager 2:9,10,17
143:19	log 83:9 101:8 102:2	112:16	102.22 103.10,22	16:25 135:17
legal 14:9,10 68:11	103:17,19 104:15	lower 138:11	104.7,17 103.0	Mancini 2:15 85:20
68:11,14	logs 83:1 100:23	Lozano 2:16 16:21,22	107:16 108:4,17,24	85:21
legalese 67:2	103:12	20:1 32:3,19 33:19	109:14,24 110:7,11	mandatory 6:14 41:2
legislation 67:19 68:7	long 13:13 70:5 154:5	33:21 34:11,22	110:18 111:3,7,24	41:12 101:9
legwork 12:14	160:9	48:17,18 49:12,13	112:5,8 113:2,13,16	manifestation 87:22
length 47:3 93:17	long-range 156:14,17	49:21 50:8 51:2,5	113:22,24 114:2,18	manner 154:12
lengthier 28:13	long-term 134:6	52:2,10,15,17 56:5	114:25 115:2,10,17	manpower 58:17
lesson 139:9,10	138:20 139:3 144:8	57:23 62:14 63:2	115:21 116:1,10,22	manual 20:7 102:12
lessons 153:17 156:5	look 7:5 32:4,17	64:2 66:13 67:14,16	117:3,11,23 118:3	102:22
let's 4:3 63:13 68:9	39:21 40:3,6 45:3,8	68:19 69:17,22 70:7	118:16 119:4,18	manually 13:22,22
71:17 79:7,10 90:7	45:10 47:4 51:9,16	71:5,12,21 72:12	120:7,12,23 126:17	map 136:1,17
94:24 97:15 109:1,2	53:2,5,6 58:12,15	81:19,25 82:16	127:2,7,17 128:3,10	March 7:15 8:11
134:17 146:9 147:4	60:17 61:16 62:12	83:14,18 84:12	128:18 129:23	10:23 16:4 46:23
153:1,19	69:15 83:25 87:24	85:17,19 87:8,11,13	134:1,15,19,21,23	126:15 128:11
letter 7:19 55:21	90:18 105:19 118:1	87:20 88:4 89:12,16	135:2 137:25 138:2	129:2,3
letters 53:19 156:15	118:2 121:16,20	90:16 91:3,15 92:6	138:2 140:14,25	Marjory 55:18,23
letting 73:4	122:7 126:1 128:22	92:13,21 93:3,24	142:1,14,22 144:1	Markham 144:25
level 32:7 33:9 42:22	130:8 131:21	94:4,8,15,18 95:22	144:12 146:8,23	Marquardt 2:10
49:16,17,19,25 50:3	135:25 136:8	95:24 96:24 101:4,6	147:8,24 148:15,21	18:14 125:1
50:20 60:12 63:5	137:15 148:4,23	101:24 102:1,7,15	149:11 150:16,22	Marte 2:14 16:8,18
69:1 82:8 103:8	149:8 150:24	102:24 103:15	151:6,10 152:7,22	16:19,19 22:20
106:5,20 108:6	151:14 153:19	105:23 106:23	153:2 154:13 155:5	70:13 124:17
109:5 115:12 116:1	156:23 158:19	107:4,10 120:9,14	155:12,15 156:1,16	155:22,25 156:10
life 138:4 139:17	looked 23:18 32:7	120:18 121:14,18	156:19,25 157:15	156:18,21 157:13
lifecycle 134:11	51:23 54:13 89:2	121:23 122:4,11,18	157:19 159:9	157:18
lift 59:16	128:16 131:5	122:24 123:17,22	Lynch-Walsh's	Mary 2:2,18 29:12,16
light 71:2 75:3	looking 36:1,1 37:11	124:1	133:20 156:13	66:21 89:25 94:22
lighting 138:15	40:4,20,22,24 42:23	luck 152:25	158:1	114:23 119:10
line 12:9 32:21 53:10	45:24 46:25 47:25	Lynch-Walsh 2:4		massacre 36:10
123:3,9 139:1 141:7	51:17 53:1 80:11	4:21,22 5:8,9 7:1	M	massage 139:1
lined 66:5	84:22,23 128:23	8:6,7,19 9:21 10:1	ma'am 115:19,20	masses 58:18
lines 123:4	130:5 136:20 137:8	10:12,19 11:22 12:2	Mack 158:4	massive 58:19
list 73:6 80:19 101:10	139:16,20	13:6,11 14:22 15:21	magnet 91:12	match 13:24 15:4,4
101:14 105:7	looks 21:7	15:23 16:9,15 19:3	main 69:23 94:7	38:7
121:17 141:11	Lori 144:17	22:6 24:12,13 25:3	155:8	matched 13:16
154:5	lose 58:8,9 125:21	25:11,13,18 29:10	mainstream 96:22	matching 13:22,22
listening 140:9	lost 127:4,5	29:11 33:15,16	97:18	math 49:15
listing 136:1,12 137:4	lot 12:20 29:19 33:3	36:24,25 37:8,13,22	maintenance 100:22	matrix 20:24 55:2
listings 136:4	47:8,19 48:20 50:7	37:23 38:4 39:10,16	103:11	Matt 130:23
lists 21:21	50:20 53:19 55:12	40:1,11,20 41:6,11	major 132:24 148:24	matter 30:23 33:6
literally 14:22 77:7	56:19 57:2,10 66:2	41:18,25 42:13	majority 97:6	131:6 157:15
litigation 162:13	86:9 90:17,22 92:11	43:20,25 44:6 46:8	making 10:3 55:4	Matters 8:5
little 22:7 28:18 37:3	105:11 118:22	54:3 59:12,17 70:18	66:10 69:13 73:24	Matthew 2:21 126:6
66:5 80:17,17 85:9	135:4 141:15 143:3	70:21 71:1,20 73:3	100:2 108:2 125:10	max 30:18
130:1 144:21,22	147:4,5,17 150:6	73:8,17 76:14,19,23	125:11 134:23	Mayersohn 2:5 4:23
live 81:24	152:25 155:8 157:1	77:14,24 78:6,15,23	153:18 156:24	4:24 5:6 18:18 19:1
local 132:3	157:2,2,7	79:1,11,14 80:6,15	management 6:19	31:13,15 43:22
location 80:7,9 88:23	loud 134:10,14,16	80:20 81:1,8 100:6	7:19 83:1 106:4,20	44:10 52:8,11 55:23
locations 87:21 93:10	loudly 5:19	101:21,25 102:5,8	108:6 109:5	59:18,20 60:16,19
		l	l	

	l		l	
61:12 63:13 65:3	114:12,15,17,23	28:1 82:5 124:17	mikes 17:23	16:21,23 17:24 32:3
66:21 68:3,8 69:4	115:5 118:9,11,13	138:18 139:15	million 65:9 138:25	70:1,3 130:23
72:1 80:3 94:22	118:15 119:8,10,13	148:8 149:20	151:4 154:17	mother 35:21
95:3,21,23 96:13,19	119:15,17 123:10	151:15	mind 19:14 142:17	motion 5:6 18:18
110:17 111:18	123:13 124:5,12,14	mentioned 71:15 72:1	143:14,17 147:8	19:1,8 75:10,12
124:13 125:4	125:5,7,9 126:21	74:19 79:25 80:9	mine 37:23	79:9 98:18 99:24,25
126:23 129:6,10,14	128:5,7 129:8	81:19 97:17 116:17	minute 17:3 45:7	100:4 105:5 106:3
129:20 149:3	134:17 157:14,16	135:8 148:11 154:4	70:19	107:5,9 109:10,22
155:21 156:3	159:4,8 161:4	mentioning 124:22	minutes 5:19 6:23 7:8	111:23 114:17
157:24	meet 88:12 156:15	mentions 14:6	18:16 129:22	118:15 119:17,24
McArthur 145:6	meeting 1:5 4:4 6:16	Meo 2:4 4:18,19	136:21	119:25 120:2,4,24
mean 9:21 22:5 25:4	6:21,24 7:15 8:11	30:20,21 31:5,14,16	minutia 26:17,18	121:3,10 124:25
32:25 35:7 36:2,4	16:5 18:22 19:12,13	31:24 32:1,10,20	mirrors 152:17	125:9,13,20 128:13
51:22,25 55:5,11,13 61:20 62:13 65:19	20:18 32:6 34:25 35:3 44:12 66:3	36:7 37:1 41:17,20	missed 15:6 35:9 57:4 60:5 109:10	129:15 144:17 motions 75:14 79:15
66:21 67:7 79:8	70:1 74:7 85:22	42:3 43:12,23 44:2 44:8,12 46:7 51:24	missing 14:25 31:19	100:3 105:6,8 125:3
94:16 95:12 99:10	88:11 93:20 94:4,7	52:5,13,16,19,24	62:11 76:3	move 20:23 21:3
101:2 108:15	94:10 99:17 113:8			
101:2 108:15	122:7 126:15 129:2	53:9,13 69:15 70:9 70:24 71:3,13,25	misspoke 156:18 mistakes 30:9 146:7	51:14 79:7,19 88:23 90:9,16 105:10
122:14 123:3	130:13 148:9	73:3,7 76:12,15,20	156:12,23	110:3,11 111:3
129:14 134:15	149:14 150:25	77:18 78:2,14,21,24	misunderstanding	110.5,11 111.5
137:20 146:6,10	151:3 152:4 153:3	99:25 100:4 105:5	39:4	112.1,8 113.16,22
150:17 158:19	156:2 157:21	106:2,13,18 107:14	mitigate 154:14	114.4,20 113.2
meaningful 142:11	158:22 159:7 161:6	108:5,15,18,21	mitigating 106:1	120:1 125:2 126:19
meaningless 26:25	meetings 9:16 10:9	108.3,13,18,21	mix 25:6	144:5 146:9 156:11
means 21:24 24:24	64:21 73:18 75:13	112:14,16,22 113:4	modified 136:2 137:3	moved 29:17 90:11
44:25 64:5 72:7	88:15,25 100:12	113:11,14 116:4,7	mold 141:19,20	91:16
88:8 108:24	101:9 124:19	116:13,19 117:2,21	Mom 44:6	moves 67:23
meant 147:15	136:21	121:2 123:6,25	moment 131:1,2	moving 60:3 144:22
measurable 117:5	meets 87:25	128:8,16 129:25	money 10:22 97:22	147:3
measure 115:22,22	member 3:4 6:6,7,25	130:21 131:3,19	143:22 147:5 154:6	MSD 67:18
measures 100:20	6:25 18:21,24 55:7	132:8 141:23 142:2	monitor 101:8	multiple 9:23 89:21
media 145:10 152:10	82:7 155:14 158:10	142:7,23 143:14,18	monitoring 39:21	93:8 135:22
152:12,15,16	members 2:1 5:11,17	144:3,11 146:6,9,15	50:5 82:24 83:3	multiply 46:15
Medvin 2:2 4:3,25	5:20 6:3,12,17 19:5	147:4,22 148:16	88:17 89:5 91:22	multitude 65:16
5:1,4,7,9,12,14 8:6	20:12 21:13 32:9,16	*	92:4,7 94:12,13,14	murky 116:21
15:25 17:20 18:13	43:3 58:1 84:21	Meo's 41:15 45:22	100:16,24 103:13	Murtha 2:24 18:11
18:15,19 19:2,4,6,8	109:19 111:20	50:10 63:21 156:6	103:13,24 104:1,10	18:11 20:2,3 21:10
19:10,15 21:7,11,20	114:14 118:12	Meredith 2:9 17:12	104:23 117:11,12	22:24 24:11 25:2
22:5,7 24:12 29:10	119:14 125:6	messed 145:14	117:13,19 119:19	27:20 28:25 29:2
33:15 36:12,16,19	127:14 142:8	met 6:18 139:5	119:21,22	31:2 42:8 46:12,20
36:20 40:14 45:5	154:18,19,22	methodology 24:25	month 37:5 47:2	51:16 53:4,12 60:15
48:17 49:5 50:7	memo 35:9 130:22	MICHELE 2:10	85:18,23 86:5	60:17,24 80:9 84:14
59:18 70:19 79:13	131:4	microphone 5:20	100:14	111:16 112:20
79:21 85:15 95:16	memorialized 36:9	31:13 87:1	month's 122:13	115:7,15,19,24
99:21 100:2,5	memory 159:11	mid-February 73:21	monthly 100:12	116:6,18 117:25
106:12 109:16,18	mental 38:9,13 39:23	mid-June 12:13	101:8	music 153:18
109:20,22 110:20	53:7 57:2 64:24	middle 25:7,9 47:15	months 28:7 47:2	mutually 73:18
110:23 111:13,15	66:25 68:21 76:1	60:17 121:24	74:3 121:1 130:4	
111:17,19,21,23	83:15,20 97:8,12,13	mike 29:16 43:19,20	morning 4:3,8,15	N
112:13,15 114:7,10	mention 11:3 14:6	43:22	5:24,25 6:8 16:19	nagging 75:23

name 116:5 121:21 124:2 151:1 narrow 44:4 140:23 **Natalee** 2:23 18:8,9 40:15.16 **Nathalie** 2:4 4:20 7:1 15:18 133:8 Nathalie's 108:2 154:4 **nature** 52:16 77:11 Navigate360 100:10 101:7,22,25 102:1,2 necessarily 43:15 52:3 59:21 66:16 68:4 132:13 145:3 158:24 necessary 35:16 44:19 50:21 71:9 78:12 140:5 necessitated 15:7 need 6:12 8:10 11:17 13:7 14:5.18.24 21:18 25:16 30:15 32:25 39:22,23,24 48:24 53:16,17 58:11 59:12 69:2 75:14 79:11,14 96:11 104:24 106:24 115:11 116:16 117:21 121:3,9 124:24 125:20 126:13,19 139:16 141:3.18 143:7,17 145:18 151:2 155:13 158:23 needed 15:8 81:23 82:25 138:10 139:24 140:16 145:11 148:19,20 151:17 157:20 needs 11:10 15:17 23:2 26:20 55:1,2 56:8 57:24 82:3 87:25 97:9 99:1 117:12 124:18 138:16 139:6 140:1 143:16 **neither** 162:10 network 67:7 never 11:5,23,23 12:2

12:3,21 81:1 107:7 139:5 141:1.15 new 6:7 7:6 10:23 12:22,22 25:20,20 25:20 62:2 73:10,23 81:13 98:3,3,12 135:20 140:11,16 141:17 154:15,20 news 96:7 nice 124:4 NICOLE 2:15 nine 122:15 132:10 143:20 146:5 153:11 **nobody's** 95:14 146:1 nontraditional 88:13 89:4 90:21 91:2 92:15 94:9 98:4,22 nontypical 65:18 north 61:23 northeast 62:8 135:22 140:11.16 northwest 60:21 nos 42:17 **Notary** 162:4 note 8:24 137:23 **noted** 8:24 30:2,9 56:18 85:13 86:2,3 notes 79:23 80:4 162:9 **nothing's** 120:4 **noticed** 139:3 **notified** 31:23 51:7 notifies 91:19 notifying 75:24 November 46:22 49:14,16 130:13 **number** 19:16 21:13 21:16,20 24:5 27:9 33:23 34:7,8 37:7 37:21 40:25 41:1 43:17 45:16,18 49:7 50:12 60:10 70:17 71:7 82:17 83:17 86:24 87:2 98:20 105:20 121:10 122:10 **numbering** 37:18,20 **numbers** 13:23,24,25 29:20 39:6 40:6

49:11,21,22 50:7

56:24 57:22 58:19 61:13 75:11 80:21 **numeral** 40:24 0 **object** 134:12

objective 20:8 21:17 objectives 77:11 78:18 117:4 observation 138:19 observations 147:10 147:13 **obtain** 14:4 **obvious** 71:4 142:25 **obviously** 6:9 9:10 27:23 59:23 60:12 61:20 62:13 122:20 149:6

occur 31:8 58:10 100:21 occurred 90:10

143:15 occurrences 25:16 occurring 28:17 84:8 104:14

October 20:21 46:22 49:13,14 **offered** 78:25 office 2:7,14,15,16 17:4,6,8,10,12 34:11 86:13,14 135:17 officer 2:15.15 11:1

occurs 31:7 90:19

12:23 51:18 52:2,6 officer's 14:12 officers 38:16 oh 29:17 59:15 71:25 78:14 87:2 102:8 120:10 124:24

okay 5:4 8:16 13:2 15:5,25 16:9 18:15 21:20 22:5 24:2,21 25:3.11 33:22.25

34:18 35:4 36:16,18

126:18 145:17

38:3,4 39:10,16 40:1,11,20 41:6,7 41:11 44:12 45:5 50:9,12 51:1,20

53:3,9,25 54:4,6,15

54:16,23 55:13,20 55:25 56:15 65:3 66:20 70:18 71:13 73:8 76:19,23 77:13 80:17 82:23 85:24 87:4,12 88:2 89:6 89:24 91:4 92:13,24 92:24 93:12,25 94:19 99:21 100:5 102:9 109:23,24 110:18 111:7,17,24 112:8 113:11,11,12 113:21 119:2,7,18 120:11 122:9 123:10 124:5 126:4 127:1 129:13 132:16 134:23

141:12 142:18 143:18 146:20 149:20 153:18 157:14

old 15:2,9 154:20 160:17

older 11:8 15:13 **On-Site** 85:25 once 71:23 75:10 85:23 145:17 154:16

OneDrive 83:5.5 ones 10:13 22:2 38:19 114:19 138:22 154:5

ongoing 56:8 72:17 133:1

oops 141:17 open 11:11 **opening** 55:14 **Operations** 2:9,14,14

16:20 opine 42:23 134:8

opined 134:6 **opinion** 24:1 86:22 156:10

opportunity 60:6 153:24 154:1 **opposed** 5:12 18:24 19:6 109:20 111:21

114:15 118:13 119:15 125:7 132:1

optics 70:11 158:19

158:16

option 159:2 **Orange** 152:20 order 7:12 14:1,1 42:23 104:22 140:6 141:3

organizations 81:17 oriented 34:7 original 151:12 155:4 originally 155:11 **Orlando** 67:18 95:21 **outcome** 162:14 **outside** 54:19 64:7,10 90:11 91:18 outsiders 6:15

overall 29:15 123:2 overlay 67:22 oversee 69:23

Oversight 125:17 130:16 135:11

p.m 1:17 161:6 package 6:23 7:9 packet 37:5,8,17 page 18:19,23 20:4 20:16,23 24:16 26:9 30:1 37:7,9,10,16 37:16,17 38:2,5,23 38:25 39:5,18 40:9 41:10 42:12 45:6,13 56:15,15 74:20,20 77:4,4 81:4 86:24 87:2 100:7 pages 27:19 29:20 **paid** 15:8,14 **painful** 127:3 **painted** 133:5 142:15 142:16 **painting** 137:13

Palm 94:25 95:4,6,16 panel 91:24 138:10 138:13 paragraph 101:7 parallel 63:4

parameters 36:21 122:8 parent 90:18 91:5,17

91:20 parents 65:21 66:2 102:20

Parkway 145:1

11000111
part 10:2,2 13:11
18:1 25:14 27:4
28:2 52:8,12 53:18
67:19 82:4 94:15
95:19 102:10,17
103:18,24 104:1,11
110:25 130:11
139:20 141:19,21
144:16 149:14
152:19 155:17
160:7
participate 32:5
particular 28:5,9
42:24 47:11 81:6
85:9 117:15
particularly 10:7
45:22 94:20 116:3
parties 162:11
partner 85:2 112:20
party 162:12
pass 43:7 120:3
128:13 141:1
passed 75:10,12
153:24
passion 123:15,15
patient 59:19
patiently 70:22
pay 14:24
payable 11:9
paying 34:19
payment 15:7
PCG 3:4 7:6 10:14
14:25 101:23
157:20 160:22
people 12:20,22,25
13:21 15:7 25:22
26:14 58:25 59:4,5
73:14 75:21 86:20
108:25 118:18
127:20 128:3
141:17,20 143:7
144:19 147:13
148:3
peoples' 132:10,13
peoples 132.10,13
percent 30:2 36:2 46:14,14 56:16 62:6
79:17 117:13,17
percentage 150:2
percentages 36:3,5
149:25
perception 71:11

perfect 15:5 86:11,22
perfectly 26:5
perform 19:22 142:3
performance 27:15
127:17,18
performed 19:25
33:13 52:20 136:6
136:10
performing 30:23
period 20:19 25:9
28:5 35:24 46:20
48:7 80:25
persistent 138:6
person 12:20 14:17 61:23 69:23 70:12
107:8,11 109:8
113:1 135:5 144:4,5
155:6
personal 56:1 103:16
104:6
personally 152:5
personnel 38:14 69:1
persons 16:7 83:2,8
perspective 61:18
104:14 137:19
pertinent 158:8
petrified 151:20
Ph.D 24:24
phone 18:7 27:22
40:17 43:17 125:14
126:5
photographed 137:20
phrase 39:16
Phyllis 2:5 4:14 43:20
90:4 107:25 109:12
109:14
physical 100:20
126:14
pick 89:23 91:7
133:24 137:24
picked 46:21 134:25
picture 138:17
pictures 133:6 137:25 138:1
piece 101:19 piecemealing 145:25
pieces 23:7 141:18
Pierson 57:24
Pine 93:9 97:4
place 13:8 52:4 58:5
50.11.62.4.62.14

59:11 62:4 63:14

70.4 72.25 76.15 24
70:4 72:25 76:15,24 81:2 88:20 90:20
92:1 94:5 98:8
102:21 141:14
142:15 156:8
placed 65:10 89:20 92:21 93:10
placement 89:17
places 15:12 58:16
plain 56:7
plan 53:16,16 81:23
82:19 83:4 88:17,17
89:5 92:4,4,7
100:16 104:1,10,23
135:14 137:7
138:20 139:9,10
147:19 155:23
156:14,17
planned 154:21
planning 134:6 139:3 144:8
plans 39:22 50:5,6
82:24 100:24
103:13,14 Plantation 145:5,10
plated 140:2
-
play 76:25 please 4:4 5:18,19
15:19 21:12 44:10
79:13,21,22 110:6
112:4 113:23 115:1
119:3 130:20
133:25
pledge 4:4,6
point 15:1 19:9 26:20
27:6 28:17,20 29:6
30:14 31:3 34:8
36:16 37:1 44:24
48:23 49:5 50:10
56:9 58:6 59:2
60:25 61:6 62:21
63:21 65:5,23 71:22 73:23 76:24 83:13
95:18 96:17,17,24
107:5,8,18 140:17
141:5 143:21,21,23
144:3,12 146:3
155:7 156:6,7,13
158:2,7 159:4
pointed 33:5 36:7
pointing 144:7
pommig 144./

points 50:12 79:25
125:18 127:11
131:25 136:20
137:5 153:9
police 31:17.23 50:13
50:17 51:18 52:2,5
52:25 54:6,11 55:8
66:4 81:15
police's 54:13
polices 100:22
•
policies 14:19 19:20
31:9 38:15 100:19
102:11
policy 19:17 20:7
25:20 37:4,14,15
38:6 39:3 40:4,6,8
44:16,20,24 72:9
75:22,23 76:9 81:11
101:2 102:12 103:1
103:20 104:13,16
104:18,20 114:21
115:3,9 116:9,23,23
116:25,25 117:7
118:7
pool 17:16 18:2
popped 160:13
population 24:20
26:1,8 27:9 48:15
61:4,7 110:3,12
111:4
portable 151:15
portal 68:20 69:2
POs 11:14 13:16
position 106:23
107:24 109:9
116:14
positive 97:19,19
possession 100:23
103:11,16 104:6
possessions 83:2,9
possible 145:24
postpone 7:11
potentially 54:22
63:15 75:7 85:23
91:13 131:11
152:13
PPO 138:21
practically 140:20
practice 75:22 88:15
practices 134:9 139:7
practices 134.9 139.7 precious 26:15
precious 20.13

predates 160:17 **predict** 142:12 **prepare** 158:24 **prepared** 28:15 45:2 **present** 5:3 46:16 presented 6:20 **presumably** 15:13,15 pretending 144:20 **pretty** 76:21 123:2 **prevent** 156:9 **previous** 30:5 88:19 135:8 previously 121:5 140:13 **price** 154:24 **primarily** 12:16 91:1 93:5,8 primary 93:9 **principal** 38:10 47:15 53:6 54:10 55:3 72:3 principals 29:5,7 71:13 72:2 145:18 **prior** 9:9 12:14 34:24 69:3 88:12 119:24 prioritize 145:4 prioritized 30:17 prioritizes 24:9 **priority** 7:21 30:25 proactive 64:18 proactively 27:7 **probably** 24:9 30:25 47:6 55:22 69:14 133:8,9 151:4 152:13 **problem** 11:8 12:6 13:13 14:10,11,13 96:10 144:20 146:24 150:23 160:13 problematic 74:7 **problems** 12:5 30:6,7 80:25 153:23 procedure 52:20 102:13 103:20 123:18 158:25 procedures 14:18 19:17,20 20:7 38:8 77:12 103:1 142:2,5 153:1,19 **proceed** 7:4 40:9

proceedings 4:1 49:6,8 87:6 117:15 ready 70:4 92:7 rectify 34:16 143:17 118:3,4,5,6 rectifying 145:2 162:7 proposes 68:7 **quarter's** 34:9 36:13 real 65:10 76:21 reality 28:11 67:3 recycled 138:13,15 process 2:23 7:5 protocol 82:9 122:14 realize 65:21 138:15 20:15 26:12,23 62:4 protocols 49:1 **quarterly** 46:13,16 **provide** 7:12 13:15 63:12 72:4.24 74:23 75:9 80:21 98:20 realizing 140:3 red 54:9 75:18 82:2 101:12 28:12,20 40:19 43:5 111:6,7 112:3,5,10 141:17 **redoing** 139:23 really 8:20 12:4 15:2 **redone** 152:16 101:17 111:25 95:8 97:7 101:12 113:20 114:6 112:2,10 113:20 **provided** 6:15 8:10 119:25 120:3,5,13 22:25 29:24 30:8,10 **reduced** 117:17 114:6 136:17 11:11 27:3 28:24 120:19 121:10 33:25 47:9 50:9 reevaluate 11:20 140:23 156:21 66:18 67:10 83:19 **question** 14:8 15:9 55:11,14 64:14,20 refer 77:25 22:18 25:13 30:22 158:14 **providers** 38:9 43:14 65:12 96:15 99:1,4 **reference** 40:6.23 **processes** 28:22 63:4 44:18 76:1 78:11 65:2 66:9 71:2,4 105:13,25 132:15 referenced 81:17 64:12 88:22 providing 65:17 78:24 79:2 85:16 144:23 151:14 **references** 38:6 39:3 procurement 2:18 84:10 97:21 87:4,16,17 89:6 reason 13:12 16:9 131:12 93:14,15 94:3 101:5 referencing 102:25 147:1 **public** 10:4 13:12 26:3 27:5 42:5 produce 85:3 18:13 50:14,18 114:12 130:21 47:24 160:9 referral 32:22 62:25 producing 122:12 120:20 127:22 132:4 133:18 reasons 65:17 86:14.15 **product** 101:23 155:22 reassignment 89:19 referrals 31:17 74:14 149:23 157:8 **products** 132:20 158:16 159:3 162:5 **questioned** 13:1,5,15 91:11 76:7,11 86:8,10 professional 53:7 **pull** 23:17 149:19 **questions** 21:4,24 **Rebecca** 2:3 4:16 referred 53:15 63:17 84:19,25 **pulling** 84:23 116:23 24:15 34:5 56:19 93:13 63:22,25 64:3 72:11 **punted** 151:12 professionals 135:18 59:8 66:8 69:16 **rebuild** 151:2 77:17 83:7 **program** 6:2 12:12 **Punzi** 83:14 70:20 92:11 130:6 rebuilt 154:8 referring 128:14 48:20 69:8,9 106:7 Punzi-Elabiary 2:17 quick 19:9,18 49:15 recall 20:18 42:25 **refers** 76:17 16:23,24 69:25 106:22 108:8 109:8 83:13 123:10 131:1 **refine** 116:16 149:25 quickly 96:15 149:19 receive 9:3 158:9 128:14,23 130:5 82:23 regard 71:10 purchase 14:1 quite 21:23 47:1 **received** 6:4 19:11 regarding 44:13 135:16 141:21 144:21 152:20 pure 21:11 55:14 90:4.5 49:10 130:7 programatically 93:1 **purpose** 22:2 24:1 quorum 19:13 125:21 **receiving** 66:11 83:24 regardless 55:25 programs 48:13 58:7 142:8,19 126:12.14 128:2 recited 4:7 102:14 135:17 purposely 21:25 157:25 recognition 143:23 regards 35:10 **Progress** 109:23 purposes 88:8 **quote** 57:23 recognize 5:23 16:6 register 91:20 prohibits 71:8 put 25:22 29:11,16 quote-unquote 93:20 recognized 73:20 registrar 91:24 **project** 81:21 82:13 42:25 81:6 82:9,12 recommend 26:8 reinforcing 72:7 R 133:4 139:2 149:7 85:12 116:13 121:9 54:11 100:12,18 reject 8:12 **R** 1:23 162:4.19 149:22 150:3,7,25 121:9 130:8 149:13 120:1 related 24:19 120:2 radar 130:8 152:4 151:1 recommendation 120:12 128:12 ran 17:2 projects 126:11 putting 26:14 39:14 23:23 100:8 146:21 130:21 131:4 random 25:1,2 132:17,24,24 92:1 98:8 141:17 recommended 7:3,12 159:10 range 149:15 145:9 160:2 25:24 relationships 57:25 135:19,22 136:3,7 rate 97:20 149:9 136:23 137:16 recommending 57:25 58:1 O rationale 100:16 139:17 149:24 **relative** 162:12 102:11 **quadrants** 61:16 62:2 rattled 75:11 recommends 106:3 relaxing 49:2 **promised** 154:17 qualifications 113:1 reach 58:18 72:22 106:18 **reliance** 150:13 **proof** 77:17 **qualified** 106:5.21 reaching 65:19 **proper** 55:13 148:25 record 23:17 43:16 relied 152:9 108:6 109:6 112:18 read 8:20 37:21 **properly** 53:15 72:11 44:23 50:14 120:20 remediate 153:22 112:21 113:5 44:15 46:24 56:24 147:12 162:8 remediated 53:23 159:23 109:3 110:25 135:5 Property 2:10 records 10:4 13:12 remediation 141:21 **quantify** 115:17 readily 87:9 **proposal** 113:14,18 50:18 77:9 78:13 remember 12:18 64:3 117:4 137:10 151:9 **reading** 37:3 76:20 114:4 117:25 158:17 159:3 84:16 88:20 95:25 quarter 21:8,9 47:12 108:21 111:2 **propose** 113:16,17,18 rectified 155:19 128:13 140:16

. 17.0.20.4
remind 7:8 20:4
remodeling 132:17
removed 159:18
renovate 141:13
renovation 135:19
151:24
renovations 135:21
141:6
repairs 140:18
repeat 17:20 44:8
59:1 106:16 113:23
146:8
replace 44:2 139:24
140:7 141:13
151:23 155:20
replaced 138:13
139:19
replacement 154:22
report 6:20,22 7:14
7:17,20 8:12 10:10
11:4 13:23 14:23
20:22 21:2 28:15
31:10 32:11 34:9,10
34:14 36:13 45:23
46:2 50:13,15,16,17
50:22 51:21,24 52:3
52:12,13,21 56:13
62:22 72:19 74:10
82:10 85:13 86:10
98:21 115:25 120:9
120:19 121:4,15
120:17 121:4,13
123:3,4 124:4,25
131:10,14 147:9
153:12 155:1,9
159:21 162:7
reportable 71:18
reported 21:21 32:1
32:13 43:4 45:17
49:7 71:19,23 72:6
80:13 83:4
Reporter 1:22,23 3:1
40:13 162:4,19
,
REPORTER'S 162:1
reporting 1:23 3:1
7:18 72:18 75:5
82:2
reports 8:2 30:5
43:10 46:13 63:9
75:9 80:21,23
119:25 120:3,6,13
117.20 120.0,0,13

120:15,16,25 122:2 122:11,20,22
representative 24:20
request 7:1 10:5,5
13:12 50:15 121:5,9
122:22 158:6,20
159:3
requested 8:8 10:7
12:21 130:10
requesting 158:2,7
requests 158:17
require 28:14 72:13
72:22 84:19
required 20:6,9 32:9
32:17,18 63:12 69:1
83:15 85:18
requirement 103:3
requirements 103:5,6
requiring 100:24
reroofing 155:18
resend 121:19
reshuffled 12:23
resolved 64:5
resonate 57:5
resonated 60:9
resort 58:14
resource 38:16 58:12
77:20
resources 30:17 31:3
57:2 64:24 96:21,21
97:1,3,21 142:25
respect 6:1,18 15:19
80:8 81:18 82:15
142:10
respectfully 127:2
responded 159:20
response 5:13 19:7
34:20 45:22 82:3
109:17,21 111:14
111:22 114:11,16
118:10,14 119:12
119:16 125:8
responsibility 19:21
106:6,17,21 108:7
108:14 109:7
responsible 19:21
55:4 61:23 107:12
116:10 142:20
rest 81:25 84:25
98:17 126:5
result 55:6 121:12

141.16
141:16
results 20:25 24:19
34:7 116:20 127:21
retransmit 120:1
return 94:11
returned 97:17
reused 138:14,15
reveal 134:13
review 38:10 138:18
138:21 159:18
160:23
reviews 92:6 159:23
RFP 159:12,20
160:17
rid 12:23 74:2
Ridge 93:9 97:5
ridiculous 151:21
Riggs 159:17
right 10:11 12:2
13:11 16:12 21:4,7
30:20 33:21 34:4,5
38:21 48:24 50:5,14
52:24 61:4,12 63:13
67:20 68:3,8,18
69:23,25 70:24 73:6
75:1 78:23 81:1
84:17 88:9 94:21
95:2 96:3,5,9,11,13
96:18 97:22 101:23
104:15 105:2
112:22 116:1
117:11,12,23 118:8
118:23 120:10,10
118:23 120:10,10 120:10,10 122:5
123:25 126:15
134:15,17 136:7
140:20 153:2
154:13
rise 4:5
risk 2:21,22,23,24
22:4 25:15,15 31:21
50:2 51:6,9,17
52:15 60:12 62:24
Rita 57:23
road 66:4 148:1
Rob 151:19 152:5
Robert 2:5 4:23
110:16
ROI 97:22
roll 4:10 16:8 157:6
Roman 40:24

roof 140:7,17,18
roofing 134:7,8
_
138:18
room 1:12 5:24 16:7
148:6
rooted 97:12
RSM 2:21,22,24,24
2:25 16:10 18:9,10
18:11 19:16,22,24
20:13 26:10 29:3
35:12 40:14 42:20
74:10,21 77:19 81:5
82:16 83:4,12
102:15 110:3,11
111:3,15 112:1,8,17
112:18 113:13,18
113:22 114:4,20
115:2,5 118:3,6,24
119:4 123:11,17
124:4 125:14 126:6
126:7 130:4 134:22
141:25 149:7,22
157:16
RSM's 103:8 138:18
147:5
rumors 138:6
run 88:7 93:4 97:5
121:7
running 48:21 154:18
Ruth 2:3 4:10
Kutii 2.3 4.10
S
S
SAC 66:3
SAC 66:3 safe 58:5
SAC 66:3 safe 58:5 safety 50:6 68:19
SAC 66:3 safe 58:5
SAC 66:3 safe 58:5 safety 50:6 68:19 69:2 81:9 87:25
SAC 66:3 safe 58:5 safety 50:6 68:19 69:2 81:9 87:25 88:17 92:4 100:20
SAC 66:3 safe 58:5 safety 50:6 68:19 69:2 81:9 87:25 88:17 92:4 100:20 115:8 128:21 138:5
SAC 66:3 safe 58:5 safety 50:6 68:19 69:2 81:9 87:25 88:17 92:4 100:20 115:8 128:21 138:5 139:17
SAC 66:3 safe 58:5 safety 50:6 68:19 69:2 81:9 87:25 88:17 92:4 100:20 115:8 128:21 138:5 139:17
SAC 66:3 safe 58:5 safety 50:6 68:19 69:2 81:9 87:25 88:17 92:4 100:20 115:8 128:21 138:5 139:17 sample 21:8,12,14,19
S SAC 66:3 safe 58:5 safety 50:6 68:19 69:2 81:9 87:25 88:17 92:4 100:20 115:8 128:21 138:5 139:17 sample 21:8,12,14,19 22:15,25 23:2,3,6,9
S SAC 66:3 safe 58:5 safety 50:6 68:19 69:2 81:9 87:25 88:17 92:4 100:20 115:8 128:21 138:5 139:17 sample 21:8,12,14,19 22:15,25 23:2,3,6,9 23:22 24:18,18
S SAC 66:3 safe 58:5 safety 50:6 68:19 69:2 81:9 87:25 88:17 92:4 100:20 115:8 128:21 138:5 139:17 sample 21:8,12,14,19 22:15,25 23:2,3,6,9
SAC 66:3 safe 58:5 safety 50:6 68:19 69:2 81:9 87:25 88:17 92:4 100:20 115:8 128:21 138:5 139:17 sample 21:8,12,14,19 22:15,25 23:2,3,6,9 23:22 24:18,18 25:25 26:7 29:8
SAC 66:3 safe 58:5 safety 50:6 68:19 69:2 81:9 87:25 88:17 92:4 100:20 115:8 128:21 138:5 139:17 sample 21:8,12,14,19 22:15,25 23:2,3,6,9 23:22 24:18,18 25:25 26:7 29:8 30:8 33:7 35:17
S SAC 66:3 safe 58:5 safety 50:6 68:19 69:2 81:9 87:25 88:17 92:4 100:20 115:8 128:21 138:5 139:17 sample 21:8,12,14,19 22:15,25 23:2,3,6,9 23:22 24:18,18 25:25 26:7 29:8 30:8 33:7 35:17 36:2,4,5 45:8,9,11
SAC 66:3 safe 58:5 safety 50:6 68:19 69:2 81:9 87:25 88:17 92:4 100:20 115:8 128:21 138:5 139:17 sample 21:8,12,14,19 22:15,25 23:2,3,6,9 23:22 24:18,18 25:25 26:7 29:8 30:8 33:7 35:17 36:2,4,5 45:8,9,11 45:12,14 46:12,25
S SAC 66:3 safe 58:5 safety 50:6 68:19 69:2 81:9 87:25 88:17 92:4 100:20 115:8 128:21 138:5 139:17 sample 21:8,12,14,19 22:15,25 23:2,3,6,9 23:22 24:18,18 25:25 26:7 29:8 30:8 33:7 35:17 36:2,4,5 45:8,9,11
SAC 66:3 safe 58:5 safety 50:6 68:19 69:2 81:9 87:25 88:17 92:4 100:20 115:8 128:21 138:5 139:17 sample 21:8,12,14,19 22:15,25 23:2,3,6,9 23:22 24:18,18 25:25 26:7 29:8 30:8 33:7 35:17 36:2,4,5 45:8,9,11 45:12,14 46:12,25 47:2,5,14 48:1 61:8
S SAC 66:3 safe 58:5 safety 50:6 68:19 69:2 81:9 87:25 88:17 92:4 100:20 115:8 128:21 138:5 139:17 sample 21:8,12,14,19 22:15,25 23:2,3,6,9 23:22 24:18,18 25:25 26:7 29:8 30:8 33:7 35:17 36:2,4,5 45:8,9,11 45:12,14 46:12,25 47:2,5,14 48:1 61:8 74:13,24 75:1,15
S SAC 66:3 safe 58:5 safety 50:6 68:19 69:2 81:9 87:25 88:17 92:4 100:20 115:8 128:21 138:5 139:17 sample 21:8,12,14,19 22:15,25 23:2,3,6,9 23:22 24:18,18 25:25 26:7 29:8 30:8 33:7 35:17 36:2,4,5 45:8,9,11 45:12,14 46:12,25 47:2,5,14 48:1 61:8 74:13,24 75:1,15 79:16 80:1,4 87:5
S SAC 66:3 safe 58:5 safety 50:6 68:19 69:2 81:9 87:25 88:17 92:4 100:20 115:8 128:21 138:5 139:17 sample 21:8,12,14,19 22:15,25 23:2,3,6,9 23:22 24:18,18 25:25 26:7 29:8 30:8 33:7 35:17 36:2,4,5 45:8,9,11 45:12,14 46:12,25 47:2,5,14 48:1 61:8 74:13,24 75:1,15 79:16 80:1,4 87:5 110:14 122:16
S SAC 66:3 safe 58:5 safety 50:6 68:19 69:2 81:9 87:25 88:17 92:4 100:20 115:8 128:21 138:5 139:17 sample 21:8,12,14,19 22:15,25 23:2,3,6,9 23:22 24:18,18 25:25 26:7 29:8 30:8 33:7 35:17 36:2,4,5 45:8,9,11 45:12,14 46:12,25 47:2,5,14 48:1 61:8 74:13,24 75:1,15 79:16 80:1,4 87:5

samples 25:6 45:19 45:20
sampling 21:15 25:1
25:2 28:2,4 33:2
SANDERS 3:4
SAP 13:14,25
sat 130:4
satisfaction 103:8
satisfy 23:4
saw 13:23 30:5 56:13
66:4 101:12 146:16
saying 14:24 39:8
44:7 52:18 57:25
77:25 98:10 103:18
103:25 104:12,21
108:3,13 149:18
says 24:16 37:5,8,15
37:17,24 38:18,25 40:4 41:4,20 42:1
40:4 41:4,20 42:1
84:21 86:18 100:10
103:10 104:23
130:22 131:5
158:21
scale 58:18
scanning 40:23
scared 148:3
scary 36:6
scathing 138:21
schedule 94:10
school 1:3 5:17 6:2,18
6:24,24 7:6 12:10
21:1 33:25 38:10,14
39:15 43:3 45:8,10
47:4,8,15 48:11
54:5 55:10,15,19
58:3 60:13,17 61:10
61:20,21 63:11 64:8
64:11 65:24 68:8,20
68:22 69:2 71:5 72:17,23 73:2 75:7
81:9 83:1 84:9 86:8
81:9 83:1 84:9 86:8 86:21 88:14 89:11
81:9 83:1 84:9 86:8 86:21 88:14 89:11 89:15,20,23 90:2,8
81:9 83:1 84:9 86:8 86:21 88:14 89:11 89:15,20,23 90:2,8 90:12,12,19 91:8,10
81:9 83:1 84:9 86:8 86:21 88:14 89:11 89:15,20,23 90:2,8 90:12,12,19 91:8,10 91:12,13,19 92:2,6
81:9 83:1 84:9 86:8 86:21 88:14 89:11 89:15,20,23 90:2,8 90:12,12,19 91:8,10 91:12,13,19 92:2,6 92:9,18 93:16,19,22
81:9 83:1 84:9 86:8 86:21 88:14 89:11 89:15,20,23 90:2,8 90:12,12,19 91:8,10 91:12,13,19 92:2,6 92:9,18 93:16,19,22 94:10 96:8 97:1,8
81:9 83:1 84:9 86:8 86:21 88:14 89:11 89:15,20,23 90:2,8 90:12,12,19 91:8,10 91:12,13,19 92:2,6 92:9,18 93:16,19,22
81:9 83:1 84:9 86:8 86:21 88:14 89:11 89:15,20,23 90:2,8 90:12,12,19 91:8,10 91:12,13,19 92:2,6 92:9,18 93:16,19,22 94:10 96:8 97:1,8 97:18,24,25 99:5,17

103:19,19,21 104:2	19:3 31:9 38:21	120:21 121:18	31:11 43:19 44:11	situations 34:16,17
104:11,14,16	39:6 51:12 54:1	123:7 131:10,16	58:24 59:1,3,10	58:10 60:1 73:2
106:11,12,13,19	84:15 99:11 102:10	134:2 157:19,22	89:25 90:6 106:8,15	SIU 64:17
108:22 109:4 115:8	106:8 110:20,21,22	senior 106:4,20 108:6	107:22 108:2	six 32:23 60:21,21
120:14,19 121:21	110:23 111:11,12	109:5	109:12,13	126:13
133:13 135:16,25	112:12,13 113:25	sense 33:3 72:10	she'd 73:15	six-month 70:7
138:10 139:2,23	114:22,23 118:8	96:20 143:3 145:8	shed 71:1 75:3	size 21:8,15,19 22:25
143:7,12,13 145:12	119:2,8,10 125:4,5	145:23	sheriff 31:18 67:18	23:22 26:7 30:8
145:13 155:9,13	138:19 150:5	sent 8:13 98:3,3	shooter 54:18,22	35:17 36:2,5 45:8,9
school's 103:1 105:1	152:11	sentiment 143:5	short 132:25 157:3	45:11,14 46:12
school-based 38:8	seconded 109:11	separate 32:21 53:10	short-term 155:20	74:13,24 75:1,15
75:25	114:7,9 119:9	53:10 63:9 80:15	shortly 156:15 show 93:22 133:6	79:16 80:1,5 87:5
schools 25:7,7,8 29:4 29:24 30:12 47:7	seconds 19:2 54:15 secretaries 110:9	84:4	160:11	sizes 45:12
48:19 51:6,8 55:17	Secretary 2:10	separated 73:18 September 46:21	showed 7:9 137:3	skip 119:18 sloppy 14:18
57:8,9 64:15,16,19	section 31:9 37:2,4,15	70:8 88:21	showing 54:6 83:8	sloppy 14.16 slow 28:18
64:19 66:17 68:7,20	37:24 39:5 40:10	serious 22:1,1 30:1	shows 42:14 83:10	Slower 110:6 112:4
69:1 72:19 75:5	75:24 92:12 114:21	32:13,14,23 33:5,8	91:20,21,22 92:3	slowly 144:22
80:13,22 83:6 85:17	115:3 147:18	34:17 44:21 45:24	shuffling 12:18	snowly 144.22 small 27:9 36:4 48:1
85:17,21 86:1,3,4,5	sections 77:10 78:17	45:24 48:6,7 50:25	side 29:3	87:5
86:9 88:6,12 89:1	85:9 118:7	53:13,14 60:5 63:14	sign 62:20	SMART 128:14,23
96:16,23 97:4,17	sector 57:16	63:15,16,22 79:17	signature 53:4 77:17	141:21 144:21
98:4 100:12 101:3,8	see 16:11 25:8,10	79:18 80:1,2 87:7,7	118:21	Smiley 60:8
101:10,12,14 102:2	29:22,25 31:18 35:9	97:6 98:6,7 99:7	signed 7:7 32:16 53:8	smoke 152:17
102:14,19 103:16	40:7 45:25 46:18	105:21,22 110:4,4	102:20	snatch 144:24
105:12 132:18,22	51:17 53:19,21,23	110:12,12 111:4,4	significant 8:3,25	societal 105:18
133:4,7,10 136:22	57:9 58:10 59:25	121:12,13	64:16 97:18	socioeconomic
137:11,22 138:21	60:7,7,22 69:3,10	seriously 75:8	signing 11:1 50:23	138:11
143:22 154:2,3	72:21 81:5 84:22,24	serve 22:17,19	signs 108:21	software 6:19 20:10
156:4	84:25 91:24 99:13	service 1:23 10:13	silos 68:16	sole 14:12 106:6,21
scope 20:19 25:9 27:4	105:10,13,16	43:13 44:18 78:11	similar 9:25	108:7,13 109:6
35:16 36:17 74:13	115:12 117:16	serviced 50:5	simple 79:15	solely 107:12
75:15,15 127:15	120:11 133:13	services 2:18,22 9:13	simply 34:4 58:19	solid 152:14
135:12 136:2,6,11	136:21 137:22	38:9 39:24 65:6,11	simultaneous 30:20	somebody 22:17
136:14,14,15,19	142:4 153:1,13,13	66:11,12,14,15,18	simultaneously 63:10	23:24 50:14 67:8
137:3,14 139:20,21	159:25 160:21	69:10 76:2 82:18	single 32:5 57:16	84:19 143:19 155:1
139:21 140:1,3,6,10	seeing 19:23 101:18	83:16,24	sir 32:3 106:17	155:5,9 158:8,16
140:24 141:7	104:15 105:20	set 152:11	111:16 116:18	someone's 66:10 75:8
145:23 151:11,13	117:13 159:19	sets 10:10	Sisters 58:16	something's 62:11
154:23 155:4,10,11	seen 132:19,24	setting 9:13 92:16	sit 57:21 86:12,14	son 35:22
160:2	133:10	140:20	141:11	sorry 4:20 15:18
scopes 136:22 140:4	select 25:5 89:15	settle 144:18	site 73:2 87:25 88:10	17:20 19:9 28:9
145:8,14	91:10	severity 90:21,22,23	92:22,23,25 93:11	29:17 31:12 36:9
scrolling 40:23	selected 24:17 83:3	share 43:14 44:18	103:2	40:17 41:18 46:19
scrub 71:23	92:25 93:2 159:14	56:2 67:4 68:15,15	sites 7:22 87:15,24	50:9 53:25 56:15,23
SE 1:13	159:15	78:11 130:19	88:11 89:4,10 93:5	56:23 90:2 92:19
searched 102:19	selecting 112:25	137:24 138:1	93:6,7 102:17	93:12,20 96:15
searches 100:25	selection 159:14	shared 68:13 83:4,11 103:24 120:18	sitting 24:1 35:22 159:12	116:4 124:2,24
searching 105:1 seats 16:12	160:4,7 selections 160:6	sharing 67:2	159:12 situation 6:10 65:15	150:16,18 sort 29:23 35:23
seats 16:12 second 5:7,7,8,9 11:7	send 7:2 76:17 110:8	Shaw 2:5 4:14,15	89:10,13,14 90:19	60:23 61:5 117:3
Second 5.1,1,0,7 11.1	Scilu 7.2 /0.1/ 110.0	S114 W 2.3 7.17,13	07.10,13,14 70.19	00.23 01.3 117.3
	l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1

130:18	74:1,2 83:20 97:9	steps 25:16 43:10	students 22:15,16,18	144:6
sound 24:25 76:11	120:7 131:20	stick 59:7	39:13 47:7 48:20	support 54:24 58:3
sounded 79:3 118:18	134:16 145:12	stick 53.7 stinks 131:21	56:14,17 57:20 58:5	97:2,4,8,9
sounds 79:17 107:25	148:2,3,4 154:18	Stoneman 55:18,23	58:9,13 66:18 82:19	supposed 39:2 93:18
source 13:17,21 14:3	155:2 160:5,8	65:24	86:25 87:5 88:10,23	93:21 124:10 154:7
15:16	staff's 131:7	stopping 36:11	89:19 90:17 93:10	154:11 155:3
south 1:24 62:1	staffing 88:21	story 35:24	94:24 95:11 97:6,10	supposedly 77:2
southeast 60:22	staming 68.21 stamp 53:5	straight 143:8	97:16 100:21,24	supposedly 77.2 sure 10:3,25 12:6
southwest 62:9	stamp 55.5 standard 72:6	Stranght 143.8 Stranahan 135:21	101:15 102:21	13:3 16:17 21:23
speak 5:19 26:21	standards 24:10	150:25 152:12	103:1,7,12,15	34:24 38:24 48:25
42:14 43:19 58:24	134:20 139:12,13	154:14 155:20	121:11	53:14 61:18 66:10
59:3 79:21 82:9	139:14 145:16	strategically 155:23	studies 83:7	69:5,12,13,14 70:2
90:2 130:20 133:25	standpoint 61:3,13	Strauss 2:6 5:2,3	study 85:18,22 86:4	70:3 72:8 76:21
135:1 140:9 146:18	138:18 149:21	14:20 15:18,22 17:1	stuff 25:22 26:15	80:18 82:7,11 84:6
152:2	158:19	22:9,10,22 23:16	148:13 151:9	87:11 104:18,20,22
speaker 31:11 158:4	start 9:13 20:2 29:15	24:14,22 28:7 33:17	subject 77:12	112:17 113:4,5
speakers 18:13 33:4	58:10 70:1,3 72:24	33:20,22 35:4 36:18	subjective 85:10	114:3 123:18 126:4
33:4	89:7 91:25 99:23	38:2 42:19 43:24	subjectively 116:15	127:20,22 134:23
speaking 59:5 74:19	100:2 101:17,18	48:9 49:12,20 50:9	submitted 20:10	137:25 138:4 145:8
95:14,15	135:6,24	51:3,11,15,20,25	subsequent 11:3	145:22 153:16
speaks 75:25 77:21	started 12:1 49:6	52:7,18 53:3,25	12:16 130:9	154:10 160:25
111:24 161:2	68:1 70:8 74:12	54:4 55:20,25 56:11	subsequently 9:2	surprise 9:2
Spec 2:11	96:1 105:2 126:17	56:22 58:15 67:11	substance 38:13	surprises 144:15
special 159:7	128:12 147:10,17	67:15 68:6,18 70:5	substantially 20:10	suspect 74:8
specialist 2:11 81:10	starting 136:3 139:4	88:18 94:1,6 95:25	53:2	suspected 38:14
115:8	starts 9:7 12:13 57:7	96:14 97:11,25	substantive 30:2	switch 56:6
specific 44:15 52:22	64:12	98:23 99:6 107:20	49:16,17,18,25	switchgear 139:18
88:24 100:13	state 67:13,20,21	107:23 108:11,20	63:14 64:2,4 69:1	symptomatic 154:2
101:14,15 102:24	73:21 96:3 131:5,17	109:3,23 110:22	97:7	system 15:6 25:21
122:22 123:9	162:2,5	111:11 112:7 121:1	success 97:20	29:4 61:2,5 66:14
130:10	stated 76:8	121:5 123:21	suddenly 14:12	67:21 68:11,12
specifically 31:19	statement 78:9,16,20	125:23	suggest 32:20	71:22 72:19 75:4
40:11 88:16 89:2	85:12	Strauss's 65:5	suggestion 25:24 49:3	83:1 89:3 91:19
101:13 103:2	statements 77:10	street 31:4 155:8	suggests 102:12	95:4,13,17 98:22
140:12 152:5	78:18	strengthen 105:17	Suicide 50:1	99:3
speed 28:19	states 76:6	strengthened 14:18	suicides 65:20	systems 84:23 156:8
spell 88:16	statewide 67:20	strengthening 65:13	summarize 79:12	
spend 142:24	131:17	strongest 70:22	82:14	<u>T</u>
spent 10:1 15:11	stating 104:13	structural 152:23	summary 43:18	table 10:12 11:7
132:21 144:5	statistical 21:12	student 31:21 41:1	summer 8:22 9:3,4,5	20:16 24:8 63:24
spite 105:24	statistically 23:6	43:13 44:17 51:16	9:7,8,14 10:15,16	tackle 96:11
spoke 88:18 96:19	stats 120:8	60:2 64:9,10 65:15	10:22 12:10,12 14:7	take 14:16 23:24
148:7 152:3	stay 129:11,11	67:10,23 78:10	48:9,10,13,20,22	42:16 46:2,5,15
SRA 50:1	151:21	83:10,15,23 84:10	49:1,4	56:6,10,20 59:7
SREF 134:19 139:14	steering 139:5 156:1	87:17,22 88:1,13	sums 137:7	81:6 92:5 101:6
144:9 145:15	156:14	91:6,23 92:3,8,17	Sunshine 9:15 158:11	129:21,24 146:13
staff 2:7,13,16,16	stenographic 1:23	94:9,11,25 96:4	superintendent 2:14	taken 41:2,12 47:11
6:16 16:22 21:6	162:9	101:10 104:5	2:14 16:20 61:24	51:21 56:9 100:14
29:2 30:16,23 33:25	stenographically	118:17,20,22 119:1	70:14 73:11,20	101:11,15 125:15
39:13 55:7,11 56:3	162:7	119:5	147:25	133:1 136:24
58:1 69:18 72:18	step 25:21 141:9	student's 100:15	superintendents	141:14
		l		l

takes 58:4 63:14 94:5	99:17 143:4 153:5	86:7 90:9,11 102:6	147:22,23 148:17	three 20:16 28:7 33:4
95:8 102:21 126:10	155:14	124:20 125:25,25	148:18,23 149:1,17	38:18 48:18 62:7,8
talk 36:20 81:14	telling 154:19	129:17 132:14	149:24 150:9,24	62:8 79:14 88:5
82:16 86:20 89:1	template 101:14	138:16 140:6,8,21	151:22 152:14,20	97:17 110:8 123:4
100:7 102:5,9 122:4	ten 129:22	145:12 146:14	153:4,20,25 154:25	149:24 154:2
135:15 talked 43:17 56:12,13	tent 151:16 terms 27:15 74:24	151:10 154:1,9 159:9 160:15	156:10,11,22,25	threshold 115:12 threw 12:22
60:25 77:15 96:1	84:17 91:24 117:5	things 13:7 14:1 15:5	157:10 158:9,18,18 159:13,15 160:9,23	throw 57:13
104:4 143:10	141:5	27:6 33:22 39:25	thinking 43:11	thrown 145:19
149:22	test 20:13 26:10	42:14 43:5 47:10,23	third 152:13	THURSDAY 1:16
talking 29:4 53:24	39:12 43:7 61:1,4	55:22 61:25 64:7,7	Thompson 3:3 17:18	tied 39:9
69:7 74:17 75:19	61:20 74:21 75:16	65:10 66:25 70:4	17:18,22,22 18:5,5	tiles 138:14
78:6,7 79:9 82:18	78:3 104:17,22	71:16 78:5 79:6	thought 8:16,17	TIM 3:1
85:4 87:14 96:16	105:1 112:17,18	81:23 82:6 90:25	59:15 69:6 77:8	time 9:20,22 23:18
119:20 124:21	113:19 114:4,20	92:1,2 94:13 101:18	85:24 105:9 111:1	24:2 28:15 29:5
146:20 148:9	115:3 118:4,6	105:16,25 106:1	112:17	35:20 40:22 41:21
153:10	tested 25:17 28:6	108:24 124:3	threat 2:17 16:24	43:18 47:3,6 50:21
talks 83:23	30:3 31:24 32:21	127:11 133:10	19:16,19 20:7,14	51:8,19 63:4 64:11
Tampa 67:8,23	53:11 59:24,25 60:7	137:14 138:7,8	24:6,18 25:21 26:2	66:9 69:7,10 79:2
tape 141:20 151:19	61:24 69:5 79:18	141:1 143:10	26:12,23 31:22 32:6	83:25 86:23 93:17
tapes 134:13	83:16	144:13 145:4,17,20	32:7,9 35:1 38:11	99:10,11,14,21
task 2:16,17 16:22,24	testing 20:8,25 24:19	145:22 147:14	41:3,13 48:21 49:24	114:25 119:3 121:8
69:18 70:5 74:1	26:19 39:18 60:23	148:3,6 155:18	50:25 52:9 53:14	121:8 122:24 124:5
78:3 107:7,13,19,23	61:19,22 74:25	156:9 158:5	55:24 60:1,4,5	124:15 126:10
108:11,16,23 109:8	76:10 81:4 112:1,9	think 9:18 21:8 22:14	61:10,15,21 62:19	128:9 129:23,24
123:23 125:16	113:16 115:10	24:8 26:9,19 27:9	62:24 63:1,3,5,7,12	132:8,23,25 143:6
130:15 133:20	130:5 134:9 136:9	28:19 29:11 30:13	63:14 64:4 65:24	144:18 147:5,11
134:3 135:10 147:9	138:4	30:16,24 31:6 32:15	66:17 67:21 68:21	149:8,17 150:19
148:22 155:6 160:6	thank 5:16 16:2	33:6,10 35:12 36:6	68:25 69:19,20	155:8 157:3 161:5
tasked 107:1	18:12 20:3 22:10	37:16 40:21 41:16	70:12,15 71:10,19	timeframe 28:10 95:9
teacher 139:8	23:16 29:18 33:21	43:10 45:21,23	71:24 72:4,9,13,15	timeframes 6:13
teaching 139:11	38:3 45:21 51:11	48:24 53:20,24	72:22,24 73:25	timeline 136:3
team 19:24 20:1 29:8	73:3 81:12 84:2	55:21 56:2 57:4	74:22 75:6,18 80:22	timeliness 28:23
32:9,16 43:2 44:16	87:2 105:3 108:4,22	58:4,11 59:9 60:24	87:7 88:16 90:10,23	timely 28:12
52:9,12 62:19 64:16	109:15 110:6,10	71:7 73:9 75:12	91:20 92:3 95:1	timeout 86:15
65:1 71:23 72:20	112:11 118:16	76:15 77:18,22 78:2	101:1,23 106:6,22	times 20:19 47:19
85:18,22 88:22	123:11,12,13,19,21	78:4 80:9,10 82:17	106:25 108:8 109:7	61:11 76:20 90:18
100:12 123:18,22	124:1,3,8,16 125:1	82:20 84:4 91:12,15	110:4,13 111:5	90:22 147:6 148:18
teaming 85:2 teams 38:7 86:4	157:17,17 159:4,6 159:10 161:3,4	91:16 96:10,11 98:15 101:16	112:2,10 113:19 114:5	timing 25:8 27:24 35:11,11,19 137:9
technically 69:22	Thanks 161:5	102:13,15 105:2	threats 21:21 28:6	Timothy 1:23 162:4
technology 2:9 6:5	theirs 63:10	102:13,13 103:2	29:24 30:3,4 32:13	162:19
95:15	themes 147:19	108:5,8,10 110:8	33:8,9 44:21 45:17	tired 47:9
telephone 4:10,13	theory 79:5	112:22 114:7	45:25 47:18 48:14	Titanic 12:19
5:21	thereof 162:9	116:13,16,17,21	49:7,15,17 56:24	title 18:19 69:18 71:4
Telephonic 2:3,5,22	they'd 73:5	117:21 120:23,24	59:24 63:22 64:2	today 60:2 121:18
2:23,24	thing 13:4 24:8 26:3	123:1,1,4 127:13	72:18 75:8 79:18	124:11 127:8 128:8
television 140:19	28:25 35:10 46:4	131:4 132:20	80:2,13 87:18 97:7	154:4
tell 21:12 34:14 41:22	48:5 51:12 56:12,18	137:17 139:23	98:7 105:14,21	told 9:1 15:10 140:17
42:6 47:16 69:25	56:23 60:11,25 65:4	142:12 143:2 144:1	110:14,19 111:9	146:15 151:22
71:22 85:6 86:2	71:16 77:2 84:8	144:15,17 146:4,11	121:13	152:8
	•	•	•	-

tool 143:1 **toolbox** 57:12 tools 57:5,12 96:19 98:9,10 **top** 37:24 77:4 78:16 124:3 **topic** 15:19 44:13 46:5 96:14 123:2 total 36:22 46:1 49:14,22 50:2 98:20 122:10.17 totally 26:24,25 99:8 touch 136:20 track 72:21 126:9 tracking 98:7 traditional 64:19 88:10 89:11 90:17 90:17,20 91:5,8,17 91:17 93:19,22 98:22 121:25 **tragedy** 27:1 32:24 **trained** 38:16 **training** 6:2,9 39:1,6 39:14 54:24 55:13 56:9 61:25 62:3,10 72:17 transcript 162:8 transfer 56:14,16 86:25 87:17 88:10 88:19 **transferred** 87:6 89:8 89:9 90:1,7 121:11 121:12 transferring 87:19 transfers 98:20 117:14 122:1,15,25 transient 21:23 48:2 59:23 60:4 80:3 110:14.18 111:9 **transmit** 6:22 124:25 125:2 transmitted 8:18 transparent 35:5 traumatic 66:1 treatment 76:2 trend 105:14,20 trends 23:10,12 tricks 160:12 **trigger** 96:17 **Trimerge** 3:3 17:15 17:19,25 18:6

trouble 86:12 true 78:8 128:18 151:25 162:8 try 57:20 58:19 72:24 137:10 160:10 trying 20:18 25:22 27:14 40:18 44:4 59:16 63:20 66:23 71:25 80:12 117:24 127:10 144:24 145:2,22 153:5 160:11 Tuesday 10:3 67:17 68:1 95:19 tune 160:23 turn 12:17 16:11 157:2 turned 151:24 turnover 157:2 tutoring 8:22 9:3,4,8 9:14 10:15,17,22 12:11.12 13:18 14:7 **two** 6:4 10:10 12:5 23:7 27:19 33:4 34:8 41:15 45:12 47:2 50:12 58:25 59:4,5 61:11 63:3 66:20 70:10,19 71:7 75:13 86:3 88:6,6 88:12 90:24 93:5 102:7,8 108:17 124:19 128:12 129:1.5.18 142:8 144:6 150:4 154:7 two-part 150:3 two-way 31:4 type 60:12 102:14 110:7 114:3 121:22 138:16 **types** 39:24 66:14,15 96:21 typically 23:10 U **U.S** 7:24 **Uh-huh** 10:18 42:19

ultimately 7:10

153:15

126:25 150:11

unanimously 8:12

Unbelievable 70:16

70:17 uncovered 13:13 underneath 41:4,9 understand 24:3,25 26:6,9 39:8 45:11 45:18 48:2 51:3 52:1 54:12 57:1 86:25 91:10 115:16 127:5 137:12 151:20 157:8 understanding 38:24 50:24 127:15 136:5 152:11 153:6 Understood 24:11 31:2 53:12 **unfolds** 94:21 unfortunately 158:10 unfounded 48:3 59:23 60:2 110:15 110:19 111:9 United 3:1 **unpaid** 11:12 unsupervised 64:10 updated 20:9 upgrade 68:24 138:10 **uploaded** 7:22 8:1 **uploading** 26:14,15 **upsetting** 55:15 56:25 upstairs 73:13 **urgent** 81:22 use 6:12 44:6 60:8 69:4 95:17 102:4.4 useful 27:2 user 23:1 usually 8:21 63:6 64:6 utmost 142:10 \mathbf{V} V(A)(C)(G) 40:8 41:4

V V 37:24 40:10 V(A)(C)(G) 40:8 41:4 vague 84:18 valid 23:6 validation 151:11,13 value 64:25 146:6 153:10,13,14,20,21 values 57:17 various 7:22 Veda 86:17 96:25 vendor 13:24

vendors 18:2 **verbal** 135:4 verbally 157:21 verified 8:2 versus 80:13 138:11 vice 2:2 5:23 55:3 victories 144:24 view 28:20 72:2 violations 142:13 144:10 148:10,10 **visit** 86:1 **vitally** 156:25 **voice** 44:7 154:3 **volumes** 161:2 volunteer 24:2 **vote** 7:13 126:25 128:6 129:17 voted 6:22 8:11 voters 138:25 voting 6:11 19:13 126:21 128:5,7

W wait 28:22 56:22 70:19 82:1 129:1 132:5 waiting 36:14 70:21 127:21 walk 102:17 130:24 137:22 walk-arounds 82:10 walked 54:18,20 73:13 133:6,9,10 **walking** 73:13 133:13 walkway 154:20 155:8 walkways 155:1,4,17 **Wallace** 2:23 18:8,9,9 40:2,15,16,16,25 41:8 **wanded** 103:4 want 13:3 15:25 22:17 23:17 25:6,8 28:11 29:14,22 33:16 34:10.12.13 34:15 35:19,21 36:7 36:25 41:22 44:8 45:6 50:10 54:1 59:20 61:18 65:8 69:12 75:16 77:3 79:17 80:17 82:6,11

85:16 91:9 96:6 98:12 112:23.24 113:2 116:13 122:5 123:11,13,21 127:20,22 133:11 143:18,19 145:20 149:20 153:16 159:25 wanted 27:22 28:18 31:2 46:6 56:2,19 66:9 73:5 82:4,13 110:15 118:18 122:19 124:16 wants 149:14 **Wanza** 17:1 73:13 96:25 Warehousing 2:18 wasn't 12:14 21:11 32:13 44:14 73:18 79:4 88:15 103:7 124:10 137:1 140:1 143:24 150:6.19 waste 48:10 124:14 143:5 147:4,5 watching 146:2 way 10:6 37:21 46:24 57:20 58:17 84:5,15 94:23 95:13 98:18 133:15,16 140:10 143:6,11,12,24 150:2 155:16 158:13 we'll 65:4 84:12 98:18 113:6 122:11 123:7,8 157:23 we're 15:20 17:16 18:1,1 21:17 26:19 26:24 29:22 30:7 34:14,19,20 42:8 44:4 47:25 48:2,5 48:25 49:1,22 53:1 53:9,24 59:11 67:24 69:12 70:9 71:21 73:1 75:14 78:7 84:16 94:12,13,14 94:16 96:14 97:21 99:21 104:12 105:1 105:9,14,19,25 109:25 114:1 116:2 117:13,16 121:7

122:11 124:21,22

126:15,21 128:5,7	106:16	29:14,19 30:15	27:18 33:8 46:1	129:2,3
136:7,20 139:20	wore 59:14	31:25 40:21,25	47:13	
141:17 142:17	work 6:5 9:10,11	42:19 46:10,18	119,000 26:1	3
144:1,4,14 145:22	28:3,21 29:2 30:23	47:12 59:12,20	12 13:21 30:2 42:14	3 20:5 26:9 32:4 45:6
148:12,16,16 150:4	36:23 67:25 68:2	67:14 71:1 78:14,14	77:4 99:23	45:13 74:20 124:9
157:24 158:22	73:9 74:8 81:24	78:14,14,15 89:16	12:15 124:18	125:11,14 126:2,2
we've 16:11 20:16	91:25 98:16 111:18	94:2,8,15 95:2	12:13 124.16 12:29 1:17 161:6	128:14,22 130:7
26:14 45:7 49:23	123:8,11 127:16,21	98:25 104:20 105:7	12th 70:8	132:18 133:5
50:2 60:5 61:14	131:11 132:5 138:5	110:21 112:7	13 37:10,12,13 38:5	135:19 137:21
64:13,14 65:20 79:9	140:14 145:14	113:15 114:9 117:2	39:18 42:13,13,14	3,174 49:24
82:11 101:19	149:9 152:1 157:5	117:2,21 119:9	81:4	30 41:9,11 46:21
130:25 133:9,10	160:3	120:22,23 121:2,19	135 160:23	300 153:11
141:20 143:10	worked 21:15 96:25	122:9,18 124:1	14 20:23	33 56:16 62:6 117:13
156:5	working 36:12 43:24	126:3 129:4,24	140 46:17	33301 1:24
weapons 64:10	47:17 57:14 58:4,21	132:8 134:24	14th 49:23	34 45:25 117:13
Wednesday 68:2	67:12 68:2 84:12	144:14 153:4,25	15 30:3	35 21:8,9,25 22:5,15
95:20	97:15 123:17	154:24 159:9	16 1:16 56:15 59:8	22:22 23:19 24:18
week 8:1 60:4,5 95:9	workshop 67:17	year 9:10 11:16 12:15	86:24 87:2	36:2 37:9,16,16,23
weeks 21:3 129:1,5	workshops 9:15	12:16 21:1 45:9,10	161 47:13	45:8,12,15,17,18
129:19 158:23	worried 148:12	47:6,8,16 49:23	18th 20:22	46:15 47:14 60:20
weigh 130:13	worse 14:14	50:3 56:7 64:15	19 159:13	356 49:16
weights 59:16	worst 47:6	97:1 100:11 141:15	194 50:3	37 82:17,23 83:17
welcome 85:2	worthwhile 132:20	years 32:23 36:10	1st 46:25	39 56:15,15 62:6 67:5
well-defined 140:4	worthy 133:21	47:15 48:18 98:3	150 10.25	86:24 87:3 88:5,5
went 14:2,9 98:21	149:21	132:10 133:1	2	94:23
102:15 122:21	wouldn't 15:11 48:15	137:21 141:16	2 47:5	397 50:4
123:8 136:12,13,14	55:8 96:20 103:19	143:20 144:6 146:5	20 99:22 122:16	3A 42:16 78:16 81:8
145:4 146:22	116:19,20	146:17 151:6,7	200 45:11,15	81:9,12 114:21
147:11 152:7	wound 27:10	153:11,11,11 156:4	200,000 22:21,22,22	115:3,7 118:7
160:10	Wow 49:20	yellow 54:7	2014 134:11 136:4,22	3F 42:16 78:16 81:8
weren't 15:12 114:19	wrap 99:22	Yep 95:22,24	2015 152:4	81:12 114:21 115:3
132:10 133:15,16	wraparound 65:11	vesterday 6:18,24 9:1	2016 146:24	118:7
142:16 147:12	WRIGHT 1:12	60:9 65:23 73:11,24	2017 146:25	3RD 1:13
154:8	write 52:11 86:15	74:5 145:7	2018 151:22 159:13	
west 138:12	127:9,11	yesterday's 160:14	2020 45:10,14	4
white 54:25	writing 86:13 119:19		2021 9:5,6 10:24	4 12:10 24:16 30:1
willingness 144:16	135:3 142:6,7	Z	15:14 45:9,15	32:4
wish 152:24	153:11	zeros 80:23	2022 20:21 45:15,16	4.8 12:10
withdraw 90:18	written 116:24		46:25 47:5	40 56:14
withdrawing 92:17	wrong 54:17,23 55:5	0	2023 1:16 20:22	400 153:11
withdrew 91:6	77:14 144:23 145:4		45:15 162:15	413 49:24
wonder 30:4 98:9	148:1	1	21 21:1	414 45:9
wondering 9:24	wrote 53:12 75:4	1 8:23 9:6,7 10:13	21-22 100:11	43 49:17
148:14	78:19 131:8,9	11:4 15:14 25:21	22 21:1 45:13	4380 40:5 114:21
wood 152:14		46:20 141:9	23 7:7 21:1 45:13	115:3 118:7
word 8:21,21 61:3	X	1,358 50:3	23rd 162:15	
113:7 117:6 134:10	X 117:17	1,670 45:16 47:12	245,000 71:6	5
134:16		1.6 65:9	26th 6:21	5 37:2,4 39:11 40:24
wording 84:16 109:9	<u> </u>	10 38:23,25 39:5	28 32:16	75:24,25 100:7
111:10	yeah 10:1 14:21,22	72:12,15 73:6	29 41:9,11	537 49:18 50:6
words 60:8 76:3	16:15 22:10 28:1	100 46:14,14 79:17	2nd 7:15 16:4 126:16	584 49:15
		119 25:19,24 26:1		5a 38:6,25 39:1,3,18

				Page 183
40 11 21	l			
40:11,21				
5B 39:19				
5C 39:19 41:8				
5D 31:9,20 37:2				
42:17 44:15 59:8				
66:8 71:7,8 75:21				
77:2,25 78:7,9,16				
81:4 84:16 114:21				
115:4 118:7				
5E 43:2 84:16,21				
114:21 115:4 118:7				
5th 1:24				
6				
6 37:17				
6:45 70:1				
60 23:6				
600 1:13				
633 1:24				
67 95:18				
07 93.10				
7				
7,000-whatever				
61:14				
70 23:8 24:5,9 27:17				
49:15				
71 47:13				
72 38:2 88:19 95:7				
73 38:1 49:18				
75 45:10,15				
13 43.10,13				
8				
8 19:16 37:17				
80 30:2				
800 138:25				
85 45:25				
885 21:21 28:5				
9				
9 40:7,25 41:1 151:4				
9/30/2022 47:1				
9/30/22 21:20				
9:33 1:17				
90-day 160:15				
93 64:14				
	<u> </u>	1	1	1